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## Introduction

The King's indian Defence clearly belongs to the 'hypermodern' group of responses to $\mathbf{1 d 4}$ in that Black concedes his opponent the right to occupy the centre with pawns at the start of play. However, it was hardly used by the 'hypermodern' masters at all. A rudimentary form of the King's Indian is nascent in the games of Chigorin, but in the 1920s it was employed only by British masters, such as Yates and, sporadically, by Reti, Tartakower and Euwe.

Its dynamic potential was first recognised by the rising generation of Soviet players in the 1940s (notably Bronstein and Boleslavsky) and by the 1950s it was established as one of the most popular openings in tournament praxls. If we examine the games of the 1953 Candidates Tournament it transpires that one fifth of the games played opened with the King's Indian.

Up to the present day the King's Indian has occupied a prominent place in the repertoire of the world's leading masters. Perhaps its greatest exponent is the World Champion, Gary Kasparov, and references to his elegant victories with this defence will be found passim throughout this volume.

Kasparov's patronage of the King's Indian Defence at the very highest level, including games against Karpov, lvanchuk, Yusupov, Timman and Korchnoi, has led to a massive explosion of interest in this defence. The emphasis of this book is very heavily directed towards recent material which has been generated as a result of the numerous enthusiastic followers of the World Champion's example. In many cases, it will be seen that the new material totally overturns long-established theoretical beliefs.

Our analysis also indicates that by far the two most popular systems employed against the King's Indian are
the Classical with 6 Qe2 and the Saemisch．We open this book with a sample of each system．Both of these games end in attractive victories for Black


This is a major cross－ roads in this opening sy－ stem：the main line，to which much of this book is devoted，is now $70-0$ Qc6 8 dS ©e7．After the fol－ low－up 9 Qd2 aS 10 a3 Qd 7 11 聖b1 fS 12 b 4 贯h8 13 f3
 to what might be classed as a tabiya（or starting po－ sition）for the 1990s．
（see following diagram） Vassily Ivanchuk recently produced an important no－ velty with 15 Qd3！？in a crucial game against World Champion Gary Kasparov in

their game from Linares 1992．The game continued 15 ．．．f4 16 乌bS b6 17 c5 dxc5
 a4 ©e8 21 as 0 a6 22 Qd2 QxbS 23 昌xbS ©d6 24 －bb2盖e7 25 ＠xd6 岁xd6 26 Qb5 and White held a clear advantage although Kaspa－ rov hung on for the draw．

Boris Gelfand was evi－ dently impressed by Ivan－ chuk＇s innovation，as in the very next round at Linares， he sidestepped it with the relatively untested 14 ．．． Qh6．However，in this in－ stance，the cure turned out to be worse than the dis－ ease，and he went down horribly after 15 QbS axb4 16 axb4 \＆）df6 17 c5 fxe4 18 4）xe4 \＆xd5 19 cxd6 c6 20
 4c7 b6 23 第c1 Qf4 24 苜b2 4）xe2＋ 25 前xe2 登c8 26 兹b2


宙g8 29 Qe6 Qxe6 30 安xe6＋ Qf7 31 Zbd1 慜c7 32 日d7岩b8 33 f5 1－0．

This gives some indica－ tion of the frightening rate at which the theory of this absorbing opening is deve－ loping．For the full theore－ tical background to these fascinating encounters see the game Epishin－van Wely，Wijk aan Zee 1992， analysed in chapter four．

$$
7 \text { dS }
$$

h6
The most straightfor－ ward method of preventing White＇s pin with QgS．It is not the best，though，since It creates certain prema－ ture weaknesses in Black＇s king＇s field．

$$
80-07!
$$

Much too co－operative． Better is the flexible 8 Ad2 which gives White the op－ tion of $0-0-2$ ，e．g． 8 ．．． Qbd7 9 g 4 a5 10 Qfi Ecs 11 Qg 3 c6 12 Qe3 0 d 713 f 3 a 4 14 桨d2 cxd5 15 cxd5 畐aS 16 Qditt Keene－Westerinen， Berlin 1971；or $8 \ldots$ aS 9 Qfi Qa6 10 g 4 Qh7 11 h 4 f 5 12 gxfS gxfS 13 exfS $Q x f S 14$
 e4 17 Zg1 Donner－Kavalek． Skopje O1．1972．White later decided the game by a di－ rect kingside assault．

| 8 | $\ldots$ | $乌 \mathrm{~h} 7$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | Qel | $乌 \mathrm{~d} 7$ |
| 10 | $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{d} 3}$ | fS |
| 11 | f 3 |  |

A passive decision which permits Black a free hand on the kingside．Gufeld re－ commends 11 f4，while 11 exf5 gxf5 12 f4 would also lead to a safe position．

11
．．．
f4！
Black does not hesitate to establish the kingside pawn wedge which is so characteristic of many vari－ ations of the King＇s Indian． $\begin{array}{llll}12 & \text { b4 } & \text { yaf7 }\end{array}$


An absolutely key move which fulfils a number of vital and typical King＇s ln－ dian functions，viz．
a）The rook on $f 7$ defends c7，which，as the base of Black＇s pawn chain，is the principal target of White＇s queenside ambitions．
b）By moving his rook， Black vacates f8 for his king＇s bishop，preparing the possibility of ．．． Eg 7 and then ．．．g5－g4，assaulting White＇s pawn chain at the base on f3 and g2．In the further course of this game， the black king＇s bishop also
develops fierce activity from f8．This is an unusual bonus，since the lines of central pawns often remain fixed on dS，e4，f3／d6，eS， f4，respectively，thus im－ peding any dramatic inter－ vention by Black＇s king＇s bishop．

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
13 & c 5 & \text { Ddf6 } \\
14 & c 6
\end{array}
$$

［This advance was succ－ essful in broadly similar circumstances in other games around this time， but in this case，Stein has an amazing tactical coun－ terstroke available，based on White＇s vulnerability on the a7－g1 diagonal．

$$
14 \quad \ldots \quad \text { bxe6 }
$$

This could lead to posi－ tional disaster，since White is granted a threatening queenside pawn majority and a dream blockade square for the knight on d5； 14 ．．．b6 deprives White of these various advantages but then the pawn on c7 would be a horrible fixed weakness in any endgame and Black would not be able to generate any kind of dynamic counter－activity．］

| 15 | dxc6 | Qe6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | b5 | Qf8 |
| 17 | Qb4 |  |

All now seems set for the successful implemen－ tation of White＇s blockade strategy，but Stein＇s next

move sprays napalm across the board，

$$
17 \text { 亿̈bxds d5! }
$$

Or 18 exdS Qf5 19 Qd3 QhS threatening the typical and ineluctable procedure ．．．Qxd3 followed by ．．． Qc5＋，कh1，then … Qg $3+$ ， $\mathrm{hxg} 3, \ldots$ 曾gS and mate on the $h$－file．

| 18 |  | Qc5＋ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | thi | QhS |
|  | ＊el |  |

Again，he has to stop 20 $\ldots 9 \mathrm{~g}^{3}+21 \mathrm{hxg}^{3} \mathrm{fxg}^{3}$ and ．．．棹h4 mate．


But Stein still plays it， apparently with the sole
motive of disorganising White＇s defensive wall． This sacrifice is barely credlble，yet the ensuing justification looks even more of a fairy－tale．

| 21 | hxg 3 | eg gS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | g 4 | hS |
| 23 | g 3 |  |

White can try to beat back Black＇s offensive king＇s bishop with 23 Qa4 but then comes a fresh sac－ rlfice： $23 \ldots$ hxg4 24 QxcS g3 and White＇s king is en－ tombed．

| 23 | $\ldots$ | hxg4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 | ©ig2 | 区af8 |
| 25 | $\boxed{d d 2}$ |  |

A natural enough deve－ loping move，but Gufeld suggests that 25 \＃gh might be better，preventing the following manoeuvre．But what Stein now plays is so arcane a method of pursu－ ing his attack that it is not at all obvious what White should be preventing t

25 ．．．誃6
26 ［h1 均g7


Black＇s queen looks bu－ ried on g7，but the plan is to support ．．．gS followed by ．．．gxf3＋and finally ．．． g4．

## 27 gxf4

Apart from the concept mentioned in the previous note，Black was also threat－ ening to open the f－file for the massed artillery of his rooks，with ．．．fxg 3 ．Kro－ gius＊，choice stops this，but invites the black queen to reappear along the al－h8 diagonal．

| 27 | ．．． | exf4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | \＃d1 | gS |
| Again | ．．．gxf | and ．．．g4 | looms．

$$
29 \mathrm{eS}
$$

Relying on one of two variations： 29 ．．．岩xeS 30 fxg 4 QxdS +31 §xdS 皆xdS +
数xeS 30 fxg 4 f3＋ 31 Qxf3
日f2＋ 34 象h1 E8f3 35 Qe3！ Both lines do credit to Krogius＇s powers of calcu－ lation and resourcefulness， but Stein has seen much more．

| 29 |  | \％xeS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 fxg 4 |  |  |
| ee following diagran |  |  |
| 30 | ．．． | 穼xe2＋ |

What a shock，a whole queen sacrificed，but it is totally sound： 31 Qxe2 QxdS＋ 32 कh2 ©f6 and ．．． ［h7 or 32 fif followed

by ... Qc4. White's choice survives longer but leaves him helpless.


A game of mystical depth, which is certainly one of the most brilliant games ever played with the King's Indian.

> Gheorghiu - Kavalek Amsterdam 1969

| 1 | $d 4$ | $\sum f 6$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $c 4$ | $g 6$ |
| 3 | 9 c 3 | $9 g 7$ |
| 4 | $e 4$ | $d 6$ |


| 5 | f3 | 0-0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | Qe3 | 206 |
| 7 | Ege2 | 7b8 |
| 8 | 皆d2 | \%e8 |



This variation is dealt with in detail in chapter 12.

In the 1960s and early 1970s White almost Invariably sought to maintain a space advantage in the centre in this varlation of the Saemisch King's Indian. To that end manoeuvres such as $\widehat{\mathrm{e}} 2$ - c1-b3 combined with 槵d1 were common to shore up White's central bastions. Nowadays, all this is rightly regarded as an over-refinement. White players tend to prefer a blunt plan involving castling queenside followed by a vigorous advance of White's g - and h-pawns in order to come directly to grips with the black king. 9 80d
At the time, a state of the art concept introduced by Petrosian, the World Champion no less. In those
days much analysis was also devoted to variations such as 9 Qct es 10 Qb3 exd4 11 Qxd4 dS 12 cxdS \＆$x d 5 \quad 13$ © $x d 5$ © $x d 4 \quad 14$ Qxd4 㟕xdS 15 Qxg7 首xd2＋ t6 家xd2 安xg7 with equality． Alternatively 9 \＆cl eS 10 dS \＆ d 411 Qb3 c5 12 dxc6 bxc6 13 §xd4 exd4 140 xd 4 d 515 cxdS cxdS 16 eS §hS 17 QbS Exe5＋ 18 QxeS QxeS with Immense compensation for Black for a trifling material investment．

| 9 | $\cdots$ | a6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | O．c1 | eS |
| 11 | dxe5 |  |

If $11 \mathrm{~d} 5 厶 \mathrm{~d} 412$ 乌ce2 cS 13 dxc6 bxc6 14 Qxd4 exd4 15 Qxd4 dS 16 cxd5 cxd5 17 eS Qh5 18 ＠e2 炮h4＋ 19 Qf2敏b4 with active counter－ play for Black．


After this game 12 b3 came into fashion！

12
b5!

This sacrifical thrust re－ leases all of the energy
stored in the Black posi－ tion while White＇s develop－ ment is still in its infancy．

13 cxbS axbS
14 QxbS
Or 14 \＆xbS \＆$x f 3+15$ gxf3 \＆xe4 16 fxe4 学h4＋ 17 Qf2 当xe4 with a powerful attack．

14 ．．．乌xe4！


So far Black has just sac－ rificed one pawn in the in－ terests of liberating his pieces．The text move bom－ bards White with a hail of piece sacrifices while his king is still stuck in the centre．


17 呚d3！was White＇s last chance to make a fight of it．

| 17 | ．．． | Exe4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 0－0 | Qxe3 |
| 19 | 聟xf7＋ | 車h8 |
| 20 | Wxc7 | 留xc7 |
| 21 | Qxc7 | Qxd1 |
| 22 | Exdi |  |



After the storm has passed White is simply ground down by the power
of Black's bishops. These pieces show up to superb advantage, on an open board.

| 22 | $\cdots$ | Qd4+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | \% ${ }^{\text {f1 }}$ | Qg 4 |
| 24 | Z̈d2 | Qe3 |
| 25 | \%c2 | Ed4 |
|  | 0-1 |  |

A wonderful display of imaginative pyrotechnics and a classic exposition of Black's dynamic resources in the King's Indian Defence

## 1) Classical 9 \&el \& y d 710 f 3 f 5 11 今d3

This chapter explores the complex variations arising from the above sequence. The material is split up as follows:

Game 1 examines the poaltion after $11 . .$. \&f6 12 Qd2 f4 13 cs . This leads to the classical King's Indian horse race and whoever malntains stamina for the longest is liable to emerge victorious. These variations have fallen slightly into disfavour during the last few years, perhaps because the theory runs very deep and players have become bored with starting the real game on move 25. Nevertheless, these lines give an excellent flavour of how the respective flank attacks should be conducted.

Game 2 is similar to Game 1, but White plays to hold Black up on the kingside with 13 g 4 . This is obviously double-edged; if White succeeds in closing the kingside, Black will be almost lost as there will be
no counterplay against the inevitable queenside breakthrough. Black must keep the situation fluid and be alert for chances to exploit the loosening of the white king.

Game 3 tidies up some odds and ends as well as examining Nunn's recent try 12 ... hS!?

Game 4 features the trendy $12 \ldots$... ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Following Kasparov's lead, this is the move that all the fashionconscious King's Indian players are sporting. Black tucks the king away, maintains the tension and walts to see how White intends to set out his stall before responding.

Game 1
Ftacnik - Zsu Polgar
Trenclanske Tepllice 1985

| 1 | d 4 | $Q f 6$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | c 4 | $\mathrm{g6}$ |
| 3 | Qc3 | 0 g 7 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{e4}$ | d 6 |
| 5 | Qf3 | $0-0$ |
| 6 | Qe2 | eS |


| 7 | 0－0 | Qct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | dS | （2）7 |
| 9 | （）el | \＆） d |
| 10 | （）d3 | $f 5$ |
| 11 | f3 | $4 \mathrm{f6}$ |
| 12 | Qd2 | $f 4$ |
| 13 | cs（1） |  |



13
g5
13 ．．．ct is a rather feeble move，not really in the spi－ rit of the King＇s Indian． Black aims to equalise the position but remains slightly worse with few prospects for counterplay， e．g． 14 cxd6 ${ }^{4} \mathrm{xd} 615 \mathrm{dxc} 6$ Qxc6 16 §bS 奖e7（16 ．．．苗d8 17 乌b4 ge6 18 Qe1 － $4 x d 8+$ Exd8 20 亿xc6 bxc6 21 §a3 Qf8 22 Qc4 gcs 23 Qf2 Qxf2 24 Exf2 kovic－Pavlov，Trnava 1981. Black has no compensation for the weak queenside pawns） $17 乌 \mathrm{~b} 4$ De6 18 乌xcc bxc6 19 乌a3 酋c5＋（ $19 \ldots$ ．．． 2 d 7 20 范c2 sonko－Hübner，Wijk aan Zee 1982） 20 由hi QhS 21 Qc4！（A neat tactic to fur－ ther White＇s positional aims
by exchanging the light－ squared bishops） 21 ．．．Qxc4
 24 Efdit Ftacnik－Gufeld， Tallinn 1981．Black has a miserable position．

14 exd6
14 \＃ct Qg6（14 ．．．hS 15 2）b5（2）e8 16 cxd6 cxd6 17 a4
 20 eb3 $\pm$ Neverov－Khalif－ man，USSR 1985） 15 乌bS？ （This loses White too much time and Black swiftly cra－ shes through on the oppo－ site wing） $15 \ldots$ a6！ 16 Øa3 （Larsen gives 16 cxd 6 axbS
 g4 17 cxd6 cxd6 18 ＠c4 g 3 19 h 3 Qxh3！ 20 gxh3 bs 21 Elel bxc4 22 日xc4 ©h4 23 Qf1 hS $24 \quad \mathrm{Og}_{2}$ \＆h7 25 \＃f1

 （ 30 Oxh3 $0 \times h 3+31$ 由h $1 \mathrm{~g} 2+$ wins）0－1 Hoeksema－Rie－ mersma，Dutch Ch． 1987.

| 14 | $\ldots$ | is |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| is |  |  |

$15 \triangle \mathrm{f} 2$ is a major alter－ native，usually indicating White＇s desire to leave ci free for the king＇s rook． 15 ．．．©g6（This is frequently an essential part of Black＇s plan，but attempts have been made to delay it or get by without it alto－ gether，e．g． 15 ．．．hS 16 h3
 18 仑ोb5 Qe8 19 a 4 पूh6 20 Ifcl Qd7co Stone－Roeder，

Gauscial 1991） 17 낭ㄹ 需h8 （ $17 . .$. Qf8 18 Gfc 1 Od7 19 a4 Hg7 20 䍰d1 a6 21 aS कh8 22 Da4 4）c8 23 Exc4士 Stean－ Sunz，Marbella 19823189bS Qe8 19 \＃fc1 §g8 20 Qxa7 －c7 21 QaS 㫙2 22 Qxd8 Exe2 23 S xc8 4 xb2 24 ©xgS EIaxa2 25 ت̈xa2 Exa2 and was soon drawn Kraut －Hug，Zug 1989） 16 ＊（tc2 hS 17 h 3 （This is almost a re－ flex action against ．．．hS， but White doesn＇t neces－ ararlly have to be so single－
盟fl Qe8 19 a4 Qh4 20 h 3 Фf8 21 Qxa7 Qd7 22 QbS g400 Kozul－Sznapik，Tbi－ Ilal 1988）．

After 17 h3（2）Black＇s posslbilities are：

a） $17 \ldots$ a6 18 a 4 glf7 19 aS bS？l（Opening the queenside for White seems a strange way to play－it certainly doesn＇t work well here） 20 axb6 并xb6 21 气a4 崖d8 22 Effl Qf8 23 IJa3t Sosonko －Bouaziz，Hannover 1983.
b） 17 ．．．Qe8！？（Black in－
tends to regroup his king＇s bishop by means of the manoeuvre 0 g 7 －f6－d8） 18
 Qd8 21 §bS with a slight edge for White，Karpov－ van der Wiel，Brussels 1987.
c） $17 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 4!? 18 \mathrm{fxg} 4 \mathrm{hxg} 4$ 19 hxg 4 乌ेe8 20 a 4 Qf6 21 Qh3 Qh4 22 \＆di \＃f7 23 Qdf2 ${ }^{5} h 724$ \＃a3 Qf6 25 aS Qd7 26 酋di with unclear play，Möhring－Uhlmann， Halle 1981.
d） 17 ．．． $\mathbf{t f 7}$ ：
di） 18 a4 Qf8（ $18 \ldots$ Oh6 is the wrong idea，e．g． 19 ga 3 Qh4 20 琞c1 g4 21 hxg 4 hxg 422 fxg 4 f3 23 Oxh6 \＆xg4 24 Q $x^{4} 4$ Qxg4 25 Hyd2 fxe2 26 Qxe2 $\pm$ Hort－ Maier，West German Ch． 1987） 19 QbS a6 20 Qa3 $\mathrm{Eg}^{2} 7$ 21 Efcl Qh4 22 并d1 Od7 23 Qc4 g4． 24 hxg 4 hxg 425 fxg 4 Qxg2！（Kasparov cra－ shes through in familiar style） 26 安 $\times g 2$ © $x g^{4} 27$ Qxg 4 Oxg 428 岁xg $4 \mathrm{Zxg}^{4+}$ 29 Qxg4 \＃c8 30 Qh2 光h4 31 ［ic3是xg1＋34 叀xg1 备h7！（This terminates the struggle as White cannot afford to lose the e－pawn） 35 Qxf4 exf4 36 Q d2 edd7 37 Ec4 Qg738 b3 Qd4 39 bh1 QcS 40 Êdf3 bS 41 IIc2 要e8 42 صg2＋あf8 43 乌gS 安hS 44
 Zg 4 bxa4 47 bxa4 Qe3 48


㤟g2 荘d1 51 仓g4 首xa4 52乌e3 as 53 §f5 + 由d7 54 Eh4

 59 कff Yuferov－Kasparov，Minsk 1978.

In his youth，Kasparov was an avid King＇s Indian fan，but during the mid 80s he placed himself on a harsh regimen of QGDs to combat Karpoy in their World Championship mat－ ches．Now，of course，he is back at the forefront of the theoretical debate and se－ veral of the main lines have undergone reassessments due to his efforts．
d2） 18 ＂ffc $\mathrm{g}^{4}$（This is the most thematic，but equally playable are 18 ．．．Qf8 19 0 ObS 引e8 20 a 4 Q d 721 mb
 Neverov－Akopjan，Minsk 1990 or 18 ．．．a6 19 a4 Qf8 20 a5 g4 21 fxg 4 hxg 422 hxg 4 b5 23 axb6 $\quad$ 首xb6 24 气a4営a7 25 QaS Rogers－Sznapik，Thessa－ loniki Ol．1988） 19 hxg4 hxg 420 fxg4 ©e8（20 ．．． \＆h7 21 थb Sosonko－Kavalek，Tilburg 1980 was not very reveal－ ing） 21 a4 Qf6 22 ga3 0 O 4 23 Scd1 Og 324 乞h3（not 24 \＃3c Qd7 25 Qbs Qxbs 26 axbS＊h4 and Black had a powerful attack，Andruet－ Spasov，Sofia 1990） 24 ．．．
 Qd7 27 aS Eaf8 28 Qel $1 / 2-1 / 2$ Sosonko－Hellers，Wijk aan Zee 1986.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 15 \text {... } \sum \mathrm{g} 6 \\
& 16 \text { ©bS (3) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The most direct．This is not the moment for White to switch attention to the kingside，e．g． 16 \＆f2 hS 17
 ［lg 7 （less effective is 19 ．．． ©h4 20 aS Eg 721 Qb 4 g 422 fxg 4 hxg $423 \mathrm{hxg} 4-$ ） 20 \＃c3 Qh4 $^{21}$ Qe1 a6 22 气a3 Qd7 23 aS 플 24 थc4 QbS 25 Qb6 Exx 26 Qxc3 敩e8 and the threats are looming against the white king， Zaltsman－Evans，USA Ch． 1980.


17 Q 52 a6！（this works out very well and the re－ mainder of this game should serve as a warning to White not to hang around after 4 b 5 ） 18 气a3 bS 19 学e1 hS 20 QaS＊if8 21 h3 g4 22 fxg4 hxg4 23

Qxg4 Qxg4 24 Qxg4 $\mathrm{Qxg}^{4}$ 25 hxg 4 Qf6 26 跑e2 Qh4 27 Ec3 Og 328 g5 药e7 29 岁hS Wa7＋0－1 Gligoric－Quinter－ os，Novi Sad 1982.

17
Q 8
Others：
a） 17 ．．．g4 18 ＠c7 gxf3 19
 Qh6 22 宙h1 它h8 was un－ clear in Ftacnik－I Ivanov， Hastings 1984.
b） $17 \ldots$ ．．岸b6＋ $184 \mathrm{f} 2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{4!?}$
 axb3 g3 22 乌d3 Qd7 and White maintains an edge， Ftacnik－Babula，Czecho－ slovakia 1986.

| 18 | a4 | h5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 19 | Df2 | Qf8 |

Alternatively：
a） $19 \ldots$ a6 20 Qa3 Qhh 21 Q）c4 g4 22 fxg 4 bS 23 QaS \＃g5 24 Qb6 hxg4 25 ＠xa8 g3 26 Qg4 Ftacnik－Vokac， Czechoslovakia 1981 is a typlcal piece of King＇s In－ dlan madness．Black jetti－ sons his entire queenside in the interests of chasing the more substantial booty on the kingside．
b） $19 \ldots$ Q d 720 㲅b3 Q f8 21 aS Ag 722 h 3 Qh4 23 Qe1由h824 亿a3 亿f6 25 号c 3 Qe7 26 兹xb7 ${ }^{\text {Etb }} 27$ 炭xa7 g4 28 fxg4 Exxb2 29 气c2 with a balanced position，Toth－ Bouaziz，Reggio Emilia 1983.

20 h3（4）
After 20 Qxa7，Black can
either head for a marginally worse endgame or，more adventurously，play the po－ sition as a pawn sacrifice． For example：
a） $20 \ldots$ Itc7 21 QaS \＃ีxc2 22 Qxd8 Exe2 23 亿xc8 Exa4 24 乌d3 g4 25 af2 ${ }^{2} \mathrm{e} 3$ 26 §）e1 Дa8 27 \＃ffc2［fb3 28
 Qg7（30 ．．．Qe7 31 乌xe7 \＆xe7 32 \＆d3 8 日a8 33 Qxe7晏xe7＝Novikov－Glek， USSR 1985） 31 Qd3 Qf6 32 Qb6 \＆a8 33 Qf2 Qh4 34 Qg1
 37 \＃a2 \＃a6 38 \＃c2 Qh4 39
 ［a4 Exa4 42 bxa4 4 Dh 43 Exb7＋${ }^{\text {Wf8 }} 44$ fxg 4 hxg 45 Qb6 Qxb6 46 Qxb6 Qf3 47 Qd7＋由g8 48 a5 $0 x h 249$ a6 1－0 Miles－Vukic，Bugojno 1978.
b） $20 \ldots$ Qd7（Black pre－ tends nothing untoward has happened and proceeds with his kingside play） 21 QbS 気7 22 h3 \＆h4 23 曾b3 （This is a standard move for White but here it has the extra point that $23 \ldots$ ．．．$f 6$ ？ can be met by $24 \triangle \mathrm{C} 7$ If 8 25 §e6 Qxe6 26 dxe6 with a check coming up on the diagonal．Additionally， 23 ．．． g4？！ $24 \mathrm{fxg}^{4} \mathrm{hxg} 425 \mathrm{hxg} 4$ Qf6 26 \＆ C 7 Q Og 427 Qe6 Q）xf2 28 \＃xf2 Qxe6 29 dxe6官h8 30 Elc3 Qe7 31 th3 Ftacnik－Vokac，Czecho－ slovakia 1982 ，clearly fa－
vours White） 23 ．．．曹h8 24 a5 g 425 fxg 4 hxg 426 hxg 4 ． 4 f 627 亿c $74 \mathrm{xg} 4!$（Sax gets on with it．If now 28 §xa8 then $28 \ldots$ Qe3 29 Qxe3 Exg2＋ 30 安h1岁g5 $\triangle \ldots$ 光g3 or ．．．资h2＋finishes White） 28 Qxg4 Oxg4 29 \＆$x^{4} 4$
 （The rook is still taboo，e．g．


 Qh6！ 34 a6 bxa6 35 登c6 ${ }^{\text {Og } 8}$ 36 Exd6（36 安f1 $4 \times \mathrm{xg} 237$

 36 ．．．f3 37 登xa6 ${ }^{2} \mathbf{x g} 2+38$
宸xg2 fxg2 0－1 Miles－Sax， London 1980．An impressive attacking performance by the aggressive Hungarian．


21 aS Od7（21 ．．．©h4 22 Qe1 \＄h8 23 等c3 Qd7 24 遂b3分6 25 当d1 g4 26 hxg 4 ． hxg 4－Torre－Rodriguez， Toluca Izt．1982） 22 刿3 Qh4 23 Qel Qe7 24 gic3 Qf8

25 気 2 娄h7 26 \＃c3 th8 27㒸d1 a6 28 亿a3 曾xaS（After much mysterious shadow－ boxing，Black finally com－ mits himself） 29 Inc8 営xe1


 Qxc6 37 dxc6 苗xc6 38 Z1xa6 桨d7 39 亿6a7 并c6 40前dS 酋c1＋ 41 Of1 g 4 1－0 Po－ lugaevsky－Tal，Alma Ata 1980.

White can also play 21 Qxa7 as in the note to White＇s 20th，but here the extra moves 20 h 3 Zg 7 are thrown in．This would sug－ gest that Black should avoid the endgame with 21 ．．． y c7 as he or she would then be a clear tempo down on Miles－Vukic．Neverthe－ less，Kasparov once tried this in his youth．Two ex－ amples of play after 21 Axa7（S）：

a） $21 \ldots$ ． Ec 722 QaS Exc 2 23 Qxd8 ${ }^{2} \mathrm{xe} 2 \quad 24$ 亿 xc 8
 27 Qel g3 28 日fc2 gb 329
 Ea8 32 Qd3 Qe7 33 Qxe7 Qxe7 34 亿xe7 定xe7 35 Zbc1 bf7干（ $1 / 2-1 / 2,43$ ）Averkin－ Kasparov，USSR 1979.
b） $21 \ldots$ Qd7 22 气bS Qh4 23 并b3 and we have trans－ posed back into note＇$b$＇to White＇s 20th．

| 21 | $\ldots$ | Qh4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | \＃̈c2 a6 |  |

As on virtually every Black move， $22 \ldots \mathrm{~g}^{4}$ comes Into consideration．One example is 23 fxg 4 ． 2 f 624 Qe1 Wh8 25 酋c4 hxg4 26 Qxg4 今xx 427 Qxg4 Qxg4 28 hxg 4 Exg 429 岩d3 宸g Ftacnik－Pribyl，Bratislava 1983.

| 23 | Da3 | 乌f6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 | Qe1 | g4 |
| 25 | hxg4 | hxg 4 |
| 26 | Qxg 4 |  |

26 fxg 4 \＆h5（ 26 ．．． gb 8

 31 Qxh4 笑xh4 32 首xh4 Exh4 33 乌bbt Barbero－ Helmers，Thessaloniki Ol． 1984） 27 Qh1 $0 \times g 428$ Qxg 4 Exg4 29 并h3 每g5 30 Qxh4 fixh4 31 酋e6＋安h8 32 If3 Hg 433 仑巳c4 0e7 34 Qxd6 Qxd6 35 שxd6 Ëg8（Black has methodically built up the attack on the $g$－file and now the pressure against g 2 has become intolerable） 36 乌f2（36 Z ff2 f3！doesn＇t help matters） $36 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathrm{xg} 2+$ 37 安f1 \＃h2 38 直e2 Qg $3+39$

曹d3 Eh6 40 붕a3 道h5 41

 Anaya－Crespo，corr． 1985. 26 ．．．公h5 27 aS
27 Qc4 \＆g 328 Qxg 3 fxg 3
 Effit van Dyck－Chapman， corr．1989．The impending invasion on c7 guarantees White good play．

However，Black＇s play is far from convincing．Nunn suggests $29 \ldots$ ．．．$e^{7}$ as an improvement，while 29 ．．．峟gS also appears highly dangerous for White，e．g． 30 §xd6 Qxg 431 fxg 4 Qxd6
 with a vicious attack．If instead 30 Esce3（after 29 ．．．省g5）then $30 \ldots$ Eg6 $\triangle \ldots$ \＆f4 gives Black plenty of play．In view of this，and the poor position White ends up with in the game， potential Whites should consider earlier improve－ ments，such as Polugaev－ sky＇s 21 a5．

| 27 |  | S） $\mathrm{g}^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Qxg3 | fxg ${ }^{3}$ |
| 29 | Wb6！ |  |

Not 29 酋e3 \＃h7 30 f4 QfS 31 exfS 酋h4 and White gets mated．

29 ．．．㒸e7！
Black has a big initiative but must be careful，e．g． 29 ．．．峟g5？ 30 兹e3 岃g6 31 Wh6 and White forces the

## 22 Classical 9 §et 气）d7 10 f3 f5 t1气）d3

exchange of queens．

| 30 | Efci | Oxg 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | fxg4 | §g6 |
| 32 | Qf3 | 4\％4 |
| 33 | 自1 | 454！（6） |



Zsuzsa sees her way through a very murky posi－ tion． 33 ．．． $8 \mathrm{f7} 34$ क由e2 $\mathrm{Qh}^{\mathrm{Cl}}$ 35 Ilc8＋would not be so good．

## 34 结c7！

34 क由1！？is an attempt to bail out，but Black would be clearly better after
明xc1F．

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

36 ．．．仓xg2 looks very tempting as 37 \＄82 fails to $37 \ldots{ }^{4} \mathrm{~h} 2+$ and 37 exg2 similarly goes down after
仓e3 造g1＋．However，as PoI－ gar points out，the retreat 37 ＊g！holds for White， e．g． 37 ．．．©f4 38 仓e 3 乌h3 39 ©f5－．

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 38 | Qf5： |

## 39 由e2

Not 39 酞1？，when 39 ．．． © ${ }^{2} 3+$ would finish matters abruptly．

39 ．．．皆e1＋
39 ．．．©f4＋is a tempting possibility，but is far from clear after 40 由1d2 气e6＋ 41
乌xh6＋th8 44 乌f5．

| 40 | ${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{d} 3$ | Md |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | （t） 4 | －${ }_{\text {c }}$ 2＋ |
| 42 | 家b4 | 晏xb2＋！（7） |



43 由c4！
Ftacnik is hanging on grimly，continuously find－ ing only moves．As Polgar points out，the other king moves lose immediately：

 46 कc4 kic3＋mate） 45 ．．．

b） 43 कc5？$⿴ 囗 十$ 3＋！ $44 \triangleq \mathrm{xe} 3$
 Exb6 47 乌f5 将b4＋ 48 d6 Exd6 49 气xd6 © 4450 Qh1光1－1．

| 43 㫫b4 <br> 45 © ${ }^{\text {ba }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 कc4 bc5 Qe3＋－＋ |  |  |
| 47 ．．． |  |  |
| 48 ¢xe3 |  |  |
| 49 axb |  |  |

It is not proving easy to put White away． 49 ．．．Qxe3 looks good，but then 50 Qg2 Ef2 S1 Qh3 Qxb6 52 $\overline{\mathrm{Exb}} 7$ should hang on．

50 £g2 $\mathrm{gf} 2(8)$


In spite of his tough de－ fending，it looks as if White＇s efforts may have been in vain．The natural moves all lose，e．g． 51 §et Qd2－＋， $51 \hat{D}^{\mathrm{h}} 4 \mathrm{Qg} 5-+$ and 51 d6 $\mathrm{Exg} 252 \mathrm{~d} 7 \mathrm{Bd} 253 \mathrm{I} \mathrm{c} 8+$
 g2 56 \＃di Qe3－t．However， with the wolf at the door， Ftacnik finds a brilliant re－ source ．．．
51 फb3！皆b2＋ 52 有 a ！
The king voluntarily walks into a discovered check． 52 कa4？fails to 52 ．．．QgS．

52
．．．$\quad$［xg2 ${ }^{+}$

53 日xc1 ${ }^{2} \mathrm{e} 2$
54 区g1 g2？
Black misses her last chance．She still had a chance to play for the full point with S4 ．．．号 ${ }^{3}$ 3＋．Ftac－ nik analyses the following continuation as winning for Black． 55 青b2（SS 曹b4

 \＄e8 and White has nowhere further to go） 55 ．．．कf7 56
 58 d 7 \＃xd7 59 \＃xg3 ${ }^{\text {Zd6－＋）}}$

 60 由たxd3 a5 61 te4 a4 62


After the text move Black can no longer win．

| 55 | gSt | 家f7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | ¢ ${ }_{\text {b }}$ | ＊e7 |
| 57 | 由c5 | Ec2＋ |
| 58 | 如 4 | क ${ }_{\text {b }}$ d6 |
| 59 | g6 | Me2 |
| 60 | ¢ ${ }_{\text {a }}$ 5！ | Ea2＋ |
|  | 如b4 | ［e2 |
| 62 | 由95 | 12－1／ |

Game 2
Lautier－Nunn
Groningen 1988

| 1 | d4 | Qf6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | c4 | g6 |
| 3 | $4{ }^{\text {c }} 3$ | O． 7 |
| 4 | e4． | d6 |
| 5 | Qf3 | 0－0 |
| 6 | Qe2 | eS |
| 7 | O－0 | 4 c 6 |
| 8 | d5 | Qe7 |

24 Classical 9 §）e1 §d7 10 f3 fS 11 § $d 3$

| 9 | Sel | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | ¢）d3 |  |
| 11 | $f 3$ | Qf6 |
| 12 | Qd2 | f4 |
| 13 | g4（9） |  |



13
Black has two other ways to approach this po－ sition：
a） $13 \ldots \mathrm{fxg} 314 \mathrm{hxg} 3$（10）． This has not worked out well in practice．It is diffi－ cult for Black to generate any kingside counterplay after this exchange．Wit－ ness the following material：

a1） $14 \ldots \mathrm{c} 615 \mathrm{Qe} 3$（ 15 亩g2 bS 16 b3 aS \｛It is a novelty to see Black attacking on the queenside in these var－
iations of the King＇s Indian Defence，but it is hard to see how he can hope to profit from it．Wilder quiet－ $1 y$ strengthens his position\} 17 \＃h1 bxc4 18 bxc4．Qa6 19
 Eff8 22 QgS cxdS 23 Qxf6 Qxf6 24 हxdS 弁xd5 25复xdS＋6f8 26 cS Exc5 27 Exc5 dxcS 28 Qxa6 畒xa6 29 Ixh7 1－0 Wilder－Hellers， Haninge 1989）and now：
a11） $15 \ldots$ ．．． b 816 安g2 a5 17 乌f2 乌hS 18 Zhh1 cxdS 19 cxdS Qg8 20 粦d2 a4 21 \＃h2 a3 22 b4 Ef7 23 \＃ah1 h6 24 Qh3 Qxh3＋（This exchange is not one that Black wanted to make，but White was lining up g4－g5） 25日xh3 \＄h7 26 ＠bS ©gf6 27 g4 ©f4＋ 28 Qxf4 exf4 29道xf4 由g8 30 Qxd6＋－ Schlosser－Paehtz，Alten－ steig 1990.
a12） $15 \ldots$ h6 16 宙g2 g5 17 Df2 cxdS 18 cxdS Qig6 19 \＃h1 Ef7（In the previous two examples，Black got overrun on the kingside，so Fedorowlcz is careful to strengthen his position there．Nevertheless，this doesn＇t deal with Black＇s fundamental problem here －lack of counterplay） 20 a4！Qf8 21 aS Eh7 22 QbS Qd7 23 乌xa7 今e8 24 a6 bxa6 25 Exa6 䏮f6 26 乌c6 Exa6 27 Qxa6（White has taken the chequered flag
on the queenside whereas on the kingside Black is atill stuck in the pit lane） $27 \ldots$ hS 28 仓b8 气c7 29
 Exh5＋－Lutz－Fedorowicz， Porz 1988.
a2） $14 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 5$ is $\mathrm{Oe} 3 \mathrm{gr7}(15$
 18 cxd6 cxd6 19 乌bs $\mathrm{Ef7} 70$ 4）xa7 Qh3 21 \＃f2 $\triangle f 422$乌bS 亿xe2＋23 当xe2 Zc 824 Exc8 Oxc8 25 㟶c2 Of8 26 4c3 © d 727 光e2士 E Ragozin －S Ivanov，Leningrad 1989. Again White＇s queenside Initiative has proved more successful than Black＇s play on the opposite wing）
 g4（Black should not have allowed thls－once again his counterplay on the kingside is completely stifled） $18 \ldots$ ．．．巳e7 19 g 5 §d7 20 gh2 ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~g}^{8} 821$ th1 c6 22 \＃di cxd5 23 cxd5 a6 24 a4 b6 25 b4 a5 26 QbS 0 b 827 ［̈c1 axb4 28 榎xb4 Qa6 29 §xd6 §xd5 30 exd5 of8 31 ©xf7 1－0 Leveille－Puri， World Open 1989.
a3） $14 \ldots$ h6 $15 \operatorname{tg}^{2}{ }^{2}$ gS 16登c1 Qg6 17 cs （17 Qe3 \＃f7 18 cS Qf8 19 cxd6 cxd6 20 a 4 Еूh7 21 亿f2 乡e8 22 乞bS h5 23 桨c1 Qe7 24 Qxa7 b4 25 4e3 今前7 $\quad 26 \quad \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{~h} 3+27$ कh2 $£ f 428$ Qb6t Saetber－ Sowray，Gausdal 1991．It is beginning to look as if this line leads to a forced win
of tbe Black a－pawn！） $17 \ldots$ Ef7 18 cxd6 cxd6 19 Øf2 Qf8 20 \＃̈bl Zh7 21 a4 Q 22 \＆）bS b5 23 登c3 a6 24 亿a3 Qd7 25 Qc4 bS 26 axb5 axbS 27 \＆e3 省f6 28 亿f5 气g 729 Qxg7＊＊xg7 30 乌h3士 Tunik －Kaminski，Kecskemet 1989.
b） $13 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5(11)$

（Black wants to play ．．．hS but doesn＇t wish to allow g5 in reply） 14 gel（White hurries to tidy up on the kingside．Ignoring this sec－ tor of the board can have unfortunate consequences -14 cS hS 15 h3 §g6 16 男c1 Iff7 17 a4 Qf8 18 §b5 a6 19 Qa3 世47720 Qc4 Qe7 21 QaS hxg4 22 hxg 4 曾f8 23 审f2登h2＋ 24 bei 炭h6F Daloz－ Laclau，French League 1991； White managed somewhat better in Lobron－Renet， Novi Sad O1．1990： 14 Hifl h5 15 h 3 g̈f7 16 Qel Qf8 17 cS Qg6 18 cxd6 cxd6 19 4b3

 हीg7 2S b3 乌h4＋ 26 Qxh4
gxh4 27 乌f2 \＆h7 28 乌b1 Qg5 29 4d2 $\pm$ ．White has succeeded in keeping the kingside secure and is rea－ dy to cash in on his queen－ side gains）and now（12）：

b1） 14 ．．．c5（An interest－ ing idea－Black accepts a weakness in the hope of delaying White on the queenside） 15 Qf2 hS 16 h 3包的 17 b 4 b6 18 bxcS bxcS 19 宸a4 hxg4 20 hxg 4 气xg4 21 fxg 4 f3 22 Qdd Qf4 23 Qxf4 exf4 24 Qxf3 Qxc3 25 Eab1 Qe5 26 Gg2 Qd7 27尚d1 $1 / 2-1 / 2$ Miladinovic－Tod－ orovic，Belgrade GMA 1988.
b2） $14 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathrm{g} 615 \mathrm{c5}$ 登f7 16 cxd6 cxd6 17 a4 9 f8（17 ．．． h5 18 h 3 Qf8 19 曾g2 Qe7 20
 Qd7 23 Qf2 Qh44 24 Qxh4 gxh4 25 \＆f2 学e8 26 Qd3
 Belotti，Aosta Open 1989. Black has played well， having kept White busy on the kingside without com－ promising his queenside position，and now with this
well－timed break he ob－ tains the advantage） 18 aS h5 19 h 3 登h7 20 由g2 hxg 421 hxg 4 Qe7 22 Qf2 \＆h4＋ 23 Qxh4 gxh4 $24 乌 f 2$ h3 25 thi（Taking the h－pawn would only help Black to open lines on the kingside． Shirov knows that it won＇t run away） 25 ．．．a6 26 b4 bs 27 axb6 登xb6 28 bS Qd8 29 bxa6 Qxa6 30 Qxa6 \＃̈xa6 31首e2 \＃aa7 32 Zab1 学e3 33皆xe3 fxe3 34 Zb8 thd7 35
 \＃xb1＋－Shirov－Hebden， London（Lloyds Bank） 1991.
b3） $14 \ldots$ hS $15 \mathrm{h3}$ \＆g6（ 15 … 曲f7 16 由g2 学h8 17 男h1 hxg 418 hxg 4 登xh1 19 曹xh1 Qg6 20 Qf2 Qd7 21 由g2
 24 cxd6 cxd6 25 Qxa7 \＆xg 4 26 fxg4 f3 27 Qf2 fxe2＋ 28首xe2 崖f6t Vuruna－Heb－ den，Vrnjacka Banja 1989. Once again Black has lost the a－pawn and although he does have counterplay on the kingside，it amounts to insufficient compensa－
曹g2 Qf8 18 b4 Eh7 19 Zh1 Qd7 20 尚b3 hxg 421 hxg 4
 Qe7 24 娄g2获c8 ${ }^{1 / 2-1 / 2}$ Laza－ rev－Hazai，Hungarian Lea－ gue 1991） 16 ．．．$\quad$ gf7 17 a4 hxg $418 \mathrm{hxg} 4 \varrho \mathrm{~h} 4+19$ Qxh4 gxh4 20 \＃h1 乌h7 21 aS 仓gs 22 \＆f2 a6 23 b4 Qf8 24 类d3登h7 25 乌h3 ゆxh3 26 Exh3
（Black＇s kingside ambitions have been terminated and now he is reduced to sitt－ ing still and awaiting White＇s queenside break－ through） 26 ．．．Qd7 27 Qd1 Wh8 28 Oa4 c6 29 Ehh1 Jc8 30 葛h3 Qe8 31 thbl 斯c7 32 Md2 Qf7 33 Qb3 c5 34 bS Ma 35 ＠a4 $\pm$ Lutz－Timo－ shenko，Budapest 1989. 14 g 5
Should the critical piece sacrifice in this line（see note to Black＇s 1Sth）prove to be good for Black，then exponents of the white side will have to fall back on the more restrained 14 h 3 ． For example：
a） $14 \ldots$ ．．．h7 15 安g2 安h8 16 習h1 亿g8 17 Qe1 §h6 18 cS
 21 cxd6 cxd60 Bischoff－ Rechel，Bad Worishofen Open 1990.
b） $14 \ldots$ c6 15 bg2 $Q \mathrm{~d} 716$ Qe1 Qh7？ 17 c5！（Sharply spotted） 17 ．．．cxdS 18 cxd6 Ec8 19 异xe5 Qe6 20 Qxg6 d4 21 乌xf8 $勹 \mathrm{xf} 822$ 乞b5＋ Walker－Howell，British Ch． 1990.

| 14. |  | Qh7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | h4 | ©6（13） |

This is much too slow and enables White to orga－ nise his forces on the king－ side，after which Black can only sit and suffer．Simi－ larly ineffective is 15 ．．．cS 16 Ef2 Qd7 17 b4 cxb4（17 ．．．

b6 18 bxcS？！（This looks un－ necessarily committal and allows Black to success－ fully blockade the position\} $18 . .$. dxcS 19 䍇b3 今c8 20 §b5 a6 21 乞c3 4 d 622 glb1t Hracek－Salai，Brno 1990） 18 \＆xb4 a6 19 Qf1 敛7 20
 Qf8 23 कh2 Qe7 24 Qf2 $Q f 8$ 25 乌d3 Qe8 26 乌a4 笑aS 27 Q 66 Q $\times 6628$ Q $\times 66$ 宸 2329 Hyd2 \＆d7 30 gc7 صc5 31 Ec3
登xc7 34 © Haba，Prague 1989.

The experience of these games and of the text indi－ cate that if Black is to get at the loose white king－ side，it must be now．The only way to try to make 13 ．．．h5 work is to grab the bull by the horns with 15 ．．． ExgS，when the following sequence is forced： $16 \mathrm{hxg5}$ S）f5 17 Rf2 首xg5 $+18 \quad \mathrm{gg} 2$ Q g3 19 \＆f2 昫f6 reaching the critical position（14）．

In his notes to this game in Informator，Lautler re－

commends 20 \＃h2！，claim－ ing an advantage for White following $20 \ldots$ gS 21 Qf1； meeting 21 ．．． $0 \times$ ff 1 with 22 \＄xf1！（see Djurhuus－Schol－ seth below）and $21 \ldots g 4$ with 22 fxg 4 hxg $423 \triangleq \times g 4$ ！ ＊g6 24 Oh3．Nunn，mean－ while，recommends 20 ．．．濰5！when 21 gg 2 光f6 leads to a repetition，while 21
 not advisable．

Tournament play has witnessed the following examples：
a） $20 \mathrm{gd} 3 \mathrm{gS} 21 \mathrm{ge1} \mathrm{~g} 422$ fxg 4 hxg 423 Exg 3 fxg 24

 29 皆 3 Eaf8 30 Qe3 of 31 ＊h2 Oh 432 气g 3 曾f6 33 © 75 g3 34 光h3 Qxf5 35 exfS
荌h8 38 Eht Eff 39 Oxf4

 1－0 Steingrimsson－Dan－ nevig，Gausdal 1991.
b） 20 Qf1 g5 21 gh2 $0 \times x 1$ （21．．．酋g $622 \mathrm{Oh} 3 \mathrm{~g}^{4} 23 \mathrm{f} \times \mathrm{xg} 4$
hxg 424 Qxg 4 f3 25 Qxf3 Qxe4＋ 26 Zg 2 1－0 Lukacs－ Spiriev，Budapest 1991） 22

 h4 27 乌g1 Qd8 28 QaS c5 29 Qxd8 axb4 32 axb4 Exa1 33 并xal酋hS 34 学aS $\mathrm{gf8} 35$ 酋c7 h3 36 乌fxh3 Qxh3 37 Eb 2 ＠f1＋ 38 東c3 wh1 39 首xd6 首xg1 40 学g $6+1 / 1 /-1 / 2$ Djurhuus Scholseth，GJovik 1991. 16 Qell 277
16 ．．．仓xgS？ 17 hxg 5 ©fS
 16 ．．．bS 17 ＠b4！cs 18 乌c6 Qxec 19 dxc6 b4 20 §bSt－－

```
17 Qf2 oh3
18 䂞el (15)
```



$$
18 \ldots . \quad \text {... } x \text { xg }
$$

Compared to the earlier position where ．．． $4 \times \mathrm{xS}$ should have been played， this is now unsound，but Black＇s position was with－ out prospects anyway．Af－ ter a normal move such as 18 ．．．©f8，White would pre－ pare to open the queenside with 19 b4．

19 由h2！
Nunn must have either overlooked or underesti－ mated this． 19 hxg 5 ？\＆xd5！ 20 由h2 ©xc3 21 bxc3 Qe6 glves Black reasonable compensation，but after the text move he loses a plece for very little．

| 19 |  | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | （ixh3 |  |
| 21 | Ig1 | Of6 |
| 22 | कh2 | ［g7 |
| 23 | Qf1 | gxh4 |
| 24 | ＂xg7 | g7 |
| 25 | c5！ | d5 |
| 26 | cxd6 | 并xd6 |
| 27 | Oc5 | \％${ }^{\text {d }}$ d8 |
| 28 | Qxe7 | ＊xe7 |
| 29 | Q $\mathrm{exd5}$ | 苗f7 |
| 30 | Qh3 |  |
|  | \＃cl |  |



31
\＃g8
32
33
34 Ec8 曽f7
35 ©c5！h3
36 包 $\mathrm{Zg}^{2+}$
37 家h1 Oh4
38 ［47 $\mathrm{Og}^{3}$
It looks as if Black has
stirred up some trouble as 39 ロxx7 allows a perpetual check along the second rank．However，Lautier＇s next move seals Black＇s fate．

| 39 | Hg1！ | ¢2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | ［xf7 | 1xg1＋ |
| 41 | ＊${ }^{\text {b }} 2$ | 1－0 |


 game is up．

Game 3
Shirov－Nunn
Bundesliga 1991

| 1 | d4 | Qf6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | c4 | g6 |
| 3 | $0{ }^{0}$ | Q87 |
| 4 | e4 | d6 |
| 5 | Qe2 | 0－0 |
| 6 | Qf3 | e5 |
| 7 | 0－0 | 006 |
| 8 | d5 | Qe7 |
| 9 | Qel | 2d7 |
| 10 | Qd3 |  |

Delaying ©d3 in favour of a quick $Q \mathrm{~d} 2$ and $\overline{\mathrm{Cc}} 1$ is an idea that was given a whirl by Miles a few years ago， without any great success： 10 Qd2 fS 11 Ec1 §f6（Also possible is $11 \ldots$ c5 12 f4 exf4 13 Qxf4 \＆）eS 14 当d2 fxe4 iS Exe4 QfS 16 乌f2 ＊b6 17 g4 Qd7 18 与ed3
 －Sznapik，Malta Ol．1980） 12 f 3 cS 13 dxc6（ 13 Qd3 is preferable） $13 \ldots$ bxc6 14 Sd3 Qe6 15 cS fxe4 16 fxe4
dS 17 exdS EfxdS 18 砬8＋首xf8 19 粉a4 \＆fS 20 Og 4乞xc3 21 Qxc3 QdS 22 QxeS Qxe5 23 QxeS 曻h6 24 El Wd2－＋Miles－Bukic，Bu－ gojno 1978.
f5（17）


11 f3
In the early days of the development of the King＇s Indian，the move 11 exfS was frequently played．Ne－ vertheless，it creates no problems for Black and has more or less disappeared from contemporary prac－ tice．Black can happily re－ capture with either the pawn or the knight and play after either is well docu－ mented in other sources． We will content ourselves with two Hori efforts： 11 ．．． Qxf5 12 f3 Qd4 13 乌f2 $Q f 6$ 14 Qd3（14 Qe3 QhS 15 Qfe4乌f4 16 登2 cS 17 Qfit Hort －Hellers，Wijk aan Zee 1986） 14 ．．．cS 15 Qe3 QhS 16 Qe4 登f7 17 号b1 b6 18 桨d2 Qf5 19 QgS Qf6 20 Qxf6 Qxf6 21 QxfS QxfS 22 Ebe1

特f8 23 乌xce4 Qxe4 24 亿xe4 Qxe4 25 fxe4 炇e7 26 Exf7家xf7 27 啠f1＋1／2－1／2 Hort－ van der Wiel，Reykjavik 1985.

Assuming that White wi－ shes to play the main line， then 11 Qd2 is most fre－ quentiy seen here，and superficialiy it may seem that it makes little differ－ ence whether White plays this or 11 f 3 ，but there are some move order finesses which require examination．

White can try to avoid giving Black the chance to play 11 ．．．f4（see note to Black＇s next move）with 11 Qd2，but then Black can avoid the main lines with the following（18）：

a） $11 \ldots$ fxe4 12 乌xe4 $\searrow \mathrm{fS}$ gives Black good chances to equalise．For example：
a1） 13 Qc3 亿f 614 Qf 3 今h4 （14 ．．．Qd7 is a4 bh8 16 Qxf6 篎xf6 17 Qe4 岁h4 18 Zel \＃ae8 19 cS Qc8 20 aS乌d4 21 cxd6 cxd6 22 马a4士 Wells－Byrne，London（Wat－
mon，Farley \＆Williams 3 1991） 15 Qxf6＋岁xf6 16 Qe4 Qf5 17 尚e2 Qxe4 18 首xe4 $0 /=$ Ftacnik－Mortensen，Esb－ Jerg 1985.
a2） $13 \quad 0 g 4 \quad \Delta f 6 \quad 14 \quad \theta_{g} 5$ Wd7 15 Qxf6 Qxf6 16 cS Og7 17 Elc1 皆f7 and Black is fine，Meduna－A Rodri－ guez，Prague 1980.
b） 11 ．．． ［f7！？has been the subject of some recent experimentation，but re－ celved an awful pounding In Khalifman－Watson， Iondon（Watson，Farley \＆ Williams）1991，viz． 12 f3 f4 13 登c1 hS 14 cS！ExcS？！ 15 4xeS dxc5 16 Qc4 Hef8 （Black＇s pleces are horribly tangled and be soon gets caught in the crossfire of the white bishops） 17 Qel b6 18 b4！cxb4 19 QbS cS 20 d6 © 0621 曾dS yd7 22 Oh4 $1-0$（There is no answer to 230 e 7 ）．
c） 11 ．．．${ }^{6} 8$ ．This semi－ waiting move leads to si－ milar play to the main line of Gelfand－Kasparov，e．g．
c1） 12 b4 气g 813 f3 f4 14
 17 cxd6 cxd6 18 Qb5 Qe8 19 a4 ©h6 20 Ec3 Qd7 21 h 3
 24 aS a6 25 亿a3 b5 26 酋d3 Qc7 27 乌b1 岁d8 28 Qe1 Qb8 29 bf1 \＃ff7 30 \＆d2 乌f6 31
 Qc5 dxc5 34 bxc5 Qa7 35 d6 g4 36 hxg 4 hxg 437 c 6 Qh5

38 Qd3 g3 39 cxd 7 悔h4 40
蒙e2 并xg2＋43 乌ff2 gxf2 44 \＄d3 fxet接 0－1 Farago－Ha－ zai，Hungary 1991.
c2） 12 f3 f4 13 b 4 g 514 c 5乌f6 15 亿f2 h5 16 h 3 亿eg8 17㤢c2 Qh6 18 cxd6 cxd6 19 QbS Qe8 20 Efcl Qd7 21 a4 a6 22 Qa3 g $423 \mathrm{fxg}^{4} \mathrm{hxg} 4$ 24 hxg 4 Qf6 25 Qc4 Qh4 26 Qe1 Og3 27 Ea3 Ec8 28 炒d2多g7 29 备h1 Oxe1 30 岁xe1 Qug 431 Qxg 4 Qxg 432 \＆f2
 Ed8 35 Eh3 Qf6 36 Ee3 fxe3 37 Eg3

狊xe4 1－0 Neverov－Timo－ shenko，Tbilisi 1989.

## 11 ．．．Qf6

Black can try to exploit the fact that White has used the move order 11 f3 （instead of 11 Qd2）with the immediate 11 ．．．f4，leaving f6 available for use by pieces other than the knight．（Meeting 11 Qd2 with 11 ．．．f4 allows White the possibility of $12 \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{g}} 4$ ）．

After 11 ．．．f4（19），we have the following material：
a） $12 \mathrm{~g}^{4}$ Qf6（An imagina－ tive and positionally well－ motivated move；Gelfand exploits the fact that he has not yet returned his knight to f 6 in order to exchange the dark squared bishops） 13 Qd2 h5 14 h 3


由f7 15 Qe1 Hh 816 宙g2 Qg8 17 Elc1 Qh4 18 Eht 宙g7 19 Qxh4 兹xh4 20 首el 皆d8 21䛜f2 b6 22 区cg1 aS 23 由f1 Ec5 24 कel Qe7 $1 / 2-1 / 2$ Dreev －Gelfand，Kramatorsk 1989.
b） 12 Od 2 gS 13 g 4 （ 13 gcl
 \＆f2 hS 17 h3 a6 18 a4 $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{2} \mathrm{~h} 719$ aS Eeg8 20 cxd6 䒸xd61？ LAn instructive recapture； Black doesn＇t wish to weaken his b6 square，and realises that it is not easy for White to press against the c7 pawn） 21 仑a4 g4 22 hxg4 hxg4 23 fxg 4 Qh6 24并c2 乌e8 25 乌c5 Of6 26 営a4 Qg7 27 Ele3 Qh4 28 th3
 b6 31 Qd3 Qxg 42 Qxg 4
 －Veingold，Tallinn 1981） and now（20）：
b1） $13 \ldots$ hS 14 h 3 ff6（ 14
 17 cxd6 cxd6 18 Qel Qf8 19 a4 祭h7 20 aS Qd7 21 Of2
 24 Elc 1 De 725 Qb2 Iff8 26当f1安g727 QbS Qc8 28 Qd3


Eh8 29 岁g1 hxg4 30 hxg 4 \＃h3 31 ge2 Qxg 42 fxg 4 $\mathrm{f} 3+33$ Qxf3 $0 \times \mathrm{x} 434$ Qxg 4
 gli－Badea，Haifa 1989. White never got very far with his queenside pawn advance．Perhaps a plan with 8 c 1 and $\triangle \mathrm{bS}$ would have been more to the point） 15 b4 Eh6 16 贯g2 Qg6 17 \＄h1 Qh4＋ 18 कीf2 Of8 19 ILc1 as 20 a3 c6！ 21 dxc6 bxc6 22 狊b3 贯g7 23 Ea4 axb4 24 axb4 Qf6 25 cS Qe6 26 岩c2 dS 27 exdS当xdS 28 Qc3 hxg4 29 AxeS Qf5 30 煎d1 g3＋ 31 bg1 安h7
 34 Qd4 气xb4 3S Qc4 Qc2 0－1 Shabtai－Komljenovic， Biel 1989.
b2） $13 \ldots \mathrm{fxg} 314$ Qxg5！？ （This leads to a complex position where the kings suffer from a mutual lack of pawn cover；the alterna－ tive was 14 hxg 3 when Black can continue 14 ．．． Etg planning ．．．hS－h4） 14 ．．．gxh2＋ 15 ©h1 h6 16 Qh4

Qf6 17 Qxf6 $\begin{gathered}\text { Zxf6 } 18 \mathrm{f} 4 \\ \text { exf4 }\end{gathered}$ 19 Qxf4 乌eS 20 胞d2 $\mathrm{Q}^{7 \mathrm{~g} 6}$ 21 QhS Exf1＋ 22 Exf1 落g5 23 嵌xg5 hxgS 24 安xh2 a6 25 Qf6＋客h8 26 官g3士 Barlov－ Mortensen，Budapest 1987. White has better develop－ ment and the pawn at $g S$ is a weakness．


John Nunn is a constant champion of the Black cause in the King＇s Indian and always willing to ex－ periment with new ideas．

12 ．．．hS keeps open the possibility of transposing to a main line with ．．．f4 and ．．．g5，while trying to sidestep variations where White plays g4．

The more traditional 12 ．．．象h8 is considered in the next game．

$$
13 \text { exf5 }
$$

13 cS is a less critical test of Black＇s idea；Hutchings－ Piket，Novi Sad O1． 1990 continued 13 ．．．f4 14 cxd6 cxd6 is \＃̈c1 g5 16 \＆f2？！ （Rather restrained； 16 §bs！
furthering the queenside attack was more to the point） 16 ．．．\＆）g6 17 Øb5 亿e8 18 告c2 g4！ 9 －Black has a promising kingside initia－ tive．

| 13 | ．．． | gxfS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | f4 | e4 |
| 15 | Qf2 | Qg4（22） |



16 Qxg 4
16 Qxg 4！？hxg4 17 Qe3 $\Delta$ h3 is suggested by Piket．

16
fxg 4
A vital decision which leads to huge complications in which both sides stand on a precipice，Black＇s mo－ bile pawn mass being an important factor in his fa－ vour．However，this move surrenders the passed e－ pawn and the quieter $16 \ldots$ hog 4 might have been pre－ ferable．

| 17 | Qxe4 | Oxb2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | \＃b1 | Qd4＋ |
| 19 | \＄h1 | QfS |
| 20 | Qd3 | b6 |

Insufficiently energetic； the immediate $20 \ldots$ ．．． 3 deserved consideration．


The moment of crisis has been reached．Black is ope－ rating with threats such as $\ldots \mathrm{h} 3$ or ．．．g3 or even ．．． Qg3＋，sacrificing a piece to come to grips with the white king．At this time， Shirov acts with the utmost resolution to wrench the initlative back into his own hands．

$$
26 \text { 等 }
$$

Intending to meet $26 \ldots$ Qxe6 with 27 Qxe6 鳥xe6 28 dxe6 $Q^{2} 629 \mathrm{f} 5+-$ or 27 ．．．幽e7 28 QxfS $\overline{4} \times x 529$ 尚xg4＋ winning．

| 26 | $\cdots$ | Qh6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | Qh7＋ | By7 |
| 28 | 桨d3 | Qxe6 |
| 29 | 桨xc3 | $\mathrm{Og}^{8}$ |
| 30 | Qb2 | 1－0 |

After $30 \ldots$ Qxh7 31 Qe6＋ or $30 \ldots$ 畄e7 31 皿el Black is utterly heipiess．

Game 4
Gelfand－Kasparov Linares 1990

| 1 | d4 | Qf6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | c4 | g6 |
| 3 |  | Qg7 |
| 4 | e4 | d6 |
| 5 | Qe2 | 0－0 |
| 6 | Qf3 | eS |
| 7 | 0－0 | S06 |
| 8 | d5 | Qe7 |
| 9 | Qe1 | Qd7 |
| 10 | 2d3 | fS |
| 11 | f3 | 9）66 |
| 12 | Qd2 | \＄${ }^{\text {b }}$ |

A flexible move．Black refuses to commit himself immediately with ．．．f4 while at the same time clears the g8 square for the e7 knight．Black also keeps possibilities of ．．．c6， when the placing of the king on $h 8$ will be useful．

13 Elc1
White also keeps flex－ ible，but various pawn moves are possible：
a） 13 b4（24）and now：

a1） $13 \ldots$ 允eg 814 g 4 （14
el Oh6 15 exfs gxfS 16 f4 10417 Qel 气gf6 18 fxe5 ExaS 19 亿xe4 0 xe4 20 $0 \mathrm{xe5}$ 皆e7 21 乞f3 aS 22 Дb 403＋ 23 需h1 axb4 24 Qxb4
 27 Qd3 嶌g 728 g3 Qxb4 29 axb4 Ze 8 30 Qxe4 fxe4 $\mathbf{3 1}$当 3 \＃ff 32 气g 5 Ixfl＋ 33 \＃xfl \＃al 34 Inxal 当xal＋ 35 g2 ofs 36 㐱xe4 क1＇3 b5 38 cxbs 奠xd5 39
 Wd8＋1－0 Lutz－Paehtz， Dortmund 1991．Black ge－ nerated some activity for his pawn sacrifice，but it never looked like enough）
 tif7 17 Ecl Qh6？ $18 \mathrm{gxf5} \pm$ ＊P6（If 18 ．．．gxf5 19 Ixx8＋ wins material） 19 fxg6 hxg6 20 Qxh6 §xh6 21 迷d2 and White won，Kir．Georgiev－ Ree，Palma 1989.
a2） 13 ．．．c6 14 a4 f4 15 g 4 hS（As in the Lautier－Nunn game，this commits Black to an immediate sacrifice of a piece，otherwise he will have no play anywhere． In this instance，White has the possibility to take an Immediate draw if he wi－ shes） 16 g 5 气h7 17 h 4 气xg5 18 hxgS 亿 xdS 19 gafz（Cap－ turing the knight allows Black to deliver perpetual check） 19 ．．．乌c7（19 ．．． Se3？！ $20 Q \times 3$ fxe3 $21 \mathrm{gl}^{2} 2$ Rashkovsky－Tsarev，USSR 1989） $20 \mathrm{Zg}^{2} \mathrm{Qh}^{21} \mathrm{gh}^{2}$

首xgS＋ 22 安h1 Qe6ळ Bareev －Kuzmin，Moscow 1989.
b） 13 a4（25）with the fur－ ther branch：

b1） 13 ．．．f4？！（A curious decision－why play ．．．क्ष女h8 and then head for the stan－ dard kingside pawn storm where the king move is irrelevant？） 14 cs g 515 Bc c6 16 cxd6 省xd6 17 dxo6勺xc6 18 乌b5 岩e7 19 妴el a6
 22 今d 6 Ole6 23 宸c3 as 24
豝xb6 \＆）xb6 27 气c5 5 Con－ quest－Botterill，British Ch． 1984.
b2） 13 ．．．o6 14 a5 cxds？！ （Doing White＇s work for him； 14 ．．．© $\operatorname{leg}^{8} \triangle$ ．．．Qh6 was better） 15 cxd5 §）eg8 16 डf2！Qhb 17 Oxh6 $0 \times h 618$当d2 $4419 \mathrm{Efc} \mathrm{g}^{5} 20 \mathrm{h3}$ Qhg8 21 乌bS 乌e8 22 Inc2
 Qd7 25 乡c $7 \pm$ Kozul－Pav－ lovic，Yugoslavia 1988.
b3） 13 ．．．a5 14 皆c2（ 14 g 4
 Eh2 ${ }^{\text {Gg }} \mathrm{g} 818 \mathrm{~h} 5 \mathrm{fxg} 419 \mathrm{fxg} 4$
gxhS 20 gS Qe8 21 QxhS Qg6 22 乌bS 5 b 723 Qg4 Qf4 24 Qxf4 exf4 25 Qxc8当xc8 26 每h5 Qe5 27 更h1士 Kozul－Gufeld，Tbilisi 1988） 14 ．．．c5 15 dxc6 $2 x$ xc6 16 Qe3 Ed4 17 卷d1 Qe6 18 b3 fxe4
 21 \＃xf8 $Q x f 822$ 日f1 Qe7
 25 陊f 2 Oh4 26 g 3 gg 27 h 4 Qxd5 28 exdS Qe7 29 由g2
 Hansen－Berg，Graested 1990.

## 13 ．．．cS

Black has numerous al－ ternatives here：
a） $13 \ldots$ Qeg 8 and now：
a1） 14 exf5？（This only helps Black by strengthen－ ing his centre；White now gets wiped out in the centre and on the kingside） 14 ．．．gxfS 15 f4 e4 16 \＆f2 cS 17 dxc6 bxc6 18 Qe3 Qe6 19
 Qe7 22 習d2 d5 $23 \mathrm{cS} \mathrm{d4} 24$ Qxd4 奖xf4 25 Eifd1 $\mathrm{Zg}^{2} 26$ g3 桨h6 27 Ic2 \＆fdS 28 Qxd5 Qxd5 29 bs f4 30乌xe $4 \mathrm{fxg}^{3} 31$ Qxg3 乌fS 32
 Barbero－Gallagher，Bern Open 1989.
a2） 14 b4 $\mathrm{Zf7} 15 \mathrm{cS}$ 皆f8 16
 19 h4 h6 20 历h1 Qf8 （White＇s advanced g－pawn is about to be rounded up， but he conceives an inge－ nious plan to wipe out the

Black centre with the aid of a piece sacrifice） 21 ＠bS！ Дh7 22 乌 xc7！湈xc7（22 ．．． Qxc7 runs into a similar tactic，e．g． 23 cxd6 学xd6 24 Qxe5） 23 cxd6 并d8 24 QxeS $\mathrm{Eg} 7 \quad 25$ Qxf4 hS 26 亿c4 Qxd6 27 Qe5 $\sum f 728$ Qxg7＋ Qxg7 29 f4 乌e7 30 d6 \＆c6 31 eS 乌xb4 32 芹d2 £a6 33 Qd3 bS 34 Qxg6 Qb7＋ 35家h2 \＆h6 36 Qe3 Qxh1 37
 （It isn＇t every day you see five connected passed
 1－0 Hjartarson－Fedoro－ wicz，Novi Sad Ol． 1990.
b） $13 \ldots$ c6（26）and now：

b1） 14 \＆f2 c5！？（Black is suggesting that the White knight is misplaced on f 2 ）
 h4 Qf8 18 Qh3 h6 19 exfS gxfS 20 gS f4 21 営h1 ${ }^{\text {Eg }} 722$由f2 Qh5 23 Qe4 Qf5 24 Qd3士 Ftacnik－Sznapik， Banja Luka 1983.
b2） 14 b4 bS（Creating tension everywhere；the advantage of having the
king on h8 becomes clear） 15 dxc6 bxc4 16 乌f2 $4 \times c 617$ 0 Oxc4（17 bs Qdd 18 Qxc4 ©b7 19 Qg5 若 $8 \quad 20$ 営d3 WaS7 Spassov－Zsu Polgar， Bulgaria 1981） 17 ．．．Qd4 18 \＆）dS Qb7 19 Qe3 Qxd5 20 Qxd5 Qxd5 21 exd5 篤6 22 （4xd4 exd4 23 亿d3 Elac8 24 Exc8 Exc8 25 桨a4 Oh6 26 f4 䛜b7 27 鹏e1t Frias－ Sznapik，Thessaloniki Ol． 1984.
b3） 14 g 4 bS（Very $\operatorname{logi}$ cal．In comparison with b2 the extra weakening of the White kingside with g4 whould help Black．Unfor－ tunately，we don＇t see a reasonable test of the idea as，in this game，Malaniuk mimply proves too strong for his opponent） 15 dxc6 bxc4 16 Qf2 Qxc6 17 Qxc4 4d4 18 家h1 Ob7 19 gS Eh5 20 乌dS Ec8 21 b3 \＆ff4 22 4xff exf4 23 Qc3 皆b6 24步d3 tfe8 25 ticd1 \＆xf3 26 Qxg7＋安xg7 27 指xf3 fxe4 28 岁xf4 解8 29 岩h4 Exc4
 ＊h6＋由g8 33 BdS Qxd5 34 exd5 1－0 Malaniuk－Griego， Philadelphia Open 1990.
b4） 14 dxc 6 （This doesn＇t look right；Black now gains very easy development for his pieces） $14 \ldots$ ．．．§xc6（The alternative recapture 14 ．．． dxc6 did not work out well In Hübner－Nunn，Bunde－ sliga 1985 after 15 Qe3 学e8

16 总a4 Qe6 17 zfd1 fxe4 18 fxe4 Qg 419 Qxg 4 Qxg 420 Дd2 Qe6 21 b3 $\boxed{f l} 722 乌 f 2 \pm$ ） 15 Qe3 Qe6 16 学a4（16 b3
 19 Of1 Og8 20 乌e2 $\& f x e 2+$ 21 Qxe2 fxe4 22 \＆xe4－ Schacht－Schubert，Bun－ destiga 1985） $16 \ldots$ 乌d4 17登fe1 a6 18 cS छc8 19 崖a3 Qc4 20 cxd6 Qxd3 21 Qxd3 Ec6 22 exfS gxfS7 Lautier－ Wahls，Biel 1990. $14 \quad \mathrm{~g} 4$（27）
a） 14 a3 Seg 815 b 4 b6 16 bxcS bxcS 17 Ebi hS 18 这a4 fxe4 19 fxe4 Qh6 20 Oxh6 Qxh6 21 湈c6 Og4 22 Qxg4 \＆hxg4 23 登f3 学aS 24 Ect士 Titov－Kudriashov，USSR 1991.
b） 14 gibl aS 15 a3 乌eg 816 b4 axb4 17 axb4 b6 18 岁c1 （18 当c2 Qh6 19 \＃al Qd7 20 exf5 Qxf5 21 所xa8 复xa8 22 Qxh6 \＆xh6 23 当b2 Qh5 24 g3－Lobron－Neurohr，Bun－ desliga 1990） 18 ．．．f4（Belov doesn＇t like this and sugg－ ests instead 18 ．．．乌h5，en－ couraging White to weaken himself with g3） 19 苟b2 g5 20 品a1 Exal 21 Ixa1 g4 22 bxcS bxes 23 皆bs 4 d7 24栘b3 gxf3 25 Qxf3 亿h6 26 E）bS Qf6 27 Ha7 \＆fg 428 h 3 Qe3 29 \＆f2 $\Delta f 730$ Qxe3 fxe3 31 岁xe3 Qh6 32 学e2先g5 33 Og 4 岁 $\mathrm{c} 1+34$ 熄f1 Oxg4 35 § $\mathrm{xg}^{4}$ 当xf1 +36家xf1 Qf4 37 兆e2 hS 38 亿f6 h4 39 \＆xd6 \＆gs 40 \＆d7
 43 Дbb 45 Qct 1－0 Neverov－Belov． Voskresensk 1990.
c） 14 dxc 6 transposes to b4 in the previous note．


14
$a 6$
a） $14 \ldots$ ．．．d7 15 仓f2 0 eg 8 16 安h1 f4 17 b4（This is based on a neat tactic，i．e． 17 ．．．cxb4 18 Qb5 㒸b6 19 Qxb4 a6 $20 \mathrm{cs!} 17 \ldots$ b6 18 Qbs a6 19 Qa3 \＆e8 20 \＃b1
 23 h 3 hxg 424 hxg 4 Qh6 25 bxc5 bxcs 26 5b6 ツid8 27 Elb2 Qf6 28 要g2 Qh4 29 gh1 Qxe1 30 桨xe1 कg77 31 桨h4莎xh4 32 登xh4 \＆f7 33 thh1 Qf6 34 Eb6 \＃xb6 36 \＃xb6 $\pm$ Ftacnik－ Geller，Sochi 1977.
b） $14 \ldots$ ） eg 815 安g2 \＆e8 （ 15 ．．．h6 16 h 4 fxg 417 fxg 4乌h7 18 \＃̈h1 Qf6 19 由g3 \｛Very brave！？ 19 ．．．a6 20 a3 b6 21 b4 Дa7 22 Qe3 h5 23 gS Qxg5 24 hxgS QxgS 25 驷g1 Qh6 26 फh2 气g $\mathrm{H}^{+} 27$ Qxg ${ }^{4}$ Qxg4 28 Qf2 Qxcl 29 羊xcl


 Wh2 wh3＋ 37 由g1 Ef1＋0－1 Züger－Belotti，Mitropa Cup 1990） 16 gS f4 17 h4 8 gf 7 18 Eh1 Qf8 19 gi Qg7 20
 Qe7 23 Qa4 hxg5 24 hxg 5 Qxg5 25 Qe8！（28）

（It is interesting to com－ pare this game to Hjartar－ son－Fedorowicz \｛note a2 to Black＇s 13th3．On both occasions White seems to have compromised his po－ sition by an ambitious advance of his g－pawn to gs where it is，in the long run，indefensible．However， it is difficult for Black to undertake anything while this pawn is there，and the time and effort expended to capturing it leaves him exposed in other areas of the board） $25 \ldots$ Ig7 26 Qxg6 \＃xg6 27 区xhS ${ }^{\text {W }} \mathrm{g} 7$ 28 Qc3＊e7 29 前 c 2 Qh6 30悔h2 由h7 31 乌exf4！exf4 32 Qxf4

 Tel Qf5 39 Exf5 宸e3＋40 － H 1－0 Ftacnik－Nunn， Vlenna 1986.

## 15 Qf2 h6！？

Alternatively， $15 \ldots$ ．．．d7．
a） 16 a3 Qeg 817 b 4 b6 18 bxcS bxcS 19 Zblt Qe8 20䚺c1［Ja7 21 莫g2 Qf6 22 exfS gxfS 23 g5 Og7 24 f4 \＆e7 25 0 Dh e4 26 Qe2 雪8 27 h 4 Qg6 28 픈 1 炭e7 29 Qxg6 hxg6 30 hS gxhs 31 Exhs 4c7 32 सh3 Qe8 33 Qc3 Qg6 34 Qdi Qe8 35 新b2 $4 f 736$ ©e3 むb7 37 Ebh1！Eff 38
 ［148 Abld Ftacnik－Ost－Hansen， Nasbjerg 1982.
b） 16 Ibl bS？！（This is muperficially attractive but， as is nearly always the case，the opening of the queenside favours White） 17 cxbS axbS 18 ＠xbS $0 \times b 5$ 19 Oxb5 Exa2 20 b 4 cxb4 21胃xb4 fxe4 22 fxe4 QexdS （rather optimistic，but he had to attempt to create some play for his pieces） 23 exdS ©xdS 24 Eb3 畄a8 25 Qc4

 （although Black eventually managed to draw）Rosen－ berg－McDonald，British Ch． 1991.


17 fxg 4 乌eg 8 （29） Black＇s play looks

strange，but he has calcu－ lated that his attack is suf－ ficiently quick to force a serious weakness to the white kingside pawns．

| 18 | 由g2 | Qh7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 19 | Bh | Qf6 |
| 20 | $\mathrm{gS!}$ |  |

$20 \mathrm{~g} 5!$
The main point of Black＇s play is revealed after 20由g3 Qxh4＋！ 21 気xh4 Ifxf2 regaining the sacrificed material．White can then try to exploit the awkward placing of the black pleces， but he will be ultimately unsuccessful，e．g． 22 当h1 （22 Qxh6 g5t 23 Qg7＋莫xg7 24 是xh74 宙xh7 25 安xf2乌f6） $22 \ldots$ 当f8！（22 ．．．眷f6？ 23 g 5 ！） 23 Qe3 Zf4！ 24 Qxf4 exf4＋2S 由ff2 gS干．

With the text，White offers a sacrifice of his own．In return he cripples the＇King＇s Indian＇bishop， obtains control over the critical blockading square g4 and opens the $h$－file to pursue his own kingside attack．


An amazing move typical of Kasparov himself．A more restrained positional approach would be 22 hxg 6迤xg6 23 QhS 学g7 $24 \quad \mathrm{Qg}^{4}$ with compensation because of White＇s domination of the queenside light squares．

| 22 | ．．． | cxb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | Q4 | Qd8 |
| 24 | $0 \times 64$ | Qd7 |
| 25 | hxg6 | \＃xg6 |

The position is beginning to look very promising for Black，but Gelfand finds a way to stir up trouble．

$$
26 \quad \mathrm{cSt} \quad \mathrm{~g} 4
$$

Kasparov plans to advance this pawn to $g^{3}$ driving away the white knight at $f 2$ from the de－ fence of the e－pawn．Black will then be able to play ．．．祭xe4＋when the white king will be wide open．How－ ever，White has sufficient resources against this plan and it might have been bet－
ter to activate one of his knights with $26 \ldots$ ．．．$f 6$ ．

| 27 | c6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Ad3 |
| 29 | dxob |
| 30 | Qf3（31） |



Not 30 cxd7，when Black＇s plan would come to frui－ tion with $30 \ldots$ Exc1 $314 \times \mathrm{xc} 1$ － $42+32$ 家g1 宏xe4－＋．

$$
30 \text { … } \quad \text { xf31? }
$$

If $30 \ldots$ Qxc6，White keeps his initiative alive with 31 ©xe5！Therefore， Kasparoy adds fuel to the fire with a sacrifice of the exchange．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 31 \text { 析xf3 9g4 } \\
& 32 \text { 夝xg3 首xe4+ } \\
& 33 \text { bg1 }
\end{aligned}
$$

White had a difficult de－ cision to make between this and 33 曾h2．After 33 th2 play remains highly complex with one possible line： 33 ．．．気c7！？ 34 \＆c3当d4 35 Qa5 亿gf6 36 Qxc7 Qxc75．

| 33 |  | 4）gf6！ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | Qxd6！ | 整d4＋ |
| 35 | Qf2 | \％ |



|  | Eh2 | Eg7＋ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | \＃g2 | Qc7 |
| 41 | \％fS | 首x日2 |
| 42 | He8＋ | 尚g8 |
| 43 | 峟xg8 ${ }^{\text {＋}}$ | 䓢xg8 |
| 44 | \＃xg7＋ | 莫xg7 |
| 45 | 4 d 3 | 1／2－1／2 |
| Notes | based | on Ka |

## 2) Classical 9 今el 今d7 10 f 3 f 5 11 g 4

This variation is named after the American grandmaster Pal Benko. Beginners must look at this move with astonishment, as it break a number of basic rules: don't make weakening pawn moves in front of your king; don't try to play actively where the opponent stands better; don't waste time in the opening and so on. Despite this, it is a perfectly playable move, which serves to emphasize what a difficult game chess is.

White plans to try to keep the kingside closed, and will often meet ... f4 with h4, when any further black pawn advance will allow White to achieve this objective. Black must be aware of this, avoid the potential blockade and look for opportunities (often with sacrifices) to break the position open.

Game 5
Pinter - Kr. Georgiev
Warsaw Zonal 1987

| 1 | d4 | Qf6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | c4 | g6 |
| 3 | $4{ }^{4} 3$ | Qg7 |
| 4 | e4 | d6 |
| 5 | Qe2 | 0-0 |
| 6 | Qf3 | e5 |
| 7 | 0-0 | Ec6 |
| 8 | d5 | 4 C 7 |
| 9 | De1 | ¢d7 |
| 10 | $f 3$ | f5 |
| 11 | g4 (32) |  |

11 g 4 looks like an attempt by White to punch Black in the face in the middle of his own attack and while Black is recovering from the shock White tries to blockade the entire king side, so that he gets an absolutely free hand on the other wing.


Black has tried numerous alternatives here：
a） $\mathbf{1 1}$ ．．．fxg 4 ？！（This pre－ mature exchange gives White everything he wants； the remainder of this game la a good advertisement for maintaining the tension． We give the whole of this game as a warning for black players） 12 fxg 4 Exf1＋ 13 由xf1 aS 14 De3 h6 15 h4 乌f6 16 Qd3 b6 17 Qf2 Qd7 18 gS hS 19 b3 Qcs 20 \＃b1 Qd7 21 首d2 首f8 22 （bg2背f7 23 QbS $\pm$（White has a huge space advantage for which Black has no coun－ terplay at all） $23 \ldots$ QxbS 24
崩a8 27 Qc4 寝c8 28 Qe2 岸a8 29 a3 $\quad$ bb7 30 b4 axb4 31

 Qb8 36 of1 Qd 737 Qh3 Ebs 38 \＆d1 \＆f8 39 乌c3 §d7 40学f1思a8 41 岩f2 1－0 A Petro－ sian－Korenev，Belgorod 1991.
b） $11 \ldots \mathrm{hS}$ ？ 12 gS h4 13 ©d3 f4 14 Hi 类f7 15 cS要h8 16 畄b3 b6 17 cxd6 cxd6
 b4 $\pm$ Larsen－Tal，Bled 196 S ．
c） 11 ．．．f4 12 h 4 多h8 13 $\hat{Q g} 2$ Qg $814 \mathrm{Qd}_{2}$ Qf6 is Qel
 18 피cl aS 19 a3 axb4 20 axb4 gS（It looks strange to block the kingside，but White＇s play has been very insipid and Black can take
the initiative with ．．．c6 or a piece sacrifice on g4） 21 h 5 Qgf6 22 Zhi c6 23 dxc6 bxc67 Lukacs－Zakic，Bu－ dapest 1991.
d） $11 \ldots 母 \mathrm{f} 6 \quad 12$ §d3（12乌g2 c6 13 Zbl cxdS 14 cxdS Qd7 15 Qe3 f4 16 Of2 gS 17屏d3 h5 18 h 3 hxg 419 hxg 4 Bf7 20 QbS Qxbs 21 殸xbS ［th8 22 Qel 峟g 823 Zal 宏h7
 26 由g $1^{1 / 2-1 / 2}$ Halasz－For－ gacs，Hungary 1991）and now（33）：

d1） $12 \ldots$ cs 13 \＃̈bl f4 14 h 4 h6 15 Qd2 乌h7 16 Qel Qf6 17 Gg2 Qd7 18 b4 b6 19 bxcS dxcs 20 a4 4 c 821 aS Qd 6 22 （b3－Ftacnik－Marja－ novic，Bucharest 1978.
d2） $12 \ldots$ c6 13 Qe3（13 Qf2莫h8 14 宙g2 峟c7 15 Qe3 Qd7 16 h 4 If7 17 Zycl Дaf8 18 0 d 2 fxg 419 fxg 4 cxdS 20 cxdS 等b 21 Qh3 h6 22 gS $0 \times h 3+23$ 电 $\times h 3 \mathrm{hxgS} 24$ hxg5o Lukacs－Sznapik， Baile Herculane Zt．1982） 13
 h6 15 h 4 bS 16 \＆f2 b4 17 Q）b1

绻e8 18 皆d2 g5 19 h 5 \｛19 hxgS？hagS 20 OxgS would be very bad value after 20 ．．．先g6，when Black can de－ velop a powerful kingside attack\} 19 ．．．c5 20 a3 魝a4 21 ＂Md1（It looks as if Black has been forcing the pace， but the activity is only tem－ porary and White holds all the positional trumps\} 21 ．．．等a6 22 Qd2 新b7 23 axb4
 cxb4 26 c5 की ${ }^{\text {b }} 827$ cxd6
 1－0 Lisik－Zaitsev，Voronez 1991）and now：
d21） 14 気c1 bS 15 cS cxdS 16 cxd6 d4？（16 ．．．乌）c6， when the weakness of the pawn on $g 4$ could be signi－ ficant，looks better） 17 dxe7 版xe7 18 gs Qxe4 19 QdS 榢d8 20 fxe4 dxe3 21 h 4 h6 22 gxh6 Qxh6 23 包xeS
 26 Qg 4 1－0 Halasz－Rie－ mersma，Porabka 1987.
d22） 14 h3 bS 15 \＆b4！ （This innovation of Pinter＇s is a big improvement over 15 cs cxdS 16 cxd6 0 ect 17 exdS ©d4 18 f4 \＆xe2＋ 19狊xe2 e47 Pinter－Morten－ sen，Helsinki 1983） 15 ．．． bxc4（White has the advan－ tage after 15 Qb4 and $15 \ldots$ cxdS also failed to solve Black＇s problems in Pinter －Sznapik，Prague Zt．1985， viz． 16 QbxdS ElexdS 17

cxbSt．Black has insuffi－ cient compensation for the missing pawn） 16 ©xc6 Qxac 17 dxc6 Qe6 18 岩a4 fxe4 19 fxe4 dS 20 QcS士 （White is better and Black＇s forthcoming exchange sa－ crifice proves inadequate） $20 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 421$ Qxf8 Qxf8 $22 \mathrm{c} 7!$
 Qxd5 25 exd5 0 d 726 折xc4 Qh6 27 Gg2 Qe3 28 b4 e4 29樊cS 首xcS 30 bxcs d3 31 Ef7 Qb5 32 d 6 OgS 33 h 4 Qxh4 34 g5 1－0 Pinter－Morten－ sen，Copenhagen 1985.

12 Qe3
White can also man－ oeuvre the knight：
a） $124 \mathrm{~d} 3 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{~g}^{8} 13$ क्ष $\mathrm{h} 1 \mathrm{c5}$ 14 a3 仓dff 15 Eg1 b6 16 Qd2
 19 bxc5 bxc5 20 出e1 乌d7 21今cl fxg4 22 fxg 4 h 623 仓b3 a6 24 g5 hxg5 25 Oxg5 Qf6 26 Qe3 Oh4 27 酋d2 首h7 28 షg2 Qdf6 29 \＃bg1 Q）e7 30
炭e3 㽞h7 33 ESg3 Qh5 34 Qxh5 ExhS 35 Qd2 宸e8 36 Qf3 岁f7 37 Qe2 wh7 38
 bg－Dzevlan－P Popovic， Yugoslavian Ch． 1991.
b） 12 乌g2（34）：
b1） $12 \ldots \sum^{8} 13$ Qd2 aS 14 h4 Exc5 15 एb1 Qd7 16 Qe3 b6 17 b3 fxg 418 fxg 4 Exf1＋ 19 Gf1 乌f6（With his pres－ sure against e4 and g4， Black stands very comfor－ tably） 20 Qf3 约f8 21 由gi


幽77 22 类e2 h5 $1 / 2-1 / 2$ Dridi－ Campero，Novi Sad Ol． 1990
b2） $12 \ldots$ aS $13 \mathrm{h4}$ Q）cS 14 Qe3 Qg8 8 15 $\mathrm{Eb} 10 \mathrm{~d} 716 \mathrm{b3}$ （The weakness of the white pawns is evident by the fact that the natural 16 kd2？is unplayable on account of 16 ．．．fxe4 17 fxe4 $\sum \mathrm{gf6}$ ，forking the two pawns and forcing the po－ sitionally awful capture on c5） $16 \ldots$ b6 17 a3 a4 18 b4 Qb3 19 QbS Qf6 20 exfS（If 20 gS then $20 \ldots$ 曷hS with two very typical themes from this variation in mind， i．e． 21 ．．．f4 followed by ．．． h6 and 21 ．．． $\mathrm{g}^{2}$ followed by ．．．fxe4） 20 ．．．gxfS 21 ©c3 e4！ 22 gS QhS 23 fxe4 f4 24 Qd2 $Q \mathrm{xd} 225$ 等xd2当e8 26 Qf3 \＆g 327 Efe1 QeS （Black has a dream position from the King＇s Indian；a crushing dark square blo－ ckade and a weak white kingside to aim at．Nunn proceeds to methodically open lines against the white king） 28 Qe2 Qxe4！

29 Qxe4 f3 30 Qef4 fxg2 31 Qxg2 钟S 32 離3 Og4 33
 gf7 36 Ze1 gaf8 37 Дe3 登f4 38 \＆g 2 Z4f7 39 Qe3 QhS 40
 We1 Qd4＋ 43 Bg 2 当eS 44 $\mathrm{Qg}^{3} \mathrm{Og} 445 \mathrm{bS}$ Qf2 46 背xf2 Qh3＋0－1 Pinter－Nunn， Thessaloniki Ol． 1988.

| 12 |  | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 首d2 | Edf6 |

13 ．．．a6 14 仑g2 f4 is Qf2 hS 16 gxhS gS ${ }^{5} 17 \mathrm{~h} 4$ Qf6 18
勿e8 $21 \mathrm{hxg5}$ 当xh5＋22 22 g 4 QxgS 23 Gg1 \＆f8 24 菑f2 Qg6 25 0 0 g S 桨xgS 26
 ＊h2 ©ff 29 Zg 10 Og 430 \＃h1
 33 费e2 气gg 434 घd 1 b6 35 b3

 Oxg2 Exh1 40 Qxh1 娄g5 41 Og2 费h4 42 bf2 as 43 a3 Qf8 44 Qf1 Qd7 45 b4 Qb8 46 安g2 axb4 47 axb4 乌a6 48 b5 $1 / 2-1 / 2$ Pinter－Nunn， Dubai Ol． 1986.

> | 14 | $\mathrm{h3} \quad \mathrm{hS}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | $\mathrm{Bg} 2!$ |

White finds a clever way to avoid the retrograde is数d．

## 15

 Qh7The point of White＇s last move is revealed by the continuation $15 \ldots$ fxg 416 fxg 4 hxg 417 hxg 4 Qxg 418 Qxg4 §）xg 419 Eh $1+$ Qh6 20 Qxh6 Q8xh6 21 Exh6＋忠g7

 24 bf2t．The pieces are much more effective than the queen．

Another try is 15 ．．．f4 16 Qf2 Qh7 17 Qd3 ©gS 18 Ehi
 Halasz－Shahal，Beer－Sheva 1991.


18 ．．．f4 19 Of2 EhS 20 Zh1 \＆）g $210 \times \mathrm{Og} 3 \mathrm{fxg} 322$宏e1 Qf6 23 要xg3 $\operatorname{lgS} 24$ Eh3 Ef7 25 Eah1 桨e7 26 c5 Qd7 27 c6 bxc6 28 dxc6 Qxc6 29 Eb4 Qb7 30 Qc4 ㅍg 7 31 QdS सb8 32 首f2 QxdS 33 QcxdS 奖d7 34

荘xa7 wbsix Halasz－Bor－ kowski，Porabka 1987.

| 19 | ¢f2 | f4？！ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | Qd2 | Qh6 |
| 21 | Eh1 | \＄87 |
| 22 | b4 | Qg5 |
| 23 | 莫f1 | 匈e7 |
| 24 | \＃c1 | Qd7 |

White＇s defensive moves on the kingside look hor－ rible，but he has been care－ ful not to allow any tactics based on sacrifices on g4 or e4 and Black＇s initiative on that wing has petered out．Now White takes his chance to pursue his queenside play with the aid of a dynamic pawn sacri－ fice．

| 25 | $c 5!$ | dxc5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26 | bxc5 | Wxas |
| 27 | \＃b5 | Wb6 |
| 28 | Ua3！ |  |

Not the obvious 28 Qxc7？，when 28 ．．．気ac8 traps the knight．White＇s target is the e－pawn which will come under intolerable pressure when White has regrouped with Qc4，Dc3 and 9 d 3.

| 28 | $\ldots$ | Gae8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | Qc4 | 知d4 |
| 30 | 昆c2 | Q） 77 （37） |
| 31 | Qb4！？ |  |

As this wins the exchange，it can hardly be called bad，but more consi－ stent would have been 31 Qc3！畑cS 32 配2 将e7 33 Edd3＋－．The e－pawn drops

off and Black is left with weaknesses everywhere．

$$
31 \quad . .
$$

If the rook moves，the 32 If di traps the black queen．
32 Qxf8

| 33 | D45 | 岁b4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | 年c3 | Mxa3 |
| 35 | Exc3 | b6 |
| 36 | Qb3 | ［088 |
| 37 | ¢ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |

Not 37 Qxa6 屋a8 38 Qd3 Exa2．

| 37 |  | aS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | thel | Q 28 |
| 39 | 4 d 2 | $\mathrm{Og}_{5}$ |




Being the exchange up， White forces open llnes for the rooks．After this thrust，Black＇s positon falls apart．

| 43 |  | bS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | Ec5 | Qc6 |
| 45 | dxc7 | Qxc7 |
| 46 | Qb6 | 等7 |
| 47 | 9 bl | Qd8 |
| 48 | Ebcl | 1－0 |

## 3) Classical 9 \&el \& e d 710 f 3 f 5 11 2e3

As so often in chess, this move involves a straightforward trade of advantages. On the profit side of the balance sheet we find that White has acquired a much more active posting for the queen's bishop than the rather passive square of d2. The advance c5 will be supported, and the pressure against the vulnerable b6 and a7 squares can reap dividends. However, a search for the negative aspects of the move reveals that White will lose a tempo to ... $\mathrm{f4}$, Black will find it easier to play ... g4 (no knight on f2) and when It drops back to f 2 , the bishop provides a further target for Black's kingside aspirations (... g3).
Following the natural sequence 11 ... f4 12 Qf2 g5, White has two main ways of furthering the queenside play; 13 b4 which is the subject of game 6 (other 13th moves are also considered here), and 13 a4 (game 7). Kasparov dealt 13
b4 a hefty blow with his innovation against Piket (17 ... Qf8!), and White needs an improvement here.

Game 6 Pliket - Kasparov Tilburg 1989

| 1 | d4 | Qf6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 包3 | g6 |
| 3 | c4 | 0 g 7 |
| 4 | 今c3 | 0-0 |
| 5 | e4 | d6 |
| 6 | Qe2 | eS |
| 7 | $0-0$ | 406 |
| 8 | ds | Qe7 |
| 9 | Qel | Qd7 |
| 10 | De3 | $f 5$ |
| 11 | 93 | f4 |
| 12 | Qf2 | $\mathrm{g}^{5}$ (39) |


$13 \quad$ b4

50 Classical 9 乌）et 乌ीd7 10 f3 f5 It Qe3

今c8 17 dxc 7 \％xc7 18 Qxa7
包hS 21 亿d3＊e3 22 乌f2酋xe2 23 首xb700 Benjamin－ Nunn，Hastings 1987／88．
b2） 13 ．．．a6？！（This was the original attempt to meet 13 QbS，but has now been rejected in favour of 13 ．．．b6） 14 仓a7 Ëxa7 （Allowing the capture of the bishop on b8 would amount to positional sui－ clde） 15 Qxa7 b6 16 b4 Qb7 17 CS （17 世⿱丷⿱一⿴⿻儿口一寸进 is also promi－ sling，e．g． 17 ．．．©c8 18 cS dxc5 19 Qxa6 Qxa6 20 崩xa6今d6 21 气d3 c4 22 乌cs bxc5 $23 \mathrm{bxc5}$ Qc8 24 c 6 Qdb6 25 Oxb6 $仓 \times b 626$ a4 妴a8 27㒸b5 宸a7 28 审h Of8 $30 \mathrm{~d} 6+$－Zuger－Agnos， London（Lloyds Bank）1987） $17 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 518$ Zc1 \＆c8（18 ．．． cxb4 19 d6 cxd6 20 荌xd6
 23 Exc6 公xc6 24 尚xc8＋ Qxc8 25 日c1 ©xa7 26 \＃c7士 Honfi－Kupreichik，Buda－ pest 1988） 19 bxcS Qa8 20 c6 Qf6 21 Qxb6 $Q \times b 622$ Qxab（White has more space and a strong pawn chain， whilst Black＇s bishop and knight are spectators） 22 ．．． g4 23 \＆d 3 g 324 h 3 气有 825
全bc8 28 由h1 炎a7 29 然c2
 32 Qf1 Qxc6 33 dxc 学xaS
 chnoi－Hulak，Zagreb Izt．
1987.
b3） 13 ．．．b6 14 b4（ 14 a4？！ only seems to make White＇s task on the queen－ side more difficult，e．g． 14 $\ldots$ aS 15 b4 axb4 16 乞d3 $\triangle \mathrm{c} 5$ 17 ）xb4 g4 18 as g3 19 hxg 3 fxg 320 Oxg 3 IxaS 21 I7xaS bxaS 22 乌d3 $\langle\mathrm{xd} 323$ 蔡xd3 Qg67 Züger－Cvitan，Genf 1988） 14 ．．．a6 15 气c3（15 Qa3？！was tried in Huzman －Smirin，Sverdlovsk 1987， but it is difficult to see how the knight can be more useful here than on c3，as was indicated by the con－ tinuation： $15 \ldots$ hs 16 cs bs 17 乌ac2 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qff } \\ & 18 \text { a } 4 \text { bxa4 } \\ & 19\end{aligned}$ Exa4 气）g $620 \mathrm{bS} \mathrm{g}^{4} 21$ 气b4 $\mathrm{g}^{3} 22 \mathrm{hxg} 3 \mathrm{fxg} 3230 \times \mathrm{g} 3 \mathrm{~h} 4$ 24 Qct 岸d7 25 Qh2 Qh6 26 f4 0 xf4 27 bxa6 宏g 728 Qxf4 Oxf4 29 炎d3 \＃xa6 30 Exa6 Oxa6 31 炎xa6 宸g 332
 （कf7 0－1）and now we have a further branch（41）：

b31） 15 ．．．hS 16 （thl \＆f6 $17 \mathrm{c5} \mathrm{~g} 418$ exb6 cxb6 19 वl g 320 Qg1 gxh2 21 of2（This
body swerve by the bishop Is a familiar means of deal－ lng with the advance of the g－pawn） 21 ．．．h4（Clearing the hS－square for the knight with the aid of a pawn sacrifice．Black can－ not afford the luxury of 21 ．．．Qg6？，when the response would be 22 Qa4 \＃b8 23 ficb and White piles in） 22亿a4 2 \＃b8 23 bS axbS 24 QxbS 乌h5 25 6xh2 Qg3 26 Zaleo Korchnoi－Ye Jiang－ chuan，Novi Sad O1． 1990.
b32） 15 ．．．Zf6 16 乌d3 th6 17 Qe1 乌f6 18 乌f2 \＃̈g6 19 a4 h5 20 h 3 安h8 21 a5 Qeg 822 bS Eh6 23 axb6 cxb6 24 bxa6 \＃̈xa6 25 \＃̈xa6 Qxa6 26学a4 Dc8 27 峟a8 g4（Both sides have achleved their objectives and the position is dynamically equal）van der Sterren－Douven，Dutch Ch． 1987.
b33） 15 ．．．©g6 16 Qd3 Ef 7 17 a4 Of8 18 aS bxaS（18 ．．． \＃b8 19 axb6 cxb6？！\｛19 ．．．
 Cebalo－Vukic，Yugoslavia 1987） 19 \＃̈xa5（ 19 bxaS may be an improvement not－ withstanding the outcome of Thorhallsson－Jonsson， Reykjavik 1989： 19 ．．．【g7 20 c5 ©f6 21 cxd6 Qxd6 22 Q）cS乌f8 23 畄b3 紫e7 24 気e6 Qxe6 25 dxe6 Qxe6 26 尚b7楢e8 27 Afdi g4 28 Qh4 Qc5＋ 29 苟h1 Ea7 30 畄b2


象f7 33 将d8 最xf6 34 登d7＋ Qxd7 35 皆xd7＋由f8 36

 cS §f6 21 bS axbS 22 仓b 4 Qd7 23 c6 Oc8 24 Za7 hS 25 E．a6 Qxa6 26 Exa6 b4 27务bS g4 28 首a4 g3 29 Qa7 gxh2＋ 30 由h1 Jc8 31 Qf 2 h 4 32 कxh2 \＆hS 33 \＃yb4 \＆g3
 Qf1 Ëe8 37 ）c3（White＇s pieces come scuttling back to defend the kingside） 37
 Eleh7 40 कh3 0 xe2 41 0xe2 （42）（Black now finishes off with a brilliant combina－ tion）


41 ．．．每g3＋ 42 Qxg3 hxg3＋ 43 当g4 Ee7 0－1 Piket－ Douven，Dutch Ch． 1988.

13
Qf6
As is the case in nume－ rous positions in the King＇s Indian，Black can also con－ sider the immediate rook manoeuvre 13 ．．．Ef6 14 cS （43）and now：
a） 14 ．．．a6 15 c6（Play now

becomes completely un－ clear） 15 ．．．bxc6 16 dxc6 Qf8 17 a4 Eh6 18 bS 岁e8 19 Wh1 Qe6 20 Qc4 bh8 21 Qd3 Qdd 22 \＆b 4 尚h5 $23 \mathrm{Qg}_{1} \mathrm{~g} 4$ 24 Qxa6 g3 25 仑xc7 岁g 526 If2（The only way to defend against the threatened 26 ．．． Exh22＋and 27 ．．．炭h4） 26 ．．． gxf2 27 Qxa8 Eg6 28 将f1
 d5 31 Q）xd5 Qxxd5 32 0xd5 Qe2 33 罃b6（44）（If your heart＇s desire is to finish off a game with a queen sacrifice，then this is clear－ ly the variation to play！－ see also G Burgess－Wat－ son，note to Black＇s 17th）


33 ．．．学 $\times h 2+$ ！0－1 Andruet－
van der Wiel，Montpellier Zt． 1985.
b） $14 \ldots$ ．．． h 6 （Watson has tried this twice，but allow－ ing 乌bS appears to give White the initiative） 15 cxd6 cxd6 16 ＠bS ©f6（16 ．．．当e8 $17 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{fxg}^{18} 18 \times \mathrm{xg} 3$ 罃d8 19
 a6 22 首xd7 Qxd7 23 ＠c7士 Korchnoi－Watson，Beer－ Sheva 1987；with Black＇s rook stuck out of the game on h6，White has all the chances） $17 \mathrm{Syc}_{\mathrm{gc}} 418 \mathrm{fxg} 4$ Qxe4 19 ＠c7 Qxf2 20 \＃xf2 ［b8 21 Od3 是f6 22 h 3 Od7 23 尚b3 कh8 24 乌f3 Qc6 25 g5 zf f 26 Qb1 首xc7 27 妆d3 Qf5 28 dxc6 Ebe8 29 类e4 bxc6 30 Exxc6 $\pm$ D Gurevich－ Watson，Beer－Sheva 1987.

| 14 | $c 5$ | Qg6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | cxd6 | cxd6 |
| 16 | Ecc | EPf7 |
| 17 | e4（45） |  |



17 ．．．Qf8！ 17 ．．．hS 18 aS Qd7（ 18 ．．． g4 19 \＆bS b6 20 亿xa7 ［fxa7 21 Oxbs 酋e7 22 Qxa7


Qxct 25 dxcbo Piket－Pie－ terse，Dutch Ch．1988） 19 QbS QxbS 20 OxbS g4 21 Wh1（21 4d3 g3 22 Qe1 gxh2＋ 23 多h1 a6 24 Qa4 h4

 Qf2 Qf6 30 Qb6 苗f8 31 Bc4 $\pm$ D Gurevich－Weera－ mantry，US Open 1988） 21 ．．．g3 $22 Q_{g} 1 \mathrm{gxh} 223$ Qf2 h4． （23 ．．．a6 24 Qb6 等f8 25 Qe2 h4 26 安xh2 QhS 27 Qd 3 Qf6
 Qxd8 Exd8 31 Ex E 2 EH 732 4ff2 h3 33 gxh 3 桨h6 34 Qf1宙h8 35 Og2 仓h4 36 首c1惟g 37 Ec3 全e2 38 Qh1
 Burgess－Watson，British Ch．1989） 24 由 ${ }^{(6 h 2}$ QhS $2 S$ Zg1 ©gs 326 a6！（an lnnova－ tion at move 26（！）；alterna－ tively 26 Qd3 of 27 Qel Eh7 28 Qf2 h3！it is essen－ tial for Black to prevent the blockading 气h3） 29
 कh8m D Gurevich－Hellers， New York Open 1987） 26 ．．． bxa6 27 Qxa6（The point of White＇s manoeuvre is that he has weakened the light squares on the queenside and thus has possibilities to activate his king＇s bi－ shop，the importance of which becomes clear in the game） 27 ．．．Qf8 284 d 3 Eth7 29 Qel h3 30 gxh3 wh4 31 \＆f2 安h8 32 Ec8 Exc8 33 Qxc8 Qe7 34 包h1 崮h6 35

Qe6 气f8 36 Og4 Qd8 37 Qxg3 fxg $3+38$ Qxg 3 Qb 39日g2 Eg6 40 营a4 Df4 41然e8＋由g7 42 Qh4 \＃g6 43躬xg6＋Qxg6 44 Qf5 Exh4 45 Exg6＋＋－Piket－Paneque， Adelaide 1988.


The problem with the text move is that it wea－ kens e4 and thus allows Black to get ．．．g4 in．There have been two games with the semi－waiting 19 कh1， but they have both con－ firmed that，in this posi－ tion，Black＇s kingside play is more relevant than White＇s on the queenside， e．g． 19 कh1 Eg 7 （46）and now：

a） $20 \varrho \mathrm{Q} 5 \mathrm{~g} 421 乌 \mathrm{xa} 7 \mathrm{~g} 3$ 22 Qb6 苃e8（Certainly not 22 ．．．然7？ 23 QbS，when the crucial Black light－ squared bishop is exchan－ ged and his counterplay is stit1born） 23 ［gc7 gxh2 24 Exb7 乌hS 2S Qf2 Qe7 26 Exd7 峟xd7 27 QbS 兠c7 28

Qc6 Qh4 29 \＆d3 $\mathrm{Hff7} 30$ Qdxes dxes 31 QxeS 祭f 32

 Qf2 宸hS 37 Qh3 Qf6 38 Qxf6 Exxf6 39 Qc6 民゙af8 40 a6 全eS 41 Ob72！岩h4 42 岁f2当xf2 43 §xf2 Zb 644 乞h3

 Ebs 0－1 M Burgess－Badea， Prestwich 1990.
b） 20 QbS g4 21 Qxd7垪xd7 22 fxg4 Qxg4 23 乌f3 De7 24 Og1 \＆h4 25 曾a4
 Qxh4 28 亿bS 堅a8 29 h 3 a6
 bS Exc8 33 b6 由h8 34 QbS登xc2 35 吽xc2 Qxe4 36 §c7皆g6 37 由h2 $9 \mathrm{~g} 3+38$ 由h1 De1 39 乌e6 气g $3+40$ 㫨xg 3 Qxg3 41 落c7 苟xc7 42 bxc7浆e8 43 Egs Qel 44 \＆f7＋

安h6 49 Og1 e4 50 曾xb7 f3
由g1 exf3 S4 晋b2 f2＋5S Qxf2 峟xf2＋56 当xf2 Qxf2 57 贯xf2 由f5 58 h4 由f4 0－1 D Gurevich－Gruenberg， New York Open 1991.

| 19 | $\ldots$ | $g 4!$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | 亿c7 | $g 3!(47)$ |
| 21 | Qxa8？ |  |

According to Kasparov， this is the decisive mistake． In a lengthy analysis in New In Chess Yearbook 14， he gives 21 hxg 3 as the only move，without reaching any

firm conclusion．The main line of his analysis runs as follows： 21 hxg 3 fxg 32 Qxg3 Qh6 23 Qxa8 乌h5 24 Qf2 仑gf4 25 Qd3 \＃g7 26 Q）xf4 Qxf4 27 g4 Qxcl 28

觜xe2 Qxg4 33 畨e3．Al－ though the outcome re－ mains in doubt，Black can－ not possibly be worse，e．g． 33 … 首xa8 34 妴xa7 苟e8 and Black has counterplay everywhere．White might do better with 34 Qg3 to shield the king，but Black still has the more secure position．

$$
21 \text { … QhS! }
$$

Highlighting the benefits of keeping the h5－square available for use by pieces．

| 22 | 柬h1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 23 | \＃xf2 |
| 24 | 㽞g1 |
| 25 | Qc4 |

Kasparov finds an inge－ nious way to open up an－ other avenue to pursue his dark square attack．


A brilliant finish from the World Champion．

Game 7
Korchnoi－Kasparov Amsterdam 1991

| 1 | Qf3 | Qf6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | c 4 | g6 |
| 3 | $4{ }^{4}$ | 087 |
| 4 | e4 | d6 |
| 5 | d4 | 0－0 |
| 6 | Qe2 | eS |
| 7 | 0－0 | （）ct |
| 8 | dS | S）e7 |

9 Ele1（49） 9 ．．．巳d7
Black has two other 9th moves； 9 ．．． CS is unimpres－ sive，but 9 ．．．$\widehat{\text { e } 8}$ is worth a look．Thus：
a） 9 ．．．cS 10 f4 exf4 11 Qxf4 Qe8 12 Qd3 f6（Not really what Black wants to do，but eS is looming，and he is hampered by the

self－inflicted weakness at d6） $13 \mathrm{h4}$（Less direct，but not bad，was 13 省d2 od7 14
曻e7 17 Qtf2 Qh8 18 h3 Qg7 19 b4 b6 20 bxcs bxcs 21 $\mathrm{Qg}_{\mathrm{g}} \pm$ Sosonko－Gunawan， Surakarta／Denpasar 1982） 13 ．．．a6 14 岩e1 Qd7 15 首g3 Qc8
 hS g5 19 Oc1 bS 20 Qg4 Qb6 21 cxbS axbS 22 苜h3 h6 23今cd1 Eb7 24 Qe3 Qxg4 25妆xg4 岁d7 26 \＆f5 $\pm$ Szygul－ ski－Partos，Luzern O1． 1982.
b） $9 \ldots$ e8（This can obviously transpose to other variations if Black quickly returns the knight to $\mathrm{f6}$ ，but it can also have an independent existence） 10 f3（10 Q）d3 has been played a few times，but doesn＇t cause Black pro－ blems，e．g． 10 ．．．fS 11 f4 exf4 12 Oxf4 fxe4 13 Qxe4 c6 14 dxc6 bxc6 15 当d2 合f5 16 马ael \＆f6 17 乌c3 Qe6－ Pliester－Caessens，Gro－ ningen 1988．Black has free
and easy development） 10 ．．．fS 11 g 4 （ 11 Qe3 is better， e．g． $11 \ldots \mathrm{f} 412$ of 2 gS 13 cS §g6 14 a4 $9 f 715$ cxd6 cxd6 16 चैcl hS 17 乌bS a6 18 亿a3 Eb8 19 ©c4士 Farago－ Szekely，Hungary 1987） 11 ．．． cS 12 \＆ d 3 Qd7 13 Zb1 कh8 14 b4 b6 1S Q Q d2 \＆ g g 8 （As a result of White＇s kingside play，he is weak on the dark squares，so this is a very logical plan） 16 Qf2 Qh6 17由g2 Qxd2 18 兹xd2 岩h4 19 h3 \＆it6 20 气d 3 \＆f7 21 当e1酱e7 22 Qdi Qf6 23 Qa4 Oxa4 24 Qxa4 h57 Tan－ Crawley，London（Chess for Peace）1987．White＇s king－ side is vulnerable，and his knight on a 4 is very offside．

| 10 | ge3 | $f S$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | f 3 | f 4 |
| 12 | of2 | gS |
| 13 | $\mathrm{a4}(50)$ |  |



The motivation behind 13 a4 is similar to that of 13 QbS－to force a weakening of the black queenside． White intends to follow up with 0 bS，when ．．．b6 can
be met with aS，and ．．．a6 with © a7，harassing the vi－ tal dark－squared bishop．It is yet another move that was pioneered by Korchnoi in his life－iong quest to re－ fute the King＇s Indian．

13 ．．．$£ g 6$
The most usual，but others are possible，e．g．
a） $13 \ldots$ If7 14 aS Qf8 15 b4（15 乌b5 乌f6 16 仓xa7 Qd7 would win a pawn at the cost of some time．White prefers to carry out the assault with pawns，keep－ ing his plece placement flexible） $15 \ldots$ Qg6 16 cS Qf6 17 Qd3 Eg 718 bS g 419 fxg4 ©h8 20 Qh4士 Ivanov－ Hebden，Hastings 1984.
b） $13 \ldots \mathrm{hS} 14$ QbS Qf6t？ is an interesting gambit which led to the following complex struggle in Korch－ noi－Hellers，European Club Cup 1987：1S \＆xa7 Qd7 16 QbS g4 17 fxg 4 （If 17 cS Black can consider another typical sacrifice，i．e． 17 ．．． g3！ 18 hxg3 fxg 3 19 Oxg 3包的而） $17 \ldots$ hxg 48 Qh4 \＆）xe 419 Oxg 4 Qf6： 20 Qxd7析xd7 21 运 3 \＆fS 22 Qf2 e4 23 Qc2 \＃ae8 24 Qe1 f3 25 gxf3 e3 26 亿acd4 Qxd4 27 \＆）xd4 \＆h5 28 \＆ef？（28 Bh1！ would have won） 28 ．．．Zxe6 29 dxe6 豝xe6 30 桨dS 曻xdS 31 cxdS e2 32 yff Qd4 ： 33 Gg2 $\triangle f 4+34$ 曹g 3 乌h5 35家g2 $£ f 4+1 / 2-1 / 2$

Classical 9 （1） 1 乞）$d 7$ to f3 f5 t1 Qe3 S7
c） 13 ．．．a5！？is a natural strategic counter which leads to a fascinating posi－ tion．Classical theory says that you must play ．．．aS when your opponent has gone a4 and c4．However， in this case the impending QbS is a really annoying White response．Korchnoi＇s 13 a4 is actually a fantastic example of the way in which modern players are continually pushing for ward the boundaries of strategic concepts．Play can continue $13 \ldots$ aS 14 \＆d3 （51）and now：

cl） 14 ．．．b6 15 b4（Korch－ noi later preferred $15 \sum_{\mathrm{bS}}$ ， claiming it as a little better for White） 15 ．．．axb4（1S ．．． hS 16 bxaS $\overline{Z x a S} 17$ \＆bS $\sum f 6$ 18 ge1 马a6 19 aS g 420 axb 6 cxb6 21 Qb4士 Korchnoi－ van der Wiel，Brussels Blitz 1987） 16 Q xb4 $\& \mathrm{cS} 17$ Qd3 Qb7 18 \＆c1 马f6 19 Qb3 Qf8 $20 乌 \mathrm{bS}$ Qd7 21 当d2 $\pm$ Franco －Milos，Pamplona Open 1991．Black＇s manoeuvres
have only lost time and allowed White to build up on the queenside．
c2） $14 \ldots$ ．．． g 615 cS 乌f6 16 Zc1［f7 17 cxd6 cxd6 18 岁b3 （A double－edged move； White presses on with his queenside attack，but leaves his king dangerously short of defenders and permits the immediate ．．． g4） $18 \ldots \mathrm{~g}^{4} 19$ Qb6 学e7 20 QbS g3 21 Ef7（Not 21 h 3 ？ Qxh3－＋） $21 \ldots$ Qd7 22 寄hl QhS（Although this forces White to give up the exchange，it also enables him to secure the kingside， so maybe $22 \ldots$ hxg3 $\{\triangle$ ．．． © $h 5-g^{3}$ ）was better） 23 h 3益h4 24 昌xd7 Exd7 2S Qc7 Hac 26 Qe6 Qf6 27 QxaS
 30 乌b4 光f7 31 亿xf8 Qxf8 32 aS 曾ec7 33 a6 bxa6 34 Qxa6 \＃xc3 35 bxc3 Exc $^{2} 36$
 \＃b6 39 Elcl Qd7 40 将a2 0 g 7 41 日al Qf6 42 Qd3 Qb8 43
 Reyes，Toledo 1991.


## 14 © $\mathrm{d}^{2}$

Or 14 aS and：
a） $14 \ldots \mathrm{h5}$ 15 由hhl $\mathrm{Lff7} 16$
界xc8（It is highly unusual for Black to voluntarily relinquish his queen＇s bi－ shop in these positions． Here，assisted by the weakness of the e4－pawn， he manages to generate some play on the kingside， but he ultimately lacks sufficient firepower to make it count） 19 （d3 $\mathrm{g}^{4}$
 h3 23 fxg $4 \mathrm{hxg} 2+24$ 由 Eg 2 f3 3 25 $2 \times f 34 \times \mathrm{xd5} 26 \mathrm{cxd5}$
全xg1 29 安xg1 Oh6 30 皆3 Qf4 31 由g2 聯d7 32 当e2 \＃af8 33 \＃f1 \＃g7 34 h 3 \＃f6 35 \＃b3 Qg 536 乌ht $\overline{\mathrm{Exf}} 137$
 Qd8 40 Qf5＋－Ikonnikov－ Mamadshoev，USSR 1991.
b） $14 \ldots$ Iff 15 b4（If 15 0 bS ，the following var－ lation，given by Nunn，is very Instructive； 15 ．．．© f 6 16 §xa7 g4！ 17 §xc8 g3 18 hxg 3 Qh5 19 gxf4 exf4ш）is … 乌f6 16 cS Qf8 $17 \mathrm{cxd6}$ Qxd6（If $17 \ldots$ cxd6 18 QbS and the b6－square will be weakened） 18 乌d3 Ig 719
 （Much better than 21 首e1？， which allowed Black to de－ velop a strong attack after 21 ．．．䓓e8 22 fxg 4 分xg 23

 b6！$\mp$ Korchnol－Nunn，Am－ sterdam 1990） $21 \ldots$ hS 22 Qc4 酱e7 23 婁h1 a6？（Better was 23 ．．．कh8，sidestepping the tactical trick that now arises） 24 §xc7 笑xc7 25

 Korchnol－J Polgar，Pamp－ Iona 1990／91．White has pressure on the c－file and the black kingside is weak．


Setting Black the diffi－ cult problem of how to pursue his kingside attack without getting wiped out on the opposite wing． Plunging in with $17 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 4$ ？is unattractive after 18 fxg 4 hxg 419 cxb7 Qxb7 20 Qxg4， while waiting with 17 ．．． Qf8？is similarly bad after 18 \＆bS a6 19 亿a7．Kasparov finds an ingenious solution．

$$
17 \ldots \text { as! }
$$

This slows White down
on the queenside and gua－ rantees the preservation of the light－squared bishop

## 18 cxb7

Black plans to meet 18 b4 with 18 ．．．b6！ 19 bxas bxa5！


This ultimately loses too much time．Kasparov pre－ fers 21 a6！Qxa6 22 Qb4 Qc8 which he assesses as un－ clear．If Black gets carried away on the kingside，he can ultimately pay the pe－ nalty．The following re－ markable variation is given by Kasparov： 21 a6！g4？！ 22 fxg 4 hxg 423 hxg 4 OgS？ 24 a7 \＃̈h7 25 Ele1 省f8 26 営b1！幽h6 27 曺f1 岁h1＋ 28 Og1 Qh4 29 Of3 ©xg4 30 Eb8！ （2）xf3 31 首xf3 气h2＋ 32 雪e2 Qxf3 33 gxf3 ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~g}^{2+}$（Black has won the white queen and is still on the attack， but it is not enough） 34 bdi





 （54）
and White wins！
This is，of course，far from being forced，and may well be the fruit of a piece of extravagant post－mor－

tem analysis．Nevertheless， the variation is highly the－ matically instructive．

| 21 | ．．． | g4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | Qc6 | 奖8 |
| 23 | fxg 4 | hxg4 |
| 24 | hxg4 |  |

If 24 Qxg4 then $24 \ldots$ Qxg4 25 hxg4 f3！is crush－ ing．


27 Oxh4
Not what White would want to play，but there was no good way to deal with the threat of $27 \ldots \triangleq \times f 3+28$ gxf3 Qxg4！ 29 fxg4 峟h3 with a quick finish．

60 Classical 9 Qe1 ©）d7 10 f3 fS 11 Qe3

27 ．．．Qxh4！
Many players would have played 27 ．．．首xh4 here， which seems overpowering but actually allows White to put up resistance by heading for the hills with his king，i．e． 28 安f1 $8 \times{ }^{4}$ 29 费e2．

Having tracked down his prey，Black must be careful not to let it escape at the last moment．
28 gS 岁xgS

30 \＃b1 Og3
31 前d3 酋h4 0－1

## 4) Classical 9 §d2

9 §d2 is a good choice for white players who want something that gets going quicker on the queenside than 9 Qel, but baulk at the outright aggression of $9 \mathrm{b4}$. The knight is heading for an ideal post on c4 (after cS) where it will pressurise the d6-pawn often in conjunction with Qa3. White's play on the queenside comes very quickly and so although it is pessible for Black to press ahead on the kingside, most players prefer to opt for some prophylactic action on the queenside.

There are three approaches to to the problem which White's 9th presents Black:
a) $9 \ldots$ aS (Games 8 and 9). This slows White down by a tempo or two, after which Black will join in the race, hoping to have impeded White down sufficiently to keep the balance.
b) $9 \ldots$ cS (Game 10). This is rather less ambitious.

Black plays to block the position and to keep White under control on the queenside.
c) $9 \ldots$ e8 and others (Game 11). This commences a very ambitious strategy. Despite the warning signs on the queenside, Black plays the standard kingside plan.

## Game 8 Kasparov - Smirln USSR Ch. 1988

| 1 | d 4 | $\sum \mathrm{f6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | c 4 | g 6 |
| 3 | $\sum \mathrm{c} 3$ | 0 g 7 |
| 4 | e 4 | d 6 |
| 5 | $\sum \mathrm{f} 3$ | $0-0$ |
| 6 | $Q \mathrm{e} 2$ | eS |
| 7 | $0-0$ | $乌 06$ |
| 8 | dS | $\sum \mathrm{e} 7$ |
| 9 | 乌d2 | QS $(S 6)$ |

This is currently almost the main line of the King's Indian. All the top players are keen to have their say in the debate, the result of which is a host of fascinating encounters.

10 Bbl


White must continue the queenside advance and so only the text， 10 a3 or 10 b 3 come into consideration． Whichever one White opts for，the other two often occur on moves 11 and 12， but there are some possib－ ilities for independent play：
a） 10 a3 $Q d 7(10 \ldots$ ．．． Q 77 will usually emerge as a main line，but the extrava－ gant rook manoeuvre 11 胢a2 is also possible，e．g． 11 ．．．fS 12 b4 乌f6 13 f3 c6 14 bxa5 fxe4 15 乌dxe4 \＆fS 16 a6

 Qxa6 皆xa6 22 dxc6 仑xe4 23乞）xe4 d5〒 Nikolic－Nunn， Reykjavik 1988）．The point of $10 \ldots$ ．．．d7 is to play ．．．a4， but it is not clear if this is a threat or a bluff．The evi－ dence suggests that White does best to treat it as a bluff（57）：
a1） 11 b 3 cS slows White down on the queenside，and Black should be fine，e．g． 12苗b1 $£{ }^{2} 813$ b4 axb4 14 axb4

b6 15 bxc5（ 15 Q b 3 cxb 416 Q）bS fS 17 f3 太f6 18 Qd3 QxbS 19 cxbS Qd7 20 Qd2 EcS 21 Qxb4 Qh6 22 Eel fxe4 23 fxe4 由bg $^{\text {F }}$－Azmai－ parashvili－Nunn，Amster－ dam OHRA 1990） 15 ．．．bxcS 16 Qb3 f5 17 f3 仑ff 18 Qd2 f4 19 Qb5 乌c8 20 気al＂xal 21 炭xal gS（White＇s queen－ side initiative is well bal－ anced by Black＇s kingside play and the position is about equal） 22 苞a6 桨e7 23气aS g4 24．今c6 宏f7 25 Qb8 g3 26 \＆xd7 gxh2＋ 27 कh
 Qh5 30 Qel $\triangleq \mathrm{g} 3+31$ Qxg 3 fxg37 Vaganian－Gelfand， USSR Ch． 1989.
a2） 11 \＃bl a4 12 b4 axb3 13 气xb3（White＇s queenside has been slightly wea－ kened，but he has more activity as compensation） 13 ．．．b6（ 13 ．．．c5！？is well worth a look．Normally it is counter－productive for Black to force the play in the sector where White has the edge，but here things
are not so clear，e．g． 14 dxc6 Qxc6 15 学d3 Q）hS！？ 16 g3？！f5 17 exf5 Q）xf5 18 Qf3 Qxf3 19 齿xf3 e4耳 van der Sterren－Uhimann，Novi Sad Ol．1990） 14 gal h5（14 … 尚e8 is a consistent con－ tinuation of Black＇s plan， but it is difficult to believe that White can be perma－ nently inhibited from advancing on the queen－ side；Chabanon－Kr．Geor－ giev，Sofla 1990 saw 15 学d3 Qa4 16 Qdi 故h8 17 Qe3 Eeg8 18 亿xa4 Exxa4 19 Qd2 \＃aS 20 cS bxcS 21 \＆c4 Z a7 22 a48） 15 a4 ©h7 16 aS fS 17 Qa3！？bxaS 18 cS Qe8 19
 Qe3 22 第d300 Blees－Piket， Dutch Ch． 1990.
b） 10 b 3 （58）will again often transpose to the main line，but，given the chance，White might also consider a plan with Qa3． Some possibilities：

b1） $10 \quad \ldots \quad$ cS（Cutting across the Qa3 plan and forcing White into more
normal positions） 11 a3 $Q$ e8 12 \＃b1 f5 13 b4 axb4 14 axb4 b6 15 药b3 乌f6 16 Qd3 Qh6 （ 16 ．．．Q）h5！？is an alterna－ tive．White is essentially a tempo down on the varia－ tions with $9 \ldots \mathrm{cS}$ ，and so Black should be fine） 17 Zb2 品a1 18 学c2 $0 f 419$ 亿f3 fxe4 20 Qxe4． 0 xe4 21 Qxe4
 QfS 24 学gS Qd4 25 尚xd8 Qxf3 $\quad 26 \quad$ Qxf3 ${ }^{26 x d 8} \quad 27$ bxcS bxc5 28 Eb8さ Kar－ pov－Kasparov，Seville（17） 1987.
b2） $10 \quad \ldots$ Qd7 allows White to pursue his plan， i．e． 11 Qa 3 fS 12 b 4 axb4 13 Qxb4 安h8 14 a4 Qg8 15 Qb3 b6 16 aS DcS 17 Ea3 Qd7 18 QxcS bxcS 19 a6 \＆f6 20 a7 Qh6 21 Qf3 Qf4 22 苗e2 h5 23 g3 $\pm$ Lputian－Dorfman， Moscow 1986.

| 10 | $\ldots$ | §d7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | a3 | f5 |
| 12 | b4（59） |  |



12 ．．．Wh8 is examined in the next game．Other alter－
natives here are：
a） $12 \ldots$ axb4 13 axb4 $\mathrm{Qh}^{2}$ （ 13 ．．． 4 f6 allows White a free hand on the queenside， e．g． 14 cS Qh6 15 f 3 Q hS 16 Sc4 Qxcl 17 Excl Qf $^{2} 18 \mathrm{~g} 3$ （2）$x e 2+19$ 栟xe2 fxe4 20 fxe4 Qh3 21 登xf8 + 首xf8 22 垙f2察xf2＋ 23 由xf2 \＆c8 24 气b5島a2＋ 25 包 1 dxcS 26 bxcS c6 27 Qc3 1－0 Dorfman－ Balashov，USSR Ch．1984） 14 岩c2（ 14 f 3 气g 815 宸c2 f4 16 QbS Qdf6 17 cS Qe8 18 Qc4 gS 19 Qd2 Qgf6 20 Fiat de Boer－Odendahl， Dieren Open 1990） 14 ．．．©f6 1S f3 c6 16 dxc6 bxc6 17 bS cxbS 18 QxbS QhS 19 Qb3 fxe4 20 fxe4 峟b6＋ 21 कh1 Exff1 22 Qxf1 ©f6 23 Qa3士 Shirov－Piket，Groningen 1990.
b） 12 ．．．§f621 was given a going over in Shirov－Iva－ novic，Manila Izt．1990： 13 cS ！（Of course！） 13 ．．．axb4 14 axb4 卷h8 15 f3 \＆hS 16 g 3 Eg8 17 Ec4 气ggf6 18 Od2 fxe4 19 fxe4 Qh3 20 If2宸e7 21 c6 b6 220 OgS 欵e8 23莫d2 苗c8 24 Qf1 Qxf1 25 \＃bxf1 岩g 426 Oxf6 ${ }^{7} \times f 627$尚e2＋－．Black＇s pieces are horribly placed and c7 is very weak．

$$
13 \mathrm{f} 3(60)
$$

Or 13 Q b3（On general principles，White doesn＇t want to do this－the knight should really be reserved for the c4－square） 13 ．．．
axb4 14 axb4 ©f6（Breakin the tension with 14 ．．．fxel is probably better，e．g． 15 Qxe4．Qf6 16 Qd3 Qd7 17 Qb2 Qxe4 18 Qxe4 要h8 1 ． Ha1 Efg8 20 前d3 Exal 2］ Exal \＆f6－Vilela－A Rod－ riguez，Havana 1978）is ods f4（Black goes for a typical） King＇s Indian race，but the self－inflicted weakness on c6 has made White＇s task rather easier） 16 f3 gS 17 cS Qg6 18 cxd6 cxd6 19 Qd2 h5 20 QbS g4 21 日cl Qh7 22 Qxd6 柆xd6 23 登c6 皆d8 24 Exg6 为f7 25 Efc6 Qd7 26 Ef3 g3 27 h 3 ＠g5（White has won a pawn，but Black has awkward kingside counterplay） 28 牧2 2 oxh3 29 gxh 3 仓xh3＋ 30 安g2 乌f2 31 若xf2 gxf2 32 栄xf2on and the game Conquest－Berg， Copenhagen Open 1987，was eventually drawn．


13
f4
Again closing the centre， but this seems illogical after ．．．b6．The rest of this game is a model demon－

Jration for White．How－ bver，others have not fared ＇well either：
a） $13 \ldots$ Qh6 is usually a doubtful manoeuvre in these positions．Black achieves the exchange of the theoretically＇bad＇bi－ shop，but loses time and weakens the kingside． Blees－Berg，Dieren Open 1987 saw 14 Qb3 Qxc1 15 Excl axb4 16 axb4 乌f6 17 cS官g7 18 cxb6 cxb6 19 若a1 Qd7 20 然d2 ${ }^{3} \mathrm{xa} 121$ 前xa1t．
b） $13 \ldots$ 曾h8 is quite playable，but if Black likes this plan it would seem better to execute it on move 12．Play can now con－ tinue： 14 宸c2（ 14 bxaS ZxaS 15 Qb3 ga 816 a4 Qg8 17 \＃̈al Qdf6 18 aS bxaS 19 ExaS ExaS 20 ＠xaS Qd7 21 Qe3 Qh6 22 Qxh6 \＆xh6＝Wi－ nants－Riemersma，Am－ sterdam 1987） 14 ．．．©g8 is Qd3 Qh62！（This gamblt turns out badly；iS ．．．fxe4 $\Delta$ ．．．$勹$ gf6 looks better） 16 exfS gxfS 17 QxfS Qe3＋ 18家h1 岩h4 19 Qxd7 Qxd7 20分de4 Qxcl 21 安xcl 最fS 22音e1 奖e7 23 cSt van der Sterren－Peelen，Wijk aan Zee 1990．Black has nothing for the pawn．

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
14 & \text { Qa4 } & \text { axb4 } \\
15 & \text { axb4 } & \text { gS }(61)
\end{array}
$$

Black has set the tone for the game－it will be the familiar race on oppo－

site wings．But White al－ ready has a head start， since Black＇s pieces are simply not aggressively placed enough as yet to pose a serious threat to the white king．

| 16 | $c S$ | Qf6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 | $c x d 6$ | cxd6 |
| 18 | bS | Qd7 |
| 19 | Dc4 | Qc8 |
| 20 | Qa3 | Qe8 |
| 21 | $\mathrm{~g} 4 \pm$ |  |

Having established do－ minance on the left wing， Kasparov seals up the klng－ side in order to reduce possible black counter－ chances in that sector． Smirin has little choice but to capture，otherwise he would be suffocated with－ out resistance．

| 21 | \＃． | $\mathrm{fxg}^{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | hxg3 | $\mathrm{g}^{4}$ |
| 23 | Qc1 |  |

A fine move．Kasparov adapts to the changed sit－ uation and prepares to $\mathrm{di}^{-}$ vert his attention to the king＇s wing．In particular，
he wishes to prevent Black from playing ．．．Qh6．

| 23 | ．．． | gxf3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | Qxf3 | ¢f6 |
| 25 | OgS | Ea 7 |
| 26 | Ef2 | \＃b7 |
| 27 | ［b3 | ［a7 |
| 28 | \％b1 | Eb7 |
| 29 | ［b3 | Ea7 |
| 30 | \＃b4！ |  |



With this move Kasparov dashes any hopes Black might have harboured of achieving a draw by repeti－ tion．The text prepares a profound pawn sacrifice whereby White switches the decisive field of con－ flict towards the black king．

```
30
...
Wh
31 斯1
```

Intensifying the pressure against the pinned knight． on f 6 and simultaneously offering a pawn which Black would have been best advised to decline．

$$
31 . . . \quad \text { Qxb5 }
$$

Black snaps at the bait， perhaps in the erroneous
belief that Kasparov h overlooked the possibilif of this trick．

| 32 | ExbS | 88xa4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 33 | $0 g 2$ | h6 |
| 34 | Qh4 | 岁e8 |

The only way to unpi but now Kasparov unleash es a combinational stort which sweeps away Black lines of defence．

| 35 | Qxf6 | Exf6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | 怱x6 | ＊xbS |
| 37 | Ee6 | 田g8 | If 37 ．．．菅xc4 38 ［le8 wins or $37 \ldots$ ．．．xc4 38 岁f with a decisive attack． 38 Qh3 気xc4（63）



39 \＃xh6
Kasparov has sacrficed a knight and now a rook in most brilliant fashion． Black cannot avoid being checkmated．

| 39 |  | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | Qe6＋ | 安h8 |
| 41 | 酶6＋ | 1－0 |

If 41 ．．． $0 g^{7} 42$ 娄h4 + or 41 ．．．\＄h ${ }^{\text {G }} 42$ 湈f7 + Og7 43 Qf5 +
 with mate to follow．A
wonderfully imaginative affort by the World Cham－ plon．

## Game 9

Epishin－van Wely WIJk aan Zee 1992

| 1 | d4 | Qf6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | c4 | g6 |
| 3 | Qc3 | Og7 |
| 4 | e4 | d6 |
| 5 | df3 | 0－0 |
| 6 | Qe2 | eS |
| 7 | 0－0 | Qc6 |
| 8 | dS | Qe7 |
| 9 | Qd2 | a5 |
| 10 | \％${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4 d 7 |
| 11 | a3 | $f 5$ |
| 12 | b4（64） |  |



12
This is currently a highly fashionable continuation． Black tucks the king away and clears $\mathrm{g}_{8}$ for the knight．It has more or less superseded the alternatives． 13 f3
13 奖c2（65）is an impor－ tant alternative：
a） $13 \ldots$ ．．．$f 614 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{axb} 4$ is

axb4 f4 16 cS gS 17 QbS （Naturally，White wants to pursue his queenside attack without delay，but this thrust runs into an un－ expected counter．A better method would have been 17 Qc4，avoiding the forth－ coming tactic） 17 ．．．dxcS！ （Horribly anti－positional， but Black intends a quick ．．．c6） 18 bxcS c6 19 d6 cxb5 20 dxe7药xe7 21 登xbS g4 22 Qb2 gxf3 23 Qxf3 ©g4 24 Qxg4 Dxg4 25 Qf3 Effc8 $1 / 2-1 / 2$ Ftacnik－Nunn，Gro－ ningen 1988.
b） $13 \ldots$ b6 14 §b3（This allows Black to simplify，so 14 f 3 would have been bet－ ter） 14 ．．．axb4 15 axb4 fxe4 16 Sxe4 乌f6 17 Qd3 Qxe4 18 Qxe4 \＆fS 19 䉼d3 能h4 20 g3 析f6 21 f3 0 d 722 Qd2与）d4 23 乌xd4 exd4 24 Eal Oh3 25 Exa8 Exa8 26 Ëd1 QfS 27 Eel $\pm$ Gavrikov－Ka－ sparov，USSR Ch． 1988.
c） $13 \ldots$ fxe 414 Q．acxe4 QfS（Black is hoping to exploit the position of the
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white queen by hopping in－ to d4 with a knight．It is also possible to follow this plan after throwing in $14 \ldots$ axb4 15 axb4，e．g． $15 \ldots 8 f 5$ 16 §f3 h6 17 Qd2 §f6 18 Qd3 थh5 19 Zal and now instead of 19 ．．．Ebs？ 20 气g $3 \pm$ Hertneck－J Polgar，Munich 1991， 19 ．．．Exal 20 Exal 气f4 gives good counterplay）is乌b3（15 \＃d3 0 f6 16 气xf6 Qxf6 17 Og4 QgS？！ 18 Qb2安g8 19 Qe4 Qh6 20 Ebbet士 Blees－Carstens Krumba－ cher Open 1991；or 15 Qd3 \｛perhaps the best at this juncture）15 ．．．©f6 16 OgS h6 17 D d 2 乌h5 18 乌e2 axb4 19 axb 4 崖h4 20 g 3 畾e7 21 f3 ©f6 22 \＃f2士 Anapolsky－ Shchekachev，Jurmala 1991） 15 ．．．axb4 16 axb4 $仓 f 617$ Od3 0 xe4 18 Qxe4 新4 19 Qd2 Od7 20 Qc3 b6 21 Ebe1 ［a3 22 Ob 2 Za and now in Stohl－Marin，Stara Zagora Zt．1990，White blundered horribly with 23 हal？${ }^{2}$ Exb2 24 㒸xb2 㿠xe4－4，but after 22 ．．． $\mathrm{ga}^{2}$ Black is doing fine anyway．
d） 13 ．．． 0 g 8 （66）．This was Nigel Short＇s choice in his solitary dabble with the King＇s Indian．After his adoption of it against Salov in the Skelleftea World Cup，it became extremely popular．Some examples：
d1） 14 f3 $0 \mathrm{gf6} 15$（0bS乞hS 16 g 3 （Why not get on

with it with the immediat 16 cS ？－the extra tempa wasted proves costly） 16 Qdf6 17 cS fxe4 18 fxes axb4 19 axb4 Qh3 20 If2 wd7－Ftacnik－Wang Zilis Sydney 1991.
d2） 14 QbS Ddf6（Whe－ never White loosens con－ trol over the e4－square，it is tempting to look at a plan with ．．．fxe4 and ．． Qf6．Here，for example， 14 $\ldots$ fxe 4 is §xe4 $\circlearrowright f 6$ looks fine for Black）is f3（is Qd3 axb4 16 axb4 0 hS 17 \＆f3乞gf6 18 OgS $\{18$ exf5 e4！ls a cunning tactic） $18 \ldots$ ．．．©f4 19 \＆）d2 \＆xd3 20 当xd3 Qd7 21 Eal QxbS 22 cxbS 这d7 $=$ Vaganian－Marin，Manila Izt．1990） 15 ．．．Qd7 16 bxaS！？ ExaS 17 cs fxe4（If 17 ．．． dxcs 18 a4 $\triangle$ §b3 or $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}} 4$ with compensation） 18 fxe4 Øg4 19 §f3 QxbS 20 ExbS ExbS 21 QxbS Qh6 22 酋c3 Qxc1 23 炭xcl h6 24 a4 ${ }^{\text {由g }} 7$
 －Torre，Manila Izt． 1990.
d3） 14 Qb2．White hopes
this will prove a useful waiting move，but Khalif－ man shows the way to deal with it：
d31） 14 ．．．乌gf6？！ 15 马bel f4 16 cS dxcS 17 bxcS QxcS 18 乌bS Qfd7 19 ＠f3 b6 20 a4 Qa6 21 Qa3 Of6 22 Ed gS 23 h 3 Zg 824 乌h2 Og7 25 Qc4 Qf8 26 d 6 QxbS 27 dxc 7要xc7 28 Qxbs \＆f6 29 Qb2 g $4.30 \mathrm{hxg} 4 \pm$ Salov－Short， Skelleftea World Cup 1989， although Black went on to win．
d32） $14 \ldots$ ．．．df6！（This is much better．Black main－ tains the pressure on e4， and frees the queen＇s bi－ shop．If now 15 cS ，trying to exploit the knight move， then 15 ．．．fxe4 16 Qcxe4 Qxe4 17 Qxe4 \＆f6 equal－ ises comfortably） 15 Ebdi Qd7 16 exfS（This plays into Black＇s hands，but White is stuck for a plan as the na－ tural 16 cS fails to 16 ．．． axb4 17 axb4 fxe4 18 §dxe4 Qxe4，and now White must play the awkward 19 首xe4 as 19 \＆xe4？loses to 19 ．．． Qa4） $16 \ldots$ gxfS 17 f4 exf4 18 Exf4 axb4 19 axb4 Qe7 20 Eff1 \＆g6 21 Qb3 Egt 22 Qxg 4 fxg 423 气e4 QeS 24前xf8＋岩xf8 2S 登f1 学e7 26今g3 豝gS干 Illescas－Kha－ lifman，Manila Izt． 1990.
d4） 14 exf5 gxf5 15 f4（67） This is the latest try for White，but it hasn＇t led

anywhere to date，e．g．
d41） 15 ．．．exf4 16 Qf3 Qe7（16 ．．．QeS also worked out fine after 17 Qxf4 Q $x f 3+18$ Q xf3 axb4 19 axb4 Qh6 in Lputian－Torre， Manila Izt．1990） 17 Oxf4 Qg6 18 OgS Qf6 19 Ebe1 axb4 20 axb4 h6 21 Sh4 QeS 22 Qf4 QhS 23 OxeS苟xh4 $24 \quad$ Qxg7＋仓）xg7 25苟d2 Qd7 26 若f4 岁gS 27
 Arlandi－J Polgar，Portoroz 1991.
d42） 15 ．．．axb4 16 axb4 e4 17 Qb3 Ef 618 乌d4 Qf8 19 Qe3 \＃g6 20 g 3 乌f6 21 Zal
 24 cS dxc5 25 bxcS c6－ Browne－Fedorowicz，San Francisco 1991.
d43） 15 ．．．乌e7（This is more flexible than the pawn captures and so is perhaps the best） $16 \triangleq f 3$ e4 17 Qg5 \＆f6 18 由h1（ 18 Qb2 axb4 19 axb4 c6 20 dxc6 bxc6 21 登fd1 23 Qh3［］d8 24 bS Qe6 25
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d5 $\mp$ Shirov－Fishbein，Ker－ teminde 1991） $18 \ldots$ axb4 19 axb4 h6 $20 \mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{h} 3}$ c6 21 dxc6 bxc6 22 ［̈d 1 d5 23 cxd5 cxdS （As in the Shirov－Fishbein example，Black＇s centre becomes a powerful force） 24 QbS Qab 25 Qd4 Qxe2 26
 Qe3 前g6 29 Za1 Exa1 30 Exal Qc6 31 界d2 \＆b6 32 Дa6 \＆c4 33 Дxc6 首xc6 34 Qxc6 Qxd2 35 Qxd2 2 Fa 86 g3 \＃a2 37 Qe3 Ee2 38 Qc5 e3 39 亿h3 Ec2 40 \＆d4＂xixc 41 bxc5 Qxd4 42 Qg1 QxcS 43 仑e2 d4 44 Qc1 Ob4 45 फ＇g2 e2 0－1 van der Sterren －Fishbein，Kerteminde 1991.
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E） 8
Over the last couple of years，following Kasparov＇s lead against Karpov from Skelleftea（see note＇c2＇to White＇s 14th），this has be－ come almost standard here． Others：
a） $13 \ldots$ b6？！is feeble．As so often in these positions this fails to hold up White on the queenside，wastes
time，and creates a weak ness．White oftens plays of as a pawn sacrifice and doesn＇t need this encoura gement．Two examples：
a1） 14 Qa4 axb4 15 axb Qh6 16 cS bxcs 17 bxct Q）xcS 18 QxcS Qe3＋ 19 thy QxcS 20 f4 fxe4 21 Qb2 QfS 22 fxeS 4 e3 23 当b3 $4 x f 126$ e6＋6g8 2S Qxf1 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{fS} 26$ Exe4 Ob6 27 g4 岁h4 28 Qg2 淮g 429 昔c3 $1-2$ Boensch－Gruenberg，East， German Ch． 1989
a2） 14 药c2 f4 15 乌bS gS 16 c5 dxcs 17 bxcS QxcS 18 E．c4 Qa6 19 Ed $0 \times b 520$ ExbS Qb7 21 d6 \＆xd6 22 ExeS Eg6 23 Zed5 梅e7 24 Exd6 cxd6 Ostenstad Kuzmin，Biel Open 1990， and now 25 曾c6！keeps the advantage．
b） 13 ．．．f4 offers an invi－ tation to the standard race． Now：
b1） 14 c5（direct and dan－ gerous） $14 \ldots$ axb4 15 axb4 dxcS 16 bxc5 \＆xc5 17 \＆c4 b6 18 Qa3 Qa6 19 Qxc5（19 4 bS 4 c 820 QxcS bxcs 21 QaS \＆d6 22 Qcot Kanstler －Loginov，USSR Team Ch． 1991） $19 \ldots$ bxcS 20 手c2 c6 21 Qb6 Oxe2 22 Qxe2 4 b 823 d6t van der Sterren－Gel－ fand，Amsterdam OHRA 1989.
b2） 14 ata4．Black should now take the opportunity to cut across White＇s plan
with 14 ．．．axb4 15 axb4 c6！， planning ．．．bS，e．g． 16 Qb2 （16 cS cxd5 17 cxd6 Q）c6 18 exdS Dd4 19 \＆c3 \＆b6 20 ©de4 Qf5 21 Qd3 Ec8 22 Qb2 Salov－Nunn，Rot－ terdam World Cup 1989） 16 ．．．乌f6（Curious，why not 16 ．．．bS？） 17 dxc6 Qxe6 18 cS dxcs 19 Q xcs Qdd 20 Qc4乌hS 21 Ef2 b6 22 \＆d3士 Polugaevsky－Hellers，Biel 1989.
b3） 14 Qb3 is illogical，as this knight should be head－ ing for c4．On b3 it does little to help the white effort．Dreev－Shirov，Bor－ zomi 1988 was a good ex－ ample： $14 \ldots$ axb4 15 axb4 gS 16 cS 乌f6 17 Qd 2 Dg6（17 ．．．hS 18 崌al 范xal 19 桨xal g4 20 cxd6 cxd6 21 省aS b6 22毕a7 Eg6 23 Qb5 Qe8 24 fxg 4 hxg $425 \mathrm{~g}^{3}$＠d7干 Dok－ hoian－Loginov，Pavlodar 1987．White will have se－ rious problems confronting Black on the kingside） 18 c6 （White is hoping to get in round the back and attack the c7－pawn，but he will be mated long before） 18 ．．．b6 19 Qe1 ${ }^{[I g} 820$ \＆d 2 hS 21 QbS g4 22 Eal Exal 23 哲xal g3 24 党a8 4 h 725 hxg 3 fxg 3 26 f4 exf4 27 狊b8 ÊeS 28
 Qxf3 类e3＋31 家f1 Qa6 0－1．

## 14 妾c2

The theory here is still in a state of flux and there
are several other tries：
a） 14 cS is a gambit that should be accepted，e．g． 14 ．．．axb4 15 axb4 dxcS（not 15

Qgf6？ 16 \＆c4 Qe8 17 c6 Qdf6 18 cxb7 Qxb7 19 QaS
 22 b6 $\pm$ Lanka－Shirov，Riga Rapid Play 1988．Black＇s play was dreadfully pas－ sive） 16 bxc5（ 16 Ac4 cxb4 17 Exb4 is another way to pursue the initiative） $16 \ldots$ QxaS 17 \＆b3 \＆d7 18 Qe3 c6 19 当d2 fxe4 20 dxc6 bxc6 21今xe4 气gf6 22 Qg5 曾e7 23 Qas Edis 24 Qxc6 首a3 25 Qf2 \＆c3 26 Qc4 $0 x b 127$区xbl e4 28 fxe4ळ Ftacnik－ Gruenberg，Stara Zagora Zt． 1990.
b） 14 Q）a4？！（Very suspi－ cious－White puts the knight onto a tactically vulnerable square and wea－ kens e4） $14 \ldots$ axb4 15 axb4 Edf6 16 cS Eh5 17 g 3 Ehf6 18 bS fxe4 19 fxe4 ${ }^{2}$ h3 20 \＃f3 Dh6 21 tu4（A very clumsy move to have to play，but at least it defends the vulnerable spots a4 and e4） $21 \ldots$ ．．． g 422 乌f1 $\mathrm{Q}_{8} 86$ 23 Qxh6 公xh6 24 莀c1 包fg4 25 b6 cxb6 26 cxd6 $04 x f 327$ Qxf3 Qxf1 28 蒠xf1 当gS 29 Qxg 4 \＆xg 430 d 7 炭e3＋ 31 Gh1 Qf2＋ 32 由g2 Ef8 0－1 Flear－Bibby，British Ch． 1990.
c） $14 \varrho \mathrm{~b} 3$ is possible，but practice bears out the in－
tuitive assumption that the knight doesn＇t belong here， e．g． $14 \ldots$ axb4 15 axb4 $£ \mathrm{dff} 6$ and now（69）：

c1） 16 cS QhS 17 g 3 Qhf6 18 Qd2（18 g4 \＆e8 19 Qd2 Qh6 20 h 3 Qf7 21 Gg 2 Qf6 22 cxd6 cxd6 23 QaS hS 24 Qe3 OgS 25 Of2 由g7 26 Qa4 hxg 427 hxg 4 fxg 428 fxg 4 Dh67 Amura－Dolmatov， Buenos Aires 1991） 18 ．．． fxe4 19 fxe4 Qh3 20 Zf2岸d7 21 Qf1 Qxf1 22 是xf1 Qh6 23 Qxh6 \＆xh6 24 \＃̈a1 Exa1 25 岩xal H h37 Farago－ Vogt，Tastrup 1990.
c2） 16 Qd2（Karpov conti－ nues more cautiously，but even he is unable to pre－ vent Black from working up excellent kingside play） 16 ．．．©hS 17 g 3 Qhf6 18 If2 Qh6 19 Ral Exal 20 䒴xal £f7（The unhurried way in which Kasparov has been massing his forces is deep－ ly impressive．Next move he launches the attack pro－ per by sacrificing a pawn which White dare not
accept） 21 Wel f4（If Whity accepts the sacrifice wit 22 gxf4，then $22 \ldots$ exf4 23 Qxf4 QhS 24 Qe3 g5 grants Black all sorts of unplea sant counterplay aroun the weakened dark squares in the vicinity of the whit king） 22 g 4 hS 23 h 3 乌h7 24 Qe1 Qf6 $25 \mathrm{Bg} 2 \mathrm{gg} 7 \mp 26$ Zf1 QhigS 27 \＃h1 थxh3！（70）

（A brilliant sacrifice which explodes the fortifications around White＇s king） 28 Exh3 ©g5 29 \＃h2（Despite his time trouble，Karpov noticed the clever tactical point，namely that 29 \＃th1 would fail to 29 ．．．f3＋ 30 Qxf3 乌xf3 31 酋h6＋禺f7 32 bxf3 Hh 8 ，attacking White＇s queen and through it the undefended rook on h1． Now，however，after 29 चhh2 White can safely go into this line，since $33 \quad \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~d} 2$ would protect the rook on h2） 29 ．．．hxg 430 fxg 4 䀦 8 31 Qh4 f3＋（White＇s 31st move was forced in order to block the $h$－file，but
now Kasparov regains his plece．Meanwhile，the situ－ ation of White＇s king re－ mains precarious） 32 Qxf3台xf3 33 Qxf6＋首xf6 34



35 ．．．gS？（A much better chance for victory is offered by 35 ．．．${ }^{\mathrm{Gg}} 7$ or，as Kasparov himself later indicated， 35 ．．．由g8，to shield the black king from possible checks from the white queen．The way to win is，in fact，with 35 ．．．由g8，which Kasparov claim－ ed deserved＇$!$＇．The trouble with 35 ．．．${ }^{\text {bg }} 7$ is the prob－ lem－like defence 36 the3 Qd4 $37 \mathrm{~g} 5!!$ 安f4＋ 38 安xf4 exf4＋ 39 bef4 $Q \times$ b3 40 DbS and White can pro－ bably draw．The reason that 35 ．．．茵g8！！is superior is that after 36 桨e3 \＆d4 37 gS，Black has 37 ．．．钴h8！！－t． The best defence to $35 \ldots$

 §xb4 40 Qb5 乌a6 when White is much worse） 36

Qe2 苞g7 37 约h1 气d4（The last chance to play for a win was $37 \ldots$ \＆h4 aiming for f4 via g6，e．g． 37 ．．．乌h4 38 \＆d2 \＆g6 39 \＆f3 \＆f4 40 Qc1 峟g6 41 Qe1 Me8 42 bS能d7 43 尚f3 $\& \mathrm{~g} 6$ and Black can continue to probe．） 38 Qbxd4 exd4 39 wal 多eS＋
 42 क्ष） 3 曾f $6+1 / 2-1 / 2$ Karpov－ Kasparov，Skelleftea World Cup 1989.

$$
14
$$

Qgf6
Or：
a） $14 \ldots$ Qh6 15 cS （ 15 exfS axb4 16 axb4 gxfS 17 §b3 Og7 18 Qd3 ©df6 19 DgS Qe7 20 Ea1 Exal 21 Exal c6 22 dxc6 bxc6 23 Ea8ec Polu－ gaevsky－Wahls，Biel 1990） 15 ．．．axb4 16 axb4 dxc5 17 bxes 0 xcS 18 QbS b6 19 gb2 0 Og 720 \＆c4 $\frac{14 \mathrm{ye} 721 \mathrm{~d} 6 \text { cxd6 }}{}$ 22 Qxb6 Eb8 23 ＠dS 妍d7
 26 Exb1 Qe6 27 部6 岁d7 28 $Q \times 5$ Efa8 29 者d1－Illescas －Ivanovic，Manila Izt． 1990.
b） $14 \ldots$ axb4 15 axb4 Qdf6 16 cS $Q \mathrm{QS} 17$ Qc4？！ （This game is a good ex－ ample of why White usually prefers to be cajoled into playing the weakening g3 rather than allowing the black knight into f4） 17 ．．． Qf4 18 cxd6 cxd6 19 乌bS
 Qd7 22 \＆c3 甘a1 23 Qxf4吅xf1＋ 24 Oxf1 exf4 25 岁f2 Qh67 Khalifman－Kaspa－
rov，Paris（Immopar） 1991. Black has a fantastic posi－ tion and proceeded to win easily．

15 Qb5 axb4
15 ．．．b6 16 exfS gxfS 17 f4 axb4 18 axb4 exf4 19 仓ff QeS 20 Qxf4 \＆e4 21 \＆d2 Qd7 22 Zb 3 Ile8 23 仓xe4 fxe4 24 gg 3 ofs 25 数d2当d7 26 乌d4 0 g $6 \infty 0$ Lerner－ Smirin，USSR Ch． 1989.

16 axb4 ©h5
$17 \mathrm{~g}^{3} \quad \mathrm{D} \mathrm{df6}$（72）


18 cs
18 Eb 3 fxe $419 \widehat{0} \mathbf{x e 4}$ Qh3
 Zf3（The more active 22 c5！？should also be consi－ dered） 22 ．．． 0 f6 23 乌c3 $\mathrm{Hf7}$ 24 gel h5 ${ }^{2}$（Black has the chances here．The remain－ der of this game is a good demonstration of how to exploit an overextended position） 25 今di $0_{g} 426$ Eff1 Zaf8 27 亿f2 Qxe2 28 ＊xe2 h4 $29 \mathrm{Gg}^{4}$ \＆ FS 30乌h3 \＃̈xf1 31 \＃̈xf1 \＃̈xf1 32
 （Following the exchanges

White finds he has insuffi－ cient pieces on the board if you want a large territo ry，you need a large army to defend it） 34 乞gS 背c2＋ 35由h3 \＆f6 36 \＆f7＋由g8 37

 bron－Gelfand，Dortmund 1990.

## 18 <br> fxe 4

The theory，in this com－ plex and amazingly popular position，is developing at an alarming rate and so best play for both sides is， far from being resolved． However，this move in con－ junction with van Wely＇s improvement on move 20 is the latest word．

Much attention has also been given to $18 \ldots$ ．．． d 7 ，es－ pecially after Kasparov＇s bold knight sacrifice ag－ ainst Karpov at Tilburg in October 1991．White has always replied 19 Zb 3 （73）， invoking a lateral defence of the kingside，when prac－ tice has seen：

a） $19 \ldots$ fxe4 20 fxe $40 h 3$ 21 Ele Qh6 22 \＆f 3 oxcl 23
 Ebc3 h6 26 Qf1 Qxf1 27 彻xf1 g5 28 cxd6 cxd6 29 仓c7乌gf6 30 乌xg 5 hxg 31 ）xa8
乌hf6 34 चa3 宸c8（The dust has cleared，leaving White with an extra exchange． However，the horribly ex－ posed situation of his king makes the practical task of mounting a rescue opera－ tion for his stranded knight on 28 very difficult to achieve．Nevertheless，he should not go down as quickly as he does here） 35由g2 曾c1 $36 \mathrm{Zf} 3 \mathrm{bS} 37 \triangleq \mathrm{~b} 6$


崮xb6－＋Gelfand－Kasparov， Paris（Immopar） 1991.
b） 19 ．．．Qh6 20 断 3 fxe4 21 fxe4 Qh3 22 \＃e1 was chosen as the battleground for two games between Ep－ ishin and Judit Polgar：
b1） 22 ．．．dxcS 23 bxc5 c6 24 dxe6 Qxd2 25 Qxd2 bxc6

 Qxg 4 Qxg 41 Qh6 气g7 Epishin－J Polgar，Brno 1991.

 26 妆 $x=2$ Og 427 奖c4 气e8 28 zf1 $\pm$ Epishin－J Polgar， Vienna 1991．This position
is pretty unpleasant for Black，although the re－ sourceful Judith broke out and won in her opponent＇s time trouble．
c） 19 ．．．© $\times \mathrm{xg} 3$ ？（This is highly speculative，but Black may have generated enough momentum in his position to make it accep－ table．However，Epishin＇s recent antidote $\{$ see＇c23＇\} may prove to be powerful enough to banish this sac－ rifice from top－class tour－ nament play） 20 hxg 30 hS 21 f4（74）and now：

c1） 21 ．．．exf4（This was the move chosen by the World Champion in Karpoy －Kasparov，Tilburg 1991， the game which we now follow） 22 c6 bxc6 23 dxc6 © xg 324 yxg 3 fxg 325 cxd 7 $\mathrm{g}^{2} 26$ \＃f3 炭xd7 27 Qb2 fxe4
守xg7 30 棨xe4（This posi－ tion should only be danger－ ous for White，but here Kasparov starts to drift） 30

\＃f7 33 湈e6 】f6？（Kasparov later indicated 33 ．．． g 5 ！as the correct way to play） 34 ＊e8＋

 ＊${ }^{2} \times \mathrm{xd} 1+41$ Qxd1 gfS 42 Qe3 Ef4 43 乡el Exb4 44 Qxg ${ }^{4}$ hS 45 of 3 dS $46 \sum^{2} 3 \mathrm{xg}^{2} \mathrm{~h} 4$
 49 宙g2 宿f6 So QxdS reach－ ing the highly unusual end－ game of bishop and two knights against rook．Most experts suspected that the endgame should be a win for White，but Karpov couldn＇t make headway and eventually allowed a stale－ mate after 114 moves．
c2） 21 ．．．Qxb5 22 QxbS exf4 with the further divi－ sion：
c21） 23 gxf 4 〇xf4 24 气f3 fxe4 25 苜xe4 岩c8 26 气h2 led to a draw in 33 moves in Khalifman－Kindermann， Germany 1991.
c22） $23 \quad$ Qb2 $Q \times \mathrm{xg} \quad 24$
 $26 \pi x f 4$ QhS 27 gf2 fxe4 28日xf8 + 首xf8 29 合xe4 首f5 30 wf3 Uexd5（Black has three solid pawns for the piece and the white king is com－ pletely devoid of shelter）
 c6 34 Of1 $\mathrm{gf8}+$ Beliavsky－ Khalifman，Reggio Emilia 1991／92．
c23） 23 exfS！（The latest finesse，and one which

Black could find no answer to here） $23 \ldots$ ．．$) \times \mathrm{xg} 324$ \＃xf4 QxfS 25 \＆f3 dxcs 26 bxc5 Eat 27 Ed3 光e7 28 h2士 Epishin－Piket，Wijk aan Zee 1992.


20 Ef2
Boris Gelfand was evl－ dently impressed by Black＇s idea in this game as，when he played White against van Wely later in the tour－ nament，he diverged with 20 get but this also accom－ plished little： 20 ．．．©g4 21 §f3 h6 22 cxd6 cxd6 23 当c7 （One would expect the ex－ change of queens to help White，but Black remains very active and has no problems holding the bal－ ance） 23 ．．．絁xc7 24 气xc7

 \＆f3 Qf6 30乌dz Qd8 31 乌c4 \＃f2 32 Qe3 Qb6 33 \＃c7＋ Qxc7 34 Qxg4 Qb6 35 Qxh3


20
棬d7！
This is van Wely＇s im－
portant addition to the black armoury．Two other games saw Black rapidly getting into a tangle here：
a） 20 ．．． Ec 8 did not im－ press after 21 Z Zb 3 并d7 22
 ©f3 $\pm$ in Browne－Root，USA 1990.
b） $20 \ldots . \mathrm{gg}^{4} 21 \mathrm{Zxf} 8+$ Qxf8 22 乌ff h6 23 乌h4 桨f6 24 Of3 亿有7 25 新e2 hS 26 Og2 Qxg2 27 国xg2 $\pm$ Polu－ gaevsky－J Polgar，Aruba 1991.

| 21 | c6 | bxc6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | dxc6 | 営e7 |
| 23 | Ec3 | dSI（76） |



A tremendous pawn sac－ rifice，liberating all of Black＇s pieces which pro－ ceed to crawl over White＇s kingside．Van Wely plays the rest of this game with fantastic energy，never all－ owing the pressure to slacken for an instant．

Gary Kasparov＇s numer－ ous successes with his dy－ namic handling of the King＇s Indian seems to have made
other top grandmasters more inclined to have faith in such black set－ups－one did not see many games like this when Karpov was World Champion！

24 exd5 e4！
The vulnerability of White on the bi－h7 diag－ onal ensures the safety of this pawn．

| 25 | Qc4 | Es 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | Qxg 4 | \％$\times$ ¢f2 |
| 27 | 等xf2 | Qxg 4 |
| 28 | 等 3 | Ef8 |
| 29 | Qd2 | 岁f7 |
| 30 | h4 |  |

An ugly weakening，but otherwise ．．．Qh3 would have left White permanent－ ly crippled on the kingside．

| 30 | $\ldots$ | $\frac{0 f 3}{31}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 32 | gel |  |
| Eb2（77） |  |  |



Now 32 ．．．告h3 gets no－ where after 33 Zh2 but， hardly surprisingly，White＇s defences prove to be far from watertight．

| 32 |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | xg 3 | ＊h3 |


| 34 | Qxc7 | 兄h1＋ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | 䑨2 | OhS + |
| 36 | of 4 | 首xh4＊ |
| 37 | 宜1 | \＃xf4（78） |



A highly satisfactory and thematic conclusion for Black who has abandoned his entire queenside，but
won the ultimate prize on his entire queenside，but
won the ultimate prize on the other wing．

| 38 | ［g2 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{\text {c／f }} 6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 | Q ${ }^{2} 2$ | 岩d4 |
| 40 | Mxd4 | Oxd4＋ |
| 41 | Wh2 | Qxc3 |
| 42 | d6 | QeSt |
| 43 | d7 | e3 |
| 44 | ＊h3 | Qc7 |

Game 10
Farago－Watson
Beer－Sheva 1987
Farago－Watson
Beer－Sheva 1987

| 1 | d4 | Qf6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | c4 | g6 |
| 3 | （t）3 | Og7 |
| 4 | e4 | 0－0 |
| 5 | Qe2 | d6 |
| 6 | Qf3 | eS |
| 7 | 0－0 | Qct |
| 8 | dS | Q2e7 |



$$
10 \mathrm{gbl}
$$

10 dxc 6 used to be played here when，after 10 ．．．bxc6 il b4 dS，White aimed to undermine the black centre． However，experience has shown that this hope is a forlorn one and white players have more or less abandoned the line．Some examples from before they did：
a） 12 Qa3 Qe6 13 日el a6（13 ．．．hS！？appears adventu－ rous，but worked well in Sinkovic－Uhlmann，Stary Smokovec 1985： 14 \＃b1 Ie8 15 gf1 Qg4 16 榇b3 dxe4 17 Qdxe4 $\langle$ FS 18 亿xf6＋Qxf6 19 乌） 4 Qd47） 14 Qf1 Ie8 is Qb2 岩b8 16 a3 Дa7 17 气a4 dxe4 18 Q）cS Qg 419 붕a4 aS 20 bS cxbS 21 cxbS e3co Ma－ rin－Vokac，Bucharest 1985.
b） 12 bS d4 13 乌a4 d3 14 Qf3 cxbS 15 cxbS ©d7 16 gbl （16 乌ncs QxbS 17 a4 Qc6 18 पa3＊id6 19 Qxd3

lela－Vogt，Cienfuegos 1983） 16 ．．．Eb8 17 \＆c3 兽aS 18 Qb2 QxbS 19 Qb3 岁b6 20 Qa3 ffe8 21 \＆）cS a6 22 \＆xbS axbS 23 Qxd3 Qc6 24 QcS并aS 2S 羍b3 乌d7军 Gruen－ feld－Enoshi，Tel－Aviv 1988.

## 10

E 8
An important alternative is $10 \ldots$ aS（The careless 10 ．．．4d7？got bashed in fine style in Lputian－Khalif－ man，USSR Ch． 1987 as fol－ lows， 11 \＆bS 所b6 12 b4！ cxb4 13 a3！bxa3 14 cS！§xcS 1S Qxa3 省d8 16 Qxd6 b6 17 Q2c4 Qa6 18 QxcS bxcS 19 Qb7 Qxb7 20 登xb7土） 11 a3， leading to a position which can also arise from the move order 9 ©d2 aS 10 a3 cS 11 登bl（80）．


Here practice has seen：
a） 11 ．．．Qh6！？（This has the usual pluses and mi－ nuses－Black exchanges the bad king＇s bishop but loses time and weakens the kingside） 12 b4 axb4 13 axb4 b6 14 bxcS bxcS iS Qb3 Qxc1 16 宏xc1（If 16

Qxcl，Uhlmann gives 16 ．．． gS！ 17 枈d2 h6 18 h4 Qh77） and now：
a1） 16 ．．．乌e8 $17 \mathrm{f4}$ exf4 18湅xf4 f6 and Black has a slightly constricted，but quite playable，position，
 20 登xa1 将b6 21 Qb5 Qd7 22 Wh1 gS 23 豝d2 \＆g6 24 当aS曾xaS 25 QxaS fS 26 exfS Zxf5 $27 \quad$ Og 4 苞7 $28 \quad$ Qxd7 Exd7＝Lutz－Uhlmann， German Ch．1991） 19 ．．．$Q \mathrm{~d} 7$

 Qf1 and now 24 ．．．f5？ 25式b3 皆h6 26 eS！$\pm$ was Shi－ rov－Uhlmann，Stockholm 1989／90，but Uhlmann gives $24 \ldots$ ．．．）c80．
a2） 16 ．．．©d7 17 \＆bS！ （Black＇s last keeps an eye on the e5－square，so the immediate 17 f4 exf4 18尚xf4 QeS－is less attrac－ tive） 17 ．．．乌f6 18 f4！乌xe4 19 te3 fS 20 fxeS dxe5 21 Qd3 \＆d6 22 并xcS士 Her nandez－Gonzalez，Mexico City 1991．The opening up of the position is greatly fa－ vourable to White who is much the better developed．
b） $11 \ldots$ e． 812 b4 axb4 13 axb4 b6 14 bxcS bxcS 15 ©b3（81）．

Here，there are two schools of thought．The first says that if 15 ．．．fS 16 OgS （with tactical threats based on §xcS）is an awk－

ward reply，and Black should prefer a slower build－up with，for example 1S ．．．$h 8$ ，and not play ．．． fS until White has comm－ itted his bishop to d2．The second says that White＇s $\mathrm{OgS}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is no big deal and that Black should get on with it with $15 \ldots \mathrm{fS}$ instead of wasting time with poten－ tially pointless waiting moves．Indeed，White has recently been declining the opportunity to meet is ．．． fS with $16 \quad$ QgS and so it would seem that the latter argument has won the day． Some examples：
b1） $15 \ldots$ ．．． G 816 Od2 气g8 （This is slightly timid－tbe white bishop has moved so why not 16 ．．．fS？）．Pekarek－ Vokac，Kecskemet 1988 continued： $17{ }^{5} \mathrm{Ja}$ I $\mathrm{Exa1} 18$
 exfs gxfS 21 茜bs 皆d7 22
 Qe3 乌f6 25 乌d2 Eg 826 Ea Qf8 $27 \mathrm{~g} 3 \pm$ ．The weak d6－ pawn is more relevant than

Black＇s kingside play．
b2） 15 ．．．fS：
b21） 16 Qd2 h8（16 ．．． Qf6 $17 \mathrm{f3}$ Qhs 促lack in－ tends to use the f4－square for his knight，but 17 ．．．f4 $\Delta \ldots g S$ etc．also came into consideration） 18 glal Exxal 19 首xal \＆f4 20 Qdi fxe4 21
 Sclt Lputian－Shirov， Lvov Zt．1990．A typical position－White＇s queen－ side play gives him the better of things，but he must also keep a wary eye on the kingside） 17 gal（17 f3 Eg8 18 学c2 118 Hat Exal 19 湈xa1 Oh6 20 exfs gxfs 21 Qxh6 ©xh6 22 f4 exf4 23

 Qd7－Flear－Lewis，British Ch．1990） 18 ．．．Qh6 19 exfS Qxd2 20 斯xd2 gxfS 21 f 4 exf4 22 Od3 §e7 23 仓） 2今g6 24 亿xf4 Qes 25 कh1

 ©g8 30 Q 2 2 ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~d} 8=$ Osten－ stad－Djurhuus，Oslo 1991） 17 … Ïxa1 18 所xal 气̂g8 （This，combined with Black＇s subsequent play，is rather slow．With the white queen out of the way，tbis looks like a good moment to con－ sider 18 ．．．f4） 19 垍a8 Qh 6 20 exfs gxfs 21 乞bs Qxd2 22 乡xd2 乡gf6 23 Ha1 仓e4 24 乌xe4 fxe4 25 峛a3 气ิg7 26 当e3 断 27 Ea8 乌fS 28

 Eg8 33 Qxd4 cxd4 34 Qd3 1－0 Ftacnik－Hellers，Han－ inge 1990.
b22） 16 QgS h6 17 Qd2（17 Qxe7 Wxe7 failed to create problems for Black in Beaumont－Howell，British Ch．1988，viz． 18 Alal Ifxal 19桨xa1 仓ff 20 Od3 f4 21 f3 gS 22 QbS g $4^{1 / 2-1 / 2)} 17 \ldots$ ．S 6618 f3 gS 19 Hal Eb8？！（Better， according to Shirov is 19 ．．． Exal 20 üxal ©g6 which he assesses as unlcear） 20 He2 f4 21 Qbs Qe8 22 घa 23 gfal Og6 24 \＃lab §aS g4． 26 ＠c6 Qxc6 27 QaS gxf3 28 Qxf3 Sc7 29 Oxc7兓e8 30 dxc6 乌h4 31 Qxb8 1－0 Shirov－Antonse ${ }^{2}$ ， $\mathbf{T 1}$－ misoara 1987.


12 bxcs
This is not absolutely necessary；White can also maintain the tension on the queenside，e．g．
a） 12 a4 fS 13 aS Q $^{6} 614$枈a4（14 axb6 axb6 is 岩b3

Eab！？ 16 Qd3 ©hS 17 Ele Qf4 18 ofi fxe 419 乌dxe 4乌fs 20 仓bS 乌d4 21 씽d1t Zarubin－Muratov，Mos－ cow 1991） 14 … 요 d7 is tea3 Oh6 16 bxcS bxcS 17 a6 Zbs 18 Exb8 崖xb8 19 Qf3 Qxc1 20 Excl 幽b4？（Overlooking White＇s cunning retreat． Better is 20 ．．．第c7 21 \＃bl \＃bb，when White has only a tiny advantage） 21 哕a！ （Suddenly 22 Ebl is threa－ tened and the black queen is in danger） 21 ．．Exe4 22

 stiansen－Hellers，Esbjerg 1988．With control of the b－file，weak black pawns at a7 and $d 6$ and the vulner－ able e6－square，White has an excellent endgame．
b） 12 §b3 fS 13 Qd2（ 13 $Q_{\mathrm{g} 5} \mathrm{~h} 6 \quad 14$ Qxe7 家xe7 is bxcS dxcs 16 a 4 Qd7 17 as Qd6 18 axb6 axb6 19 乌d2 hS 20 Qd3 Qh6 21 Qb3 h4 22学e2 h3ळ Stankovic－Bakic Yugoslav Ch．1991） 13 ．．．$\triangleq$ f6
 exfS QxfS 17 Qd3 气̂hS 18 bxcs bxcs 19 \＃ael Qh6 20 Sle2 Hb 21 QxfS $0 \times 1222$仓）xd2gxfS 23 f4 e4 24 敩c3＋
 Sd7 27 Ifctt Boensch－ Wahls，Hannover 1991.

$$
12 \text {... bxcs }
$$

12 ．．．dxcS has been the subject of the occasional experiment，but it leaves

Black with a rather lifeless position．Most Whites have responded with a plan of a4 －aS to weaken Black＇s queenside，but Pekarek＇s plan of $\mathbf{f 4}$ looks good．Af－ ter all， 12 ．．．dxcS leaves Black＇s centre a little weak， so why not try to under－ mine it further？
a） 13 尚b3 $\sum \mathrm{d} 614 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{Qd} 7$ 15 aS Qec8 16 yiva3 \＃yc7 17 axb6 Qxb6 18 酱a2 aS 19 Qa3 a4 20 \＃bed Oh6 21 Be2 Zfc8 22 Etb1 4ya7 23 \＆f3 f6 24 g3t Fedorowicz－Bastian， Bundesliga 1988／89．
b） 13 a 4 aS 14 炭b3 E a6 15 Qb5 Qd7 16 Qb2 Qc8 17 f4舞27 18 fxes QxeS 19 QxeS案xe5 20 宸c3 桨xc3 21 气xxc3 f6 22 乌f3［a7 23 Qd3 Q）ed6 24 Etbel Qf7 25 eSt／－ Umanskaya－Shashin，Mos－ cow 1991.
c） $13 \mathrm{Qb} 2 Q \mathrm{~d} 714 @ \mathrm{~d} 3$ Qd6 15 乌e2 f6 16 f4 算c7 17 岁e1 Qf7 and now 18 kg 3？！f5 19 fxeS Qxe5 20 OxeS 首xe5 21 \＆f3 然xg3 22 亿xg3 fxe4 23 \＆）xe4 left Black only very slightly worse in Pekarek－ Kr Georgiev，Warsaw Zt． 1987 but，as Nunn points out， 18 fS prevents Black＇s counter and leaves White well on top．Black may hang on to draw，but it is a pretty thankless task．

> 13 13 $\underset{\mathrm{~b} 3(83)}{ }$

## Others：


a） $13 \ldots$ aS is an attempt to hold White up on the queenslde，at the expense of obvious strategic con－ cessions，e．g． 14 a 4 Q．c7？！ （14 ．．．f5 $\pm / \infty$ ） 15 QxcS dxcS 16 d6 乌e6 17 dxe7 监xe7 18今d5 鲁a7 19 Qe3 ©d4 20 Qxd4 exd4 21 f4 Qe6 22 䨐h1 Eab8 23 岩c2士 Lerner－Re－ net，Geneva 1988.
b） $13 \ldots$ 由h8．As is cur－ rently the case in numerous main line King＇s Indian po－ sitions，this quiet king move is the latest try．It is easy to understand why this should be the case． Much of the strategy in these positions revolves around a balance between attack and defence－White doesn＇t want to commit all the pieces to the queen＇s wing as this is liable to re－ sult in checkmate on the other side，while Black is similarly reluctant to abandon the queenside on the off－chance of generat－ ing a mating attack．In
these conditions，con－ structive waiting moves become highly attractive， as they allow the balance to be kept while maintain－ ing the ability to respond quickly to changing cir－ cumstances．The move ．．．由h8 fits the bill very well for Black as the king is slightly safer here and the g8－square is freed for the knight or rook．

After 13 ．．．安 8 8，play continues 140 Od 2 （14 当c2！？ meeting 14 ．．．f5 with 15 f4 was successful in Baikov－ Krasenkov，Moscow 1989， viz． 15 ．．． $\begin{gathered}\mathrm{g} 8 \\ 16 \\ \text { exf5 } 0 x f 5\end{gathered}$ 17 Qd3 \＃tb8 18 Qd2 exf4 19 Oxf4 Eb7 20 Oxf5 $\mathrm{Exf5} 21$ ©e4士／$\pm$ but has not，un－ fortunately，been given an－ other test） $14 \ldots$ fS（This position might also arise after $14 \lg 5$ f6 15 Qd2 f5） and now（84）：

b） 15 QbS fxe4 16 gaS喣d7 17 仓d2 岁fS 18 \＆） 3乌f600 Shirov－Lanka，Torcy 1990.
b2） 15 exfS gxf5 16 f4 exf4 17 \＃xf4 气gg 18 \＃f1 Qd7 19 Qd3 Qe5 20 气e2 $\pm$ Brunner－Frick，Bern Zt． 1990.
b3） 15 f 3 Øf6（ $15 \ldots . \mathrm{g} 8$ 16 exfS gxf5 17 f4 e4 18 幽c1 yf6 19 Q di Qc3 a4 $22 \quad$ Qxg7＋ $0 \times \mathrm{xg} 723$
 Dzhandzhgava－Fedoro－ wicz，New York Open 1990）
乡eg8 18 筫c1 ©h5 19 g 3 Qhf6 20 exfS gxfS 21 酋c2 Qh5 22 gibel Qh6 23 QbS Qxd2 24 ＊xd2 $\square$ If 25 कh1
 Boer－Nijboer，Dutch Ch． 1990．In the final position，it is difficult for either side to make progress） 16 ．．． もeg8 17 exf5 Qxf5？！（17 ．．． exfS must be better） 18 Qd3 Oxd3 19 宸xd3 Qh6 20 會b2 ［bb 21 घfb1 a6？ 22 हe2 Qxd2 23 仑xd2 Exxb2 24 ［xb22 $\pm$ Bogdanovski－Bak－ ic，Yugoslav Ch．1991．Black has failed to generate any counterplay and the re－ mainder of the game was a dismal affair： 24 ．．． vas $^{2} 25$

 30 Exd6 ©fS 31 \＆xf5 gxf5 32 Ief 1－0．

14 QgS（85）
14 … ${ }^{\text {W8 }}$

## Others：

a） $14 \ldots$ Qf6 15 Qd2 ${ }^{\text {bh}} 16$ Qd3 $\mathrm{Qg}^{717 \mathrm{f} 3}$ 气g8 18 仓e2

（According to Eingorn， White should preface this with 18 exfS gxfS and only now 19 Qe2，which he assesses as slightly better for White） 18 ．．．f4 19 气aS g5 20 馬 c 6 岩f6 21 酋e1m Elngorn－Hebden，Moscow 1986.
b） $14 \ldots$ Qf6 15 Qd3（This is a tame response．More testing is the familiar tact－ lc $15 母 \times c S$ dxeS 16 d6 when 16 ．．．Qe6 17 dxe7 苗xe7 18

 Qf8 23 尚a6！was good for White in Shirov－Zarnicki， Timisoara 1988，but maybe 16 ．．．© 5 c6 is better） 15 ．．．f4 16 f3 h6 17 Qxf6 ${ }^{\text {Exff }} 18$ Qe2 h5 19 Efl g5 20 Qd3 and now instead of the peculiar 20 ．．．学aS？！ 21 \＃b3 Qa6 22 ©f2 Eff8 23 溦c1 \＃fb8 24运a3 学b6 25 誛c2 Qb7 26 Ebl $\pm$ of Dokhoian－Cisne－ ros，Pampiona 1991，Black should play the more the－ matic 20 ．．．E］g6 21 Qf2 ${ }^{\text {gh }} 8$ 22 h 3 Ag 8 ，with counter－
play．
c） $14 \ldots$ h6 15 Qxe7 皆xe7 16 QtaS 乌f6 17 乌tc6 岸e8（17 ．．．智d7 18 f3 1Dokhoian suggests 18 Qd3！f4 19 f3 $\triangle$ gft－f2－b2） 18 ．．．hS 19 岩e1 Qh6 20 Qdi Qa6 21 Qa4 㟶h7 22 QbS Qc8 23 苗b3 h4 24 exf5 gxf5 25 Qe200 Hert－ neck－Wahls，Munich 1991） 18 Qd3 f4 19 \＆bS 㟶d7 20 f3 gS 21 Vel 乌e8 22 学aS \＄f6 23 包bxa7［f7 24 新bS Qb7
 Dokhoian－Wahls，Bunde－ sliga 1991.

15 exf5
The play in these posi－ tions revolves，to a great extent，around whether White can make the tacti－ cal shot $\mathrm{DxcS}^{2}$ work in his favour．Here for example， it would be premature，e．g． 15 QxcS dxcS 16 d6 \＆xd6 17 QdS Ee8 18 Qxe7 品xe7 19 WdS Qe6！ 20 Qxe7 QxdS 21 0xd8 0）xe47．

Another possibility is 15 Qxe7 曾xe7 16 QaS \＆f6 17 Q）c6 篤7 18 Qf3 gS and now instead of 19 exf5？！QxfS 20 Eb3 g4 21 Qe2 Qh6 + Little－ wood－Nunn，London 1987， White should play 19 QbS！

$15 \quad \cdots \quad$ gxfS（36）

16 f 4 has been tried re－ cently，and may prove more dangerous than the text． Practice has seen $16 \ldots$ h6

（16．．． Zg 817 学e1 ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~d} 718$ QhS Qa6 19 苗h4 e4 20 亿xe4 fxe4 21 Qxe7 Qxc4 22 fS Qxf1 23 Exf100 Polugaersky －Kr．Georgiev，European Team Ch．1989） 17 Qh4 exf4 （ 17 ．．．e4 looks suspicious as it robs the black posi－ tion of its fluidity．In M Gurevich－Kuzmin，USSR 1988，White galned the ad－
 Qd1 Qd7 20 Qe1 as 21 Qc3 a4 22 乞a1
 Qf6 19 Qxf6 Qxf6 $^{20}$ QhS Qa6！（An improvement over $20 \ldots$ OgS 21 官2 $0^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{8} 22$ Qxf4 0 f6 23 光e2 $0 \times f 424$ Exf4 气）xhS 25 首xh5 岂g5 26并f3 Qa6t Chernin－Kuzmin， USSR 1988） $21 乌 \mathrm{e} 2 \mathrm{Qxc} 422$宸xf4 OxdS 23 㒸xh6 由g8 24 ©f4 0 g 725 宸 gS c 426 Ebel 4c6 27 \＃fyd8 $\mathrm{Haxd8}$ 28 仓xdS cxb3 29 axb3 ${ }^{\text {由h }} \mathrm{h} 7$－ Polugaevsky－Timoshenko， Moscow GMA 1990.

| 16 | $\cdots$ | $d x c S$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 | $d 6$ | $\sum x d 6$ |
| 18 | $\sum d S$ | $\sum \mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{B}$ |



In spite of having given the impression of forcing the pace over the past few moves，White should here content himself with equa－ lity after $24 \mathrm{gbl} \overline{\mathrm{Zxe}} 2 \mathrm{2S}$ ©f1 \＃xa2 26 区xb7 由gs （Both back ranks are caus－ ing trouble！） 27 QxcS－．

## 24 <br> e4

Ali this had happened before in Flear－Nunn，Szi－ rak Izt．1987，but Nunn had played the inferior 24 ．．． Exa2？when after 25 g 4 f 4 26 \＃bl f3 27 Qf7 e4 28 QdS Qd4 White had a chance to win with 29 Qh4！

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
25 & \boxed{2 b 1} \\
26 & \mathrm{~g} 47 ?
\end{array}
$$

A bad blunder．Nunn gives 26 Qxc5！©xc5 27



Qxg4 and Black should have no trouble holding the draw．

| 26 | ．．． | Eal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 27 | Exal | Qxa1 |
| 28 | Qf7 |  |

If $28 \mathrm{gxf} S$ then $28 \ldots$ 由g7 $\triangle \ldots$ Qf6F．

| 28 | $\ldots$ | a5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 29 | ge8 | Bg7 |
| 30 | $g^{5} ?$ |  |

White＇s last chance was 30 gxfS Of6 31 Oc6！Qxe7 32 Qxb7 a4 when he may re－ tain some drawing chances． Now Black wins by sacri－ ficing the pride and joy of his position－the outside passed a－pawn．

| 30 | $\ldots$ | a4！ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31 | oxa4 | 官77 |

White＇s bishop is trapped and he is left with a hope－ less endgame．

| 32 | Qf6 | Oxf6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | Oct | Qd8 |
| 34 | QdS＋ | Qe6 |
| 35 | gxf6 | 両xf6 |
| 36 | f3 | e3 |
| 37 | 莆f1 | Qf4 |
| 38 | Qb7 | GeS |
| 39 | Qc8 | h6 |
| 40 | 安el | tod |
|  | 0－1 |  |

Game 11
Flear－Hebden
London（Wataon，
Farley \＆Wiliams） 1990

| 1 | d 4 | 仓f6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | c 4 | g 6 |


| 3 | Qc3 | Qg7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | e4 | 0－0 |
| 5 | Qe2 | d6 |
| 6 | 2f3 | eS |
| 7 | 0－0 | Dc6 |
| 8 | d5 | E） 7 |
| 9 | ¢） 22 |  |



This will transpose to the variation analysed in＇$b$＇ below（9 ．．．©d7）if the knight quickly returns to f6．Hebden，however，has an alternative strategy in mind．

Others that come into consideration here are：
a） $9 \ldots$ c6．This is a slightly odd move that has become quite popular re－ cently．Black of ten uses the move ．．．c6，as a middle－ game device，usually in re－ sponse to White making a concession somewhere． There is a danger that playing it so early might merely assist White in op－ ening queenside lines．Prac－ tical experience gives us the following： $10 \mathrm{b4}$（10 a3
is more cautious；Boensch －Lautier，Terrassa 1991 continued 10 ．．．cxdS 11 cxds Qe8 12 亿c4 fS 13 exfS gxfS 14 f4．乌g6 15 fxeS dxeS 16 Qe3 Qd7 17 Gh1 Gh8 18 a4 b6 19 峟d2 等c8 $20 \mathrm{~b} 3 \pm$ ； 10 thbl may well be the best reply to $9 \ldots$ ．．． 6 ，e．g． $10 \ldots$ bs 11 dxc6 b4 12 \＆dS \＆xc6 13 §）$x f 6+\theta \times f 614$ \＆f3 Qg4 15 Qe1 Qe6 16 \＆c2 $8 \mathrm{c} 817 \mathrm{~b} 3 \pm$ Sher－Gallagher，Hastings Challengers 1989／90．White will always have a small advantage with this pawn structure） 10 ．．．aS 11 bxaS笑xa5（89）and now：

 14 a4 $\sum \mathrm{d} 715$ Qe3（15 Qd2 fS
 \｛Preventing $\left.\& Q^{2} b 6\right\} 19$ \＃fbl gs 20 乞c1 $£ \mathrm{~g} 621$ घ̈a3 Qf6 22
 $\mathrm{Gg} 725 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 2$ 乌h4F Ftacnik－ Neurohr，Bundesliga 1991. Black has excellent play） 15 ．．．fS 16 f3 f4 17 Qf2 gS 18 aS
 21 岁b2 0 g 622 h 3 Of67 Shi－ rov－Lanka，USSR 1989.

White is again struggling to make progress on the queenside．
a2） $12 \mathrm{Qb} 2 \mathrm{cS} 13 \triangle \mathrm{~b} 5 \mathrm{~m} 48$ 14 若c2（14 a4 气e8 $15 \mathrm{f4}$ proved to be an unsuccess－ ful alternative strategy in van der Sterren－Lautier， Lyon Zt．1990，i．e． 15 ．．． exf4 16 0xg7 仓xg7 17 gixf4 g5 18 登2 2 Qg6 19 Og 4 f5 20 exf5 \＆xfS 21 飳b3 4dd4 22日xf8＋首xf8 23 Qxd4 首g7 24 \＆c2 $0 \times \mathrm{g} 47$ 7） $14 \ldots$ Дa6 （This can be a useful move for Black as was seen earlier，but it looks prema－ ture here） 15 Qc3 \＆d7 16蒌b2 包b6？！（Misplaces the knight and provides a tar－ get for White－why not simply $16 \ldots$ fS？） 17 Qdi fS 18 a4 Oh6 19 aS Qd7 20 Ëe1 Qf6 21 f3士 Stefansson－ Ioseliani，Gausdal 1991.

White has had the worse of the play after 10 b 4 aS ， so perhaps 10 gbl should be preferred．
b） $9 \ldots$ d d 7 is an indica－ tion of Black＇s willingness to play a race．It was frowned upon for a long time，as 9 \＆ d 2 allows White to get going on the queenside very quickly compared to 9 Qel，but re－ cent games by the young grandmasters Shiror and Akopian demonstrate that life is not so easy for White，e．g． 10 b4 f5 11 cS
©f6 $12 \mathrm{f3} \mathrm{f4} 13$ 气c4 gS（90） and now White can pursue the initlative with or wi－ thout the a－pawn：

b1） 14 Qa3 $\mathrm{Dg}_{6}$ iS bS Qe8 16 b6 axb6（16 ．．．exb6 17 Qxd67！\｛This is doubtful． Preferable is 17 cxb6 and if 17 ．．．a6 then White has the instructive manoeuvre §laS －c6－a7（l） 17 ．．．bxc5 18 Qxe8 苟xe8 19 QbS Qd7 20 d6 b6 $21 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{dS}+\mathrm{m} 8 \mathrm{~m} / 7 \mathrm{Va}-$ ganian－Shirov，Manila Izt． 1990） 17 cxb6 cxb6 18 湈b3 hS 19 日abl g4 20 合xb6 4h 4？（Black should keep this square free for the knight，e．g． 20 ．．．桨g5！ 21今xc8（Not 21 亿xa8？g3 22

 Efci？！（ 22 QbS！is more to the point） $22 \ldots$ ．．．${ }^{*} g S^{2} 234 \mathrm{bS}$
 Qh4 26 省d3 Qh6 27 由h af 600 Shirov－Akopian， Daugavpils 1989.
b2） 14 a 4 气g 15 Qa3 $8 f 7$ 16 bS ofs 17 b6 cxb6 18 Qxd6？！（Curiously，White
deviates from 18 cxd6 whch proved successful in a game between the same two players the previous year，viz． 18 ．．．©e8 19 Zc1 Qd7 20 然b3 h5 $21 \mathrm{h3}$ 登f6 22 as and White went on to win，Epishin－Akopian， Daugavpils 1989） 18 ．．．Eg7！ （Black＇s play looks anti－ positional，but the white pieces are very exposed tactically） 19 仓xc8 8 xc 820 d 6 bxcS 21 QbS ${ }^{-106} 22$ Qc7并xd6 23 Qc4＋宙h8 24 包 6
 §xgS Ed2F Epishin－ Akopian，Minsk 1990.
b3） 14 Qb2（An interest－ ing alternative set－up for White，which was unfortu－ nately not seriously tested here） 14 ．．．由h8 is gcl 乌eg8 16 bS b6 17 cxd6 cxd6 18 a4 h5 19 Qa3 De8 20 aS \＆h6 21 axb6 axb6 22 仓a4士 Pein－ Medina，Mexico City 1991. Black＇s play was very strange．The standard plan with ．．．乌g6 and ．．．Ef7 would have been better．


| 10 | b4 | fS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | $c 5$ | 由h8 |
| 12 | f3 | f4 |
| 13 | Qc4 | hS |

Hebden has previous ex－ perience of this variation， viz． $13 \ldots \mathrm{~g}^{5} 14 \mathrm{~g}^{4} \mathrm{fxg}^{15} 15$ $\mathrm{hxg}^{3}$ Qg6 16 Iff2 hS 17 Eh2 h4 $18 \mathrm{~g}^{4}$ 气f4 19 Qe3 宸f6 20 Qf1士 Dzhandzhgava－Heb－ den，Hastings Masters 1990.



16
dxcs
This appears to be a major strategic concession which abandons the base of his pawn chain．Neverthe－ less，Black had to take some measure against the threat on b6．

## 17 QxcS

White avolds 17 QxeS as this would ultimately open the diagonal for Black＇s king＇s bishop．

| h3 <br> d d 3 <br> 4 d 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

After this，it is clear that Black has won the race． Although his centre iooks exposed，White is unable to organise a breakthrough based on d6．Meanwhile Black has gained time to open up the g －file for his own purposes．

| 21 | fxg 4 | hxg 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | hxg 4 | ¢xg4 |
| 23 | ©f2 | Sth |
| 24 | Efdi | 品g5 |
| 25 | df3 | b6 |
| 26 | Ob4 | Eg4 |
| 27 | 人xg4 | Qxg4 |
| 28 | 安22 | Qf6 |
| 29 | ${ }_{\text {\％hl }}$ | bg 8 |
| 30 | ［ag1 | Qf8（93） |



White has been driven into a thoroughly defensive posture．Black now siezes the opportunity to elimin－ ate the less effective of his two bishops while simulta－ neously mobilising his last dormant piece．

| 31 | Qxf8 | Exf8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | －${ }^{\text {ck }}$ | ［e8 |
| 33 | ＊${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Qd7 |
| 34 | 中f1 | 皆3 |


| 35 | d6 | Qg4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | 先c2 | exd6 |
| 37 | Qxg4 | Qxg 4 |
| 38 | E） $\mathrm{xd} \mathrm{c}^{6}$ |  |

An ingenious last ditch defence but it is hardly surprising，given the over－ whelming nature of Black＇s attack，that there is an ele－ gant refutation．


The point of White＇s cunning defence．If now 39 ．．．Qxh3 30 gxh3 winning the black queen for two rooks．However，Black has a vastly stronger continua－ tion．


39 ．．．FYed8 40 少c4＋Qe6 41 Z $\mathrm{xg}^{3+} \mathrm{fxg}^{3}$ 42 穿c3 0g4 0－1
Black＇s king is trapped and there is no good de－ fence to ．．． Edd $^{\text {d }}$ ．

## 5) Classical 9 b4 and others

This amounts to a blunt declaration of intent. Not wishing to expend time rerouting the king's knight, White commences the queenside advance. However, this impatience permits Black to move his own king's knight to hS, a much more active post than d 7 or e8, and one from where it can hop into f 4 , a square irritatingly close the white king.

Nevertheless, it is not all plain sailing for Black. White will be very fast on the queenside and can sometimes consider meeting ... f5 with $\triangleq \mathrm{g} 5(\triangle$ § e6). All in all, this is an interesting method of meeting the King's Indian. It also has the advantage of being relatively unexplored (compared to some other lines of the classical) thus providing plenty of scope for investigation.

Game 12
Karpman - Frolov
USSR 1990

| 1 | d4 | Qf6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | c4 | g6 |
| 3 | Qc3 | $\mathrm{Og}^{\mathbf{0}}$ |
| 4 | e4 | d6 |
| 5 | Qe2 | 0-0 |
| 6 | Of3 | eS |
| 7 | 0-0 | Qc6 |
| 8 | dS | Qte7 (95) |


$9 \quad$ b4

White has four other moves here which merit consideration. The first three are essentially waiting moves; White wants to see the Black set-up before deciding how to lay out his own stall. A further point is that an early ... fS can sometimes be met by $£ \mathrm{f} 3$ gS - e6.

The fourth alternative, 9 QgS, has proved, for no
apparent reason，very po－ pular over the past two years．Black has scored well in these games，and has several playable ways to meet it．
a） 9 a4 aS（Black should probably avoid 9 ．．．Qh5 10 aS！with a useful space advantage on the queen－ side） 10 Qel \＆d7 11 Qe3 f5 12 f 3 QcS ！（it is best for Black to challenge on the queenside，as allowing White a free hand there is dangerous，e．g． 12 ．．．f4 13 Qf2 gS \｛Kasparov gives 13 ．．．b6 14 Ø）d3 气̂cS 15 b4！as good for Whitel 14 \＆d3 Qf6 15 cS Qg6 16 cxd6 cxd6 17 辟c1 h5 18 h 3 g 419 hxg 4 hxg4 20 fxg 4 ee8 21 乌bS Qf6 22 Elc3 with good play， Peicheva－Ruxton，Oakham 1990） 13 乌d3 b6 14 b4 $£ \mathrm{xd} 3$ （14 ．．．axb4？！is not so good： 15 Qxb4 Qd7 16 Qd3 Qb7 17首b3 莫h8 18 曾b4 Eb8 19 \＃fb1 4 g 820 as！bxaS 21皆a3 Qh6 22 Qxh6 Qxh6 23 cS fxe4 24 ©xe4 $\pm$ Peicheva －Hennigan，Oakham 1990） 15 当xd3 axb4 16 \＆bS 由h8 17手b3 乌g8 18 宸xb4 气f6？ （Kasparov prefers 18 ．．． fxe4！ 19 fxe4 $\mathbf{E l x f l}^{2} \mathbf{2 0}$ Exf1 Qh6！） 19 exfS gxfS 20 QgSco Korchnoi－Kasparov，Bar－ celona 1989.
b） 9 血h1 ${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{h} 8!$ ？（a cheeky movel； $9 \ldots$ ．．． hS 10 g 3 fS is playable，but White should
have an edge in the result－ ing structures，e．g． 11 exfS \＆）xfS 12 \＆ggS $\triangle \mathrm{A}^{2} 13$ Qd3乌f6 14 f3 c6 15 Ege4 Qh3 16 ［ff2 cxdS $17 母 \times f 6+0 \times f 618$ Qxd5 OgS 19 Qe4，${ }^{2} \mathrm{CB} 20 \mathrm{~b} 3$ Oxcl 21 Excl士 Larsen－ Nunn，Hastings 1987／88） 10 a4（ 10 Qg1？！（very odd） 10 ．．． Sdd7 11 g 4 fS 12 f3 气gg 13 Qe3 Qh6 14 of2 aS 15 a3 QcSF Kanko－Hazai，Hel－ sinki 1989） $10 \ldots$ aS 11 Д̈a3乌fg8 12 乌e1 f5 13 \＆d3 $2 f 6$ 14 f3 cS is dxc6 Qxc6 16 exfS gxfS 17 OgS $_{\text {ge6 }} 18$ Qe1【f7 19 Qc2 当f8 20 仓bs ［ $\mathrm{d} 7 \infty$ LB Hansen－Kotro－ nias，Bled 1991.

$$
\text { c) } 9 \underline{0} \mathrm{~d} 2(96) \text {. }
$$



This is a slightly more con－ structive waiting move than $9 \mathrm{a4}$ and 9 bhi；White intends a quick BCl and play can contlnue： $9 \ldots$ ．．． h S （9．．．乌es 10 乌e1 f5 11 \＆d3 f4 12 \＃゙cl Qg4 Oxg4 15 岃比 4 hS 16
 cxd6＝／7 Lechtynsky－Firt， Brno 1990 was instructive．

White has achieved the highly desirable positional objective of exchanging the light－squared bishops，but at a cost of several tempi， and Black has a powerful kingside attack） 10 g 3 （ 10肘 fS 11 QgS Qf4 12 Oxf4 exf4 13 Qf3 fxe4 14 Oxe4乌f5 15 Qe6 Qxe6 16 dxe6 c6
 ㅍycd1 Zae8 20 Ob1 Qh6 21

営e4 年xe4 26 Oxe4 Ele87 Korchnoi－Spraggett，Mont－ pellier Ct．1985） 10 ．．．fS 11 exfS（11 QgS is perhaps more consistent： 11 ．．．©f6 12 f3 c6 13 曻b3 由h8 14 Qe3 f4 15 Qf2 fxg3 16 hxg 3 QhS 17 Qe6 Qxe6 18 dxe6 荘c8 19 cS dS 20 exdS cxdS 21 QxdS宸xe6 22 气xe7 皆xe7 23 宙h2皆g5 24 gg 1 and with the bishop pair and slightly more sound pawn struc－ ture，White stands better， Ree－Riemersma，Dutch Ch．1987；whilst 11 Qh47！is a peculiar move： $11 \ldots$ Qf6 12 f3 c6 13 雷h1 f4 14 g 4 hS 15 gS 气h7 16 Eg 1 －Utemov－ Timoshenko，Podolsk 1990） $11 . .$. §xf5 12 §e4（97）．
Black has no problems here，e．g．
c1） $12 \ldots$ 妴e8 13 乌e1 乌f6 14．Qd3 Qxe4 15 Qxe4．Qht 16 QgS Qg 417 Qf3 Qf6 18 Qe3 h6 19 乌e4 QfS $\pm$ Ute－ mov－Shekachov，Moscow

1991.
c2） $12 \ldots$ ．． Of 613 日gS（13 Qd3 Qd7 14 Ec1 Wh 8 1S QgS b6 16 b4 a5 17 a3 axb4 18 axb4 気a4． 19 絲e1 第e8 20 Oxf6 Oxf6 21 Ea1 Exal 22
 Ec1 Og7 25 Eff Qh6 26 宸e2 $1 / 2-1 / 2$ King－Davies，London （Watson，Farley \＆Wlliams） 1991） $13 \ldots$ h6 14 Qxf6 Qxf6
安h8 18 h 4 当d7！（a very sneaky move，threatening the h3－square） 19 Eh1 然f7 20 Qe2 bS 21 Ead1 Qb77 Frias－Nijboer，Wijk aan Zee 1991．White has big problems in the centre and on the long diagonal．
d） $9 Q_{g} 5(98):$
d1） $9 \ldots \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{h}$
di1） 10 g 3 h 6 （ $10 \ldots \mathrm{f} 6 \mathrm{l}$ Qd2 f5 transposes to a line from 9 Qd2 $(-)$ ，but Wat－ son＇s method may be even better） 11 Qd2 Qh3 12 \＃e1 fS 13 Qh4 Qff 14 exf5 gS is Qg6 \＆）xg6 16 fxg6 Of5 17
先xg67 Bern－Watson，


Gausdal 1991. d12） 10 Qel 乌f4 11 乌d3 Qxe2＋ 12 并xe2 f6（the immediate $12 \ldots$ fS also worked okay for Black in Kern－Maeder，Dortmund 1991，i．e． 13 exfS gxfS 14 Ef4 Qf6 15 Qxf6 Exf6 16
 QfSF） 13 Qe3 fS 14 f3 f4 15 Qf2 gS 16 CS hS 17 Rac1 Qd7 18 b4 g4 19 bS 乌g6 20 苗h1 b6 21 cxd6 cxd6 22 a4 Qf6 23 Qa2 Oh4 24 Qab4 登7 25 Ec6（White is struggling to find counterplay）峟f6 26 Qb2 gxf3 27 gxf3 Qxf2 28 Hxf2 Qxc6 29 dxc6 Qe7干 Ginsburg－Howell，London （Lloyds Bank） 1990.
d2） $9 \ldots$ h6 10 Qxf6 Qxf6 11 b4（99）．
White has given up his good bishop but gained time for the queenside advance．Black now has two plans：
d21） $11 \ldots$ Qg7 12 cS fS 13 E）d2 fxe4 14 乌dxe4 QfS 15 Qg 4 Qd7 16 等d3 Qe8 17 a4 Qf7 18 aS 乌dd4 19 a6 b6 20

cxd6 cxd6 21 首c400 Soffer－ Nemet，Bern 1991.
d22） $11 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 7$ ．This may be playable，but Black needs an improvement over the game Schmidt－Skalik， Polish Ch．1991，which con－ tinued： 12 cS Qg8 $13 \mathrm{a} 4!$ （both 13 cxd6？！cxd6 14 乌dd QgS is \＆c4 f5－Dussol－ Shirov，Torcy 1990；and 13 Q）d2 Qe7 14 c6 bxc6 15 dxc6 fS 16 QdS QgS 17 Qc4 Qxd2 18 Mrd2 fxe4 19 a4 0 e6 20 He2 QxdS 21 QxdS Ef6 22 Qxe4 dS 23 Qc2 桨d67 D Gurevich－Mortensen，Reyk－ javik 1990 are less incisive than the text） $13 \ldots$ Qe7 14 cxd6 cxd6 15 aS fS 16 Qdd2
 19 Üc2 fxe4 20 \＆）cxe4 OgS？ （a blunder，but Black was struggling anyway） 21 告 xc 8 ！皆xc822 22 xd 6 当d7 23 Qxf7 Qxd2 24 Qxg4 峟xg4 25 ExeS 首xb4 26 皆d3 1－0．
d3） $9 \ldots$ §d7 10 乌d2 fS 11 exfS gxfS 12 f4 h6（12 ．．．仓ff 13 QhS？e4！Gelfand－Gri－ vas，Haifa 1989） 13 Qh4 乌f6
（13 ．．．exf 4？！looks very un－ natural as，with hanging pawns，Black usually wants to keep the position fluid． It certainly didn＇t work out well in Pomes－Spraggett， Terrassa 1990： 14 乌f3 $\sum f 6$ 15 Qxf6 Exf6 16 Qd4 Qd7 17
 axb6 20 \＃xf4士） 14 Qh5？！c6 15 气b3 cxdS 16 Qxf6 $0 \times f 617$

 nants－Hellers，Wijk aan Zee 1990．Black has good central control and the two bishops．

Returning to the position after 9 b4（100）：

a） $9 \ldots$ ．．． d 7 and now：
a1） 10 Qa3 fS 11 cS © $\mathbf{f 6} 12$ cxd6 cxd6 13 气gs fxe4 14
 16 气e4 g 7717 bS of8 18 gb 2
 21 Qc1 $1 / 2-1 / 6$ Karpman－ Akopian，Minsk 1990.
a2） $10 \mathrm{Qd}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{f5} 11 \Delta \mathrm{gS}$ §f6 $12 \mathrm{f3}$ of $13 \mathrm{c5}$（this is very odd，why not 13 㟶b3？） 13 ．．．
cxdS 14 exdS h6 15 仓h3 dxcS 16 Qc4 ©h8 17 bxc5 Q exdS 18 亿 xd5 $0 \times 1519$ 皆c 1 f47 Firt－Mrva， 1990.
a3）Nunn recommends 10 cS fS（ 10 ．．．dxcS 11 bxcS Uxc5 12 ga3 b6 13 Icc1 is good for White） 11 气d 2 dxcS 12 bxcS $\sum x x^{2} 13$＠a3土．
b） 9 ．．．aS（101）and now：

b1） 10 bxaS cS（10 ．．．ExaS $11 乌 \mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{Za} 812 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{~b} 613$ Øb3 Qe8 14 aS bxaS $15 \triangle x a S$ Qd7 16 cS dxcS 17 Qb7 4 cc 818 Exa8 Hexa8 19 气xcS Qc8 20 Qb5 $\pm$ Martin－McFarland， British Ch．1991．White has made good progress on the queenside，but Black seems to have decided to re－ nounce his traditional kingside counterplay） 11



 Qd4 20 Qc4 Qxc4 21 Qxc4 \＃c8＝Bonin－Ree，New York 1985.
b2） 10 Qa3 axb4 11 Qxb4 Qd7 12 a4 Qh6（The game

Berg－Nunn，Bundesliga 1985／86 reached the same position，but with both sides having taken a move more，White having cap－ tured on aS and then played Qb4．That game continued $13 \ldots$ ．．）c5 14 乌d2 乌a6 15 Qa3 f5 16 Qb3 fxe4 17 Qxe4 QfS 18 c5 尚h4 19 当b1 Qxc5 20 Qbxc5 dxc5 21 Qxc5 \＆ 2 d 422 Oc4 Qf5 23 f3 Oxe4 24 fxe4
畾b3 宙h8 14 Efd1 b6 15 a5 EcS 16 酋b2 Qa6 17 axb6 cxb6 18 घa3 f5 19 登da1 fxe4 20 Qxc5 bxc5 21 ＠xe4 Zb8 22 \＃b3 \＃xb3 23 脜xb3 日c8 24 䊏b8士 Tisdall－I Soko－ lov，Preston 1989.

## 10 cs

a） 10 Qd 2 is an interest－ ing move．Play can continue 10 ．．．Qf4 11 a4 f5 12 Qf3 g5 13 exf5 Qxf5 14 g3 气d4 （this is ingenious，but 14 ．．． Qh3＋is better．Keene－ Kavalek，Teesside 1975 con－ tinued 15 Gg2 妴d7！ 16 乌b3 Qd4 17 \＆ 2 xd 4 exd4 18 QbS
 21 岩b3 崖e7 with a strong attack） 15 gxf4 ©xf3＋16 Q $\mathbf{x f 3}$ ！（ 16 岁xf3？was played in a famous Petrosian－ Gligoric game which Black won brilliantly，but the text is much stronger．Black should play this variation as Kavalek did in the above game） $16 \ldots$ exf4（ 16 ．．．e4？？ was less than successful in

Martin－Britton，British Ch．1991； 17 QxgS Qxc3 18 ［a3 Og7 19 日g3 1－0） 17 Qb2 g4 18 bhit and it will be difficult for Black to sur－ vive．
b） 10 g 3 fS （an alternative which deserves close con－ sideration is 10 ．．．aS 11 bxaS f5 12 \＆ d 2 \｛This plays into Black＇s hands； 12 QgS is much better） 12 ．．．Qf6 13 Qa3 Qh6 14 cS Qxd2 15 省xd2 Qxe4 16 Qxe4 fxe4 17 Zach Qh3 18 Effdi $0 f 519$ Qb2 e3t 20 fxe3 Q）xg3 21 hxg 3 齿g 5 22 g 4 If 2 ！\｛very attractive； if 23 为xf2 少h4＋mating 23 Whe1 \＃af8 24 e4 岗f4 0－1 Van－ heste－Riemersma，Dutch Ch．1987） 11 仓g5（11 c5 ©f6 12 仑）d2 g5 13 exf5 §xf5 14乌de $4 \quad$ h6 15 cxd6 cxd6 16 ©xf6＋Qxf6 17 \＆e4＝R1－ cardi－Schwanek，Buenos Aires 1990） 11 ．．．©f6 12 f3 （102）and now：

b1） $12 \ldots$ aS 13 bxaS ExaS
 big2［a8 17 a4t van Wely－ de Jong，Wijk aan Zee II
1990.
b2） 12 ．．．马e8！？（Black preempts the knight＇s arriv－ al at e6） $13 \mathrm{c5}$ ？（this works out disastrously； 13 b5 looks much better） 13 ．．．h6 14 cxd6 菌xd6 15 \＆e6 Oxe6 16 dxe6 光xb4 17 皆b3 寝xb3 18 axb3 a6 19 ge3 乡⿵c 20 exf5 gxfS 210 d 3 e4 22 fxe4气ह4 23 Qd2 Ead8 24 §d5 Qxal 25 Exal \＆ce5 26 Qe2 fxe4 $27 仑 \times \mathrm{xc} 7 \mathrm{Exd} 228$ Qxg 4 Ee7 0－1 van Wely－Pieterse， Dutch Ch． 1991.
b3） 12 ．．．c6 13 bs h6 14乌） 3 Qxe6 15 dxe6 © bxct（16 Da3 allows Black to advantageously close the position： $16 \ldots$ c5 17 湈d 3 ＊ic8 18 Qd5 荌xe6 19 exfS gxf5 20 仓xe7＋首xe7 21 Ead a6F Makarov－Cvitan，Bel－ grade GMA 1988） $16 \ldots$ bxc6 17 §a3 c5 18 宸 a 4 乌c7 19 世出d7这xd7 20 exd7 Qc6 21 Qd1 Qd4 22 宙g2安f7 23 Qa4 由b7 24 h4 hs 25 glableo van Wely －Reinderman，Dieren 1990.
b4） $12 \ldots$ f4 13 cs （ 13 由＇g 2 looks like an improvement over the text；it was cer－ tainly convincing in Ghite－ scu－Milu，Bucharest 1991： $13 \ldots$ fxg 314 hxg 3 Qh5 15 Zhil h6 16 乌ीe6 Qxe6 17 dxe6
 20 耳af1 10 g 821 f4 exf4 22 gxf4 ©hf6 $23 \mathrm{f5} 5$ ） $13 \ldots$ dxc 514 Oc4（White heads for great complications； 14 bxcs would have led to an
unclear position after 14 ．．． h6 15 乌e6 Qxe6 16 dxe6 fxg 3 17 hxg 3 然d4＋） $14 \ldots$ ．．．cxb4 15 d6＋©h8 16 气bs（White cannot be greedy here； 16 dxe7 酋xe7 17 亿xa4 b5 18 Oxbs Sh 5 ，and although White has an extra piece， his position is a disaster） 16 ．．．h6 17 乌f7＋ $\mathbb{E x f 7} 18$ Qxf7 cxd6 19 \＆xd6 Qh3 20 Qb3 कh7 21 gf2 $仑$ c6（the dust settles and reveals a slight edge for Black，who has two pawns for the ex－ change and an active posi－ tion） 22 Qb2 桨e7 23 Bc Sd4 24 gxf 4 仓h 525 fxe5 Oxe5（Black has managed to loosen the white king a little more；if now 26 g gd 4 Qxd4 27 光xd4 onstrates the benefit of this．With his minor pieces playing such an active role， Black is not hampered by the material deficit of the exchange） $26 \triangleq f 7$ ©xb3 27



气e6 36 \＃g 3 \＆ $40-1 \mathrm{C}$ Han－ sen－Nunn，Wijk aan Zee 1991.
a） $\begin{array}{lll}10 & \cdots & \text { Qf4（103）} \\ 10 & \text { h6．}\end{array}$ a） 10 ．．．h6．This is a sneaky waiting move；Black removes the $\triangleq \mathrm{g} 5$ possibili－ ty，and will meet 11 Qd2 with 11 ．．．\＆f4．Meanwhile， White is lacking a con－

structive move．Some pos－ sibilities：
a1） 11 g 3 fS 12 乌d2 乌f6 13 f3 fxe4 14 fxe4 Oh3 15 省f2宸d7 16 Qc4 0．）g4 17 Bxf8＋ Exf8 18 Qf3 直h7＝Lalic－ Petrushin，Bosna $v$ Trud 1985.
a2） 11 乌d2 Qf4 12 乌c4 f5 13 f 3 gS 14 De3（14 Oa3 IIf6 15 bS 4 gk 616 b6es Ovchini－ kova－Gleizerov，Voronesh 1987；or 14 cxd6 cxd6 15 乌bS \＃f6 16 De3 b6 17 Icl Qa6 18
 （）d2 Eaf8 21 登el h5 23 \＆g 3 － $\mathrm{xe} 2+24$ थxe2 f4 25 Of2 g47 Law－Niel－ sen，Gausdal 1991） 14 ．．．仑eg6 15 cxd6 cxd6 16 exf5 QxfS 17 母e4 \＆xe2＋ 18 当xe2 Qf4 19 获d2 Oxe4 20 fxe4

 －Watson，London（Watson， Farley \＆Williams） 1990.
b） 10 ．．．a5 11 cxd6 exd6 12 QbS \＆ff 13 Qxf4 exf4 14

 … Qxf3 19 gxf 3 乌b6 20 ды
©xuc4 21 曾xc4＝Grivas－ Cooper，Novi Sad Ol．1990） 18 ．．．\＆b6 19 月． c 7 \＆xc4 20

 SbS 苞a67 Grivas－Murey， Tel－Aviv 1991.
c） 10 ．．．fS（104）and now：

c1） 11 岁b3 h6 12 a4（ 12 Q d 2 Ef4 13 Oxf4 exf4 14 eS क्षh7 15 exd6 cxd6 16 gadi $0 d 717$ ©bS OxbS 18 QxbS Ec8 19 Zfelt Krasenkov－McDo－ nald，Andorra 1991） 12 ．．． fxe4 13 Qxe4 ©f4 14 Oxf4 Exf4 15 cxd6 cxd6 16 Dd3 b6 17 登fe1 Qb7 18 乌） 3 気c8 19 Qe4 桨f8 20 a5t Grivas－ Nunn，Athens 1991.
c2） 11 Qgs $Q f 412$ Qc4 fxe4 13 乌gxe4 Qf5 14 f3 aS 15 bxaS ËxaS 16 QbS Qd7 17 c6 bxc6 18 dxc6＋Qe6 19 Qxe6＋Qxe6 20 道ds Qfd4 21 a4co Tisdall－M Gurevich， Reykjavik 1988.
c3） 11 exfS gxfS 12 Qgs 4）f6 13 Wb3（this doesn＇t work；White should instead consider the immediate attack on Black＇s pawn
centre with 13 f4） 13 ．．．h6 14 cxd6 cxd6 15 乌e6 Qxe6 16 dxe6 dS 17 \＄b2 刿b 18 乌a4鼻d6 19 Qc5 b6 20 f4 bxc5 21 fxe5 苟b6 22 exf6 c4＋ 23 安h1 cxb3 and Black went on to win，Djuric－Byrne，New York 1990.

> 11 Qxf4 exf4
> 12 Ef1（fOS）

12 畭d2 f5（Black falls a long way behind in deve－ lopment after this；a plan with ．．．h6，．．．g5 and ．．．Qg6 was preferable） 13 Дad1 fxe4 14 Qxe4 h6 15 cxd6 cxd6 16 乌d4 \＆fS 17 \＆xf5 Qxf5 18 噵xf4 QeS 19 当e3
 Qxe4 22 登d4 Tisdall－Jonsson，Reykjavik 1989.


12 ．．．h6
a） 12 ．．．a6（this looks slow，but it works out okay in this game） 13 紫d2 Qh6 14 eS dxeS 15 亿xeS f3 16 音xh6 fxe2 17 Qxe2 岁xdS 18 E．c4乌f5 19 桨f4 岁d3 20 Eोg3 Q $\mathrm{xg}^{3} 21 \mathrm{hxg} 3$ Qe6－Halasz －Segal，Beer－Sheva 1991.
b） $12 \ldots$ aS 13 亿 bS（ 13 bxaS ZxaS 14 cxd6 cxd6 15 学b3 Wh8 16 Ifd1 ${ }^{\text {EfcS }} 17$ Ea4 1／2－1／2 Skembris－Ivanovic， Novi Sad Oi 1990） 13 ．．．axb4 14 cxd6 cxd6 15 酜b3 Og4 16 ［77 He8 17 Hfcl 0 f5 18
 20 fxg6 hxg6 21 嵌xf4 Qd7
由h7 24 湈xg7＋安×g7 25
 27 IXxb7（White＇s last ten moves have all been cap－ tures，so it is hardly sur－ prisingly that Black has run out of pleces！） 27 ．．． Za 28 h4 1－0 Yrjola－Grivas， Thessaloniki Ol． 1984. 13 \＆d4！？
a） 13 h 3 gS 14 a 4 Qg6 15 QbS（a typical position for this variation：Black has the two bishops，the eS－ square and mobile pawns on the kingside，while White has the initiative and a big lead in development） 15 ．．．a6 16 乌bbd4 Дe8 17 \＃c4步f6 18 栟c2 hS 19 QfS（in view of what happens in the game，prefacing this with the pawn exchange on d6 might be better） 19 ．．．
米e7 22 妾d1 g4 23 hxg 4 hxg4 24 乌h2 第gS ${ }^{2}$ Suba－ Schmidt，Prague Zt． 1985.
b） 13 §d2 a6（13 ．．．gS 14 Q）c4 a6 15 a4 Qgg 16 cxd6 cxd6 17 aS $\mathbb{Z}$ e8 18 \＆b6 \＃b8 19 Qh5 \＆eS 20 h 3 漛f6 21 f3

Od700 Skembris－Milos． Novi Sad OI．1990） 14 Ec4 fS（here we see Black＇s alternative plan to that of ．．． gS and ．．．§g6：he tries to undermine the white centre） 150 D 3 fxe4 16 Qxe4乌f5 17 ge1 亿h4 18 Qf3 Qxc3
 Qe4 Дae8 22 Oxfs 要g5！$\mp$ Hergott－Winants，Thess－ aloniki Ol． 1984.

$$
13 \quad \cdots \quad g^{5}
$$

13 ．．．a6 14 h3 由hh7 15 杽d3 （15 Og4！0xg4 16 hxg 4 气g 8 17 Øf3！） $15 . .$. Øg8 $16 \mathrm{cxd} 6 ?$
 19 as Kruppa，USSR 1990.

14 ohs
Preventing ．．．©g6 and forcing Black change plan．


22 Ded4？！

White is slightly better， but starts to go astray．The right idea was $22 \mathrm{~g} 4!\mathrm{fxg} 3$ $23 \mathrm{fxg}^{3}$ Ifce8 24 \＆ed 4 ，con－ solidating the fS outpost．

| 22 | $\ldots$ | h5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 23 | 仓िf3 | \＃ce8 |
| 24 | exd6 | cxd6 |
| 25 | Ic77！ |  |

White should mount a damage limitation exercise with 25 ©xe5 Zxe5 26 g 4 fxg 27 fxg 3 Qh6！- ． 25 26 hxg 4 hxg4 27 Qxe5
White is getting carried away with his initiative and not paying attention to his own weaknesses，especially the e4－pawn．

> 27 … $\quad$ IxeS
> 28 Д̈d7?

28 岃d4 挡f6！（threatening
 28 … 0 f61 29 \＃xd6 \＃fe8 30 兹d2？首xf51（107）


## 6）Classical 8 ＠e3

With 8 Qe3 White main－ tains the central tension by postponing the advance dS and there often follows a period of shadow boxing， the main feature of which is Black＇s pursuit of the white queen bishop with moves such as ．．．Qg4 and ．．．f6．Black will hope to gain time and further his kingside ambitions，where－ as White presumes that these manoeuvres will only create weaknesses in his opponent＇s position．

Play can revert to fami－ liar situations if White subsequently closes the centre with d 5 ，and much of the tension in the Qe3 lines revolve around wheth－ er White can play this advance in favourable cir－ cumstances．

This variation has much in common with 7 Qe3，and they will often transpose into each other．

Game 13
Miles－Rogers Manila Izt． 1990

| 1 | c4 | g6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | e4 | Qg7 |
| 3 | d4 | d6 |
| 4 | Q $\mathrm{c}^{3}$ | 2 f 6 |
| 5 | Q 22 | 0－0 |
| 6 | Qf3 | eS |
| 7 | 0－0 | Qct |
| 8 | Qe3 |  |



An important alternative is 8 ．．． Be 89 dxe5（9 d5 leads to dead equality，e．g． 9 ．．．$乌 \mathrm{~d} 410$ 乌xd4 exd4 11 Qxd4 气xe4 12 Qxg7 ${ }^{\circ} \times \mathrm{xg} 713$ \＆xe4 『xe4 14 Qd3 घ̈d4 15
 b6 18 Дe3 $1 / 2-1 / 2$ Rajkovic－Wahls， Bundesliga 1991） 9 ．．．dxeS （ 9 ．．．$\sum$ xeS is playable，but Black will aiways be slightly worse，e．g． $10 \triangleq \mathrm{Qx} 5$

AxeS $110 \ldots$ dxes led to the following drastic conclu－ sion in Gschnitzer－von Gleich，Bad Wörishofen 1991： 11 年xd8 8 xd 1812 QbS gd7 13 gfdi ©）xe4 14 气）xc7
 Efd1 Qd7 14 Zaci fS 15 exfS Qxf5 16 Ofi ©e5 17 Qd5 妾d7 18 QgSt Nogueiras－Ti－ moshenko，Bayamo 1981） and now（109）：

a） $10 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Qe6} 11 \mathrm{cS}$ 椥e7 12酱c2 朐ad8 13 tadi and now instead of $13 \ldots$ Ixdi？！ 14
 man－Nunn，Hastings 1987／88， 13 ．．．a6 is okay for Black．
b） $10 \mathrm{c5!}$ ？has been tried recently．White plans 安b3 with uncomfortable pres－ sure against b7 and f7． Some examples：
b1） $10 \ldots 乞 \mathrm{~g} 4$ ？！（This gets Black into a tangle） $11 \mathrm{QgS}^{\mathrm{g}}$ f6 12 Qd2 $Q$ h6（ $12 \ldots$ fS 13 $A \mathrm{gS}$ is bad for Black，but maybe 12 ．．．th8 is play－ able，e．g． 13 㥩c1 f5 14 OgS荘d7 15 Q b 5 f4 16 h3 Qf6 17

Oxf6 Oxf6 18 乌dS 宮g7 19 Qb4t Gschnitzer－Brun－1 ner，Bundesliga 1991） 13 6b3＋（White can win a pawn with 13 Qxh6！？Qxh6 14 当xd8 気xd8 15 Q d 5 ，but after 15 ．．．f5 Black will obtain counterplay） 13曹h8 14 马adi Eg8 15 gef He716 4dS 炭f7 17 Qc4 18 Qc3 Qe6 19 仓e1 乌d 41 （Otherwise Black will have］ great difficulty in freeing his position） 20 Qxd4 exd4 21 Exd4 f5 22 exf5 $0 x d 423$ fxe6 酋g7，Miles－Wahls， Biel 1990，and now 24 ¢did is very good for White．
b2） 10 ．．．崖e7！？ 11 宸b3（11 h31？） 11 ．．．Zb8 12 QbS？ （White over－estimates the power of this pin，missing a clever black tactic） $12 \ldots$ Qg4 13 乌d5 4 xdS 14 exdS Qxf3 15 gxf3 Eed8！$\mp$ Mar－ tynov－Shchekachev，Mal－ mo 1991.
b3） 10 ．．．h6 11 h 3 （This is rather slow； 11 酋b3 is an alternative，and if $11 \ldots, g^{4}$ 12 Eadi 将e7 13 亿d5） $11 \ldots$ Qe6 12 㒸a4 并c8 13 gfd1 a6 14 \＆dS \＆h5 15 乌h2 कh7 16 Og4 Oxg4 $17 \mathrm{hxg}^{4}$ Qf4 18 Qxf4 exf4 19 Oxf4 Oxb2 20 Zab1 Og7 21 Дf3 皆xg4 22句xb7 \＆d4＝Boensch－ Volke，German Ch． 1991.
c） 10 岁xd8 and now the recapture with the rook gives White a slight edge， but taking with the knight
is fine for Black，e．g．： c1） $10 \ldots$ Ixd8 11 Ifd1 $\mathrm{Qg}_{\mathrm{g}}$ 12 Qd5！（More troublesome than 12 Qbs $8 \mathrm{Ed} 1+13$ Exd1乌e8 14 c5 a6 15 \＆c3 Eixd8 乌xd8 17 乌d2 Oxe2 18 Qxe2 豖f8 19 Qc4 कe7 20乞c3 c6 21 Ea4，although even here，White retains a nagging edge，Suba－ $\mathrm{Zu}-$ ckerman，New York Open 1987） 12 ．．．Qxe4 13 Qxc7 Exdl +14 Exdl Ec8 15 QdS Ef6 16 \＆xf6 Qxf6 17 h 3 Qe6 18 b3 aS 19 \＆g $5!$ 乌d4 20 Qd3 Qd7 21 Qe4士 Dlugy－ Fishbein，New York Open 1991．White has pressure against the weak queenside pawns．
c2） $10 \ldots$ ．$£ \mathrm{xd8} 11$ QbS乌e6 12 Qg5 Ee7 13 \＃fd1 b6 14 a4（14 cS AxcS 15 Ad8＋ Qf8 16 Qxa7 Exa7 17 Exc8 \＃fe8 18 \＃xe8 \＆xe8 19 乌f3 f6 20 Qc4 $+1 / 2-1 / 2$ Piket－Nunn， Wijk aan Zee 1991） 14 ．．．c6 15 Qxe6 Qxe6 16 Qc3 \＃b7 17 b4（White must play this or Black will prevent it with ．．．Qf8 and then the plan of ．．．乌d7 and ．．．QcS will give him the edge） $17 \ldots$ Qf8 18 b5（18 Zab1？！left White struggling to hold the ba－ lance in Portisch－Nunn， Amsterdam OHRA 1990： 18 ．．Qd7 19 bS प्2c8 20 Qd5乡cS 21 bxc6 $8 \times c 622$ as bxaS 23 乌f6＋象h8 24 Exb7 Qxb7 25 \＆）d7 Qd6 $26 \mathrm{cS} \mathrm{ETC7}$ 27 cxd6 \＃xd7 28 Qg5 Exd6

29 Qf6 6 tg 830 OxeS Exdl +
 20 bxc6 日xc6 $210 \times f 6+$ कxf6 22 \＃d8 OcS 23 Eg8 Ec8 24
 g3 f5－Gausel－Hellers， Oslo 1991.

9 OgS（110）

$\begin{array}{ccccc}9 & \ldots & & \text { f6 } \\ \text { After } & 9 & \ldots & \text { Of6 } & \text { White }\end{array}$ must play accurately to keep the advantage，i．e． 10 Qxf6 仑xf6 11 h3！（Not 11 dxeS dxeS 12 桨xd8 $\mathbf{Z x d} 813$ EdS 乌e8！$\triangle \ldots Q_{g} 4=11 \ldots$ exd4 12 Qxd4 ${ }^{2} \mathrm{e} 813$ Qxc6 bxc6 14 苟c2 省e7 15 Ifel莡b8 16 Zadl 诰eS 17 桨d2 aS 18 Qflt Vodinovic－Mol－ lov，Plovdiv 1988.

$$
10 \text { Oc1 }
$$

10 Qh4，preventing ．．．fS is an important alternative， which gives rise to the fol－ lowing（111）：
a） $10 \ldots \mathrm{gS} 11 \mathrm{gg} 3$ 乌h6 12 dxes fxe5（This is a very double－edged position： Black has a strong central position，but some weak－ nesses on the kingside） 13

h3（ 13 cs g 414 ＠d2 dxcs 15 Qb3 Qd4 16 〇xcs c6 17 Qc4＋安h8 18 Qe2 Qb3 Qe6 20 Qxe6 $仑$ xe6 21
 ©fgs 24 EfS士 Chekhov－ Paehtz，Berlin 1990） 13 ．．． Qf7 14 cS （ 14 Qd2 De6 15 0 g 4 ©d4 16 乌d5 气h 817 ©b3 气gt 18 \＃ct Of7 19 气e3 ©f4 20 ©xd4 exd4 21 乞f5 0 Zsu Polgar－Hellers，Wijk aan Zee II 1990） 14 ．．．De6 15 cxd6 岩xd6 16 榎a4 曻b4 17特xb4 ©xb4 18 a3 5 c6 19 QdS QxdS 20 exdS Qe7 21 Zfdi $\langle f 5 \infty$ Gruenberg－ Vogt，Lelpzig 1988.
b） 10 ．．．安h8（A more so－ lid continuation than 10 ．．． g5，but lacking in dynamism） 11 dxeS （11［̈c1 Qh6 $12 \mathrm{dxe5}$ dxeS 13 cS Qg 414 今d2 Qxe2


 Rajkovic－Pavlovic，Yugo－ slavia 1987） 11 ．．．dxeS 12 cS
 1s Eladi fS（Black gets into trouble after this，so
maybe 15 ．．．a6！？is better） 16 QbS a6 17 Oxc6 bxc6 18 exfS gxfS 19 gfel $\pm$ Suba－ Watson，New York Open 1987.
c） 10 ．．．乌h6（Also solid） 11 dxeS dxeS 12 cs Qe6 13峟a4 gS（13 ．．．岩e8 14 Qc4 gS 1S Og3 g4 16 ©h4？\｛This knight takes little further part in the game， $16 \triangleq d 2$ ，as in Gruenberg－Fernandez， is much better $16 \ldots$ ．．Sdd 17 Qxe6＋岩xe6 18 QbS QxbS 19
 Had1 Exd1 22 日lxdi Of8 23 b4 浆c4干 Simic－Hazai， Smederavska Palanka 1987） 14 Qg3 g4 15 Qc4 奖e7 16
 Qxe6 所xe6 19 日adi If7 20 ©dS $\pm$ Gruenberg－Fernan－ dez，New York Open 1991.


Black has two important alternatives：
a） $10 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{h} 6} 11 \mathrm{dxeS}$（This is more testing than 11 dS ， when 11 ．．．Qe7 12 b4 乌f7 13 cs f5 14 Qgs $\triangleq \mathrm{xg} 5$ 15 Qxg5 h6 16 Qd2 fxe4 17 Qc4 QfS

18 g4t？Qc8 19 Qxe4 安h7 20 cxd6 cxd6 21 Ïcl was not worse for Black in Agde－ stein－Cvitan，Novi Sad O1． 1990） 11 ．．．dxes（In some previous variations，with 10 Qh4，the recapture with the f－pawn was fine for Black， but here it is dangerous，as the white queen＇s bishop can play an active role，e．g． $11 \ldots$ fxeS $120_{\mathrm{g} S}$ 㟶d7 13 Qd5！\｛13 誓d2 ©f7 14 Qe 3 b6－let Black off the hook in Wells－Vukic，Graz 1991） 13 ．．．甹h8 14 登c1 \＆f7 15 Qe3 （cS is coming and Black has big problems） 15 ．．．©cd8 16 c5 Qe6 17 cxd6 宏xd6 18
 20 Exc7＋－Thorsteins－ Yedidia，Paris 1991） 12 崩d5 + （This activates the queen， but White can also station it on a4，e．g． 12 c5 Qe6 13
阵c8 16 等a3 Og 417 Qxc6 bxc6 18 Qe2 乌f7 19 h 3 Qe6 20 苟a4 然b7 21 b3土 D Gure－ vich－Fedorowicz，USA Ch． 1989） $12 \ldots$ ．．． f 7 （12 ．．．©h8 13 Qe3首e8 14 Ead1 Qe6 15 并bS Ebs 16 前a4 a6 17 QLc5 \＃f7 18 EdS Eg8，and now 19 h1！ dealt with Black＇s threat of $\ldots$ ．．． d 4 and left White with the advantage in Portisch－ Spraggett，Moscow GMA 1990） 13 Qe3 Qg 414 Eadi（14
 Qd6 17 炎a4 \＆d4 18 cS \＆xe2＋ 19 气xe2 气c4 20 0c1

5d8 21 乌d 3 前d7 22 前c2 宸f7 23 b 3 乌ीa5 24 Qd2 Qct 25 Qb4 \＆xb4 26 Qxb4 Qf8－ Fauland－Vukic，Graz 1991）
 Qe7 17 QdS Ee8 18 茵b3 c6 19 Qc4 cxdS 20 exdS QxdS 21 QxdS QxdS 22 ExdS \＃e7 23 Effdit Barbero－Vukic， Graz 1991.
b） $10 \ldots$（bh8（113）and now：

b1） 11 d5 ©e7．If this po－ sition is compared to the main line（ 8 dS Qe7）it would，at first sight， appear to be a bad deal for White．Black has played the useful ．．．फh8 and has also cleared the way for the advance of the f－pawn． However，Black＇s knight on g4 is a greater handicap than one would expect．If it is defended with ．．．hS，then a subsequent ．．．fS may allow White to play exfS gxf5 and then h3，possibly winning the exposed $h$－ pawn．If it retreats to h6， then Black has iost time
and placed the knight on a poor square．Let＇s see how this works out in practice：
b11） 12 Qel hS（12 ．．．fS worked well in Z Polgar－ Xie Jun，Novi Sad Women＇s O1．1990： 13 Oxg4 fxg 414 Qe3 c5 15 dxc6？\｛Opening up the position for the bi－ shops cannot be right． White should simply play 15 ©）d3 $\triangle b 4$ with a small plus\} $15 \ldots$ bxc6 16 OgS 背c7 17 Qxe7 奖xe7 18 \＆）c2 hS 19 Qe3 Qe6 20 畄e2 Qh67） 13 Qd3 fS 14 exfS QxfS 15 h 3 Qf6 16 QgS c6 17 Qf3 乌d4 18 Qe4 学e8 19 f3 cxdS 20 cxdS Qf5 21 कh2 0 d 722 Hb3士 Brenninkmeijer－Shirov， Groningen 1990.
b12） 12 Qd2 $Q$ h6（After 12 ．．．h5，it is difficult for Black to get going on the kingside，e．g． 13 b4＠h6 14 c5 fS 15 cxd6 cxd6 16 exfS乌exfS 17 乌ce4 乌d4 18 乌c4 Qxe2＋19 캅x 2 \＆f5 20 QgS将d7 21 a4 b6 22 b5t Djur－ huus－Lanka，Peer Gynt International 1991） 13 b4 fS 14 c5 Qeg8 15 仑̂c4 f4 16 a4仓f6 $17 \mathrm{f3}$ g5 $18 \mathrm{h3}$（Black has opted for the traditio－ nal race，but the knight on h6 is horrible－by blocking the $h$－pawn and preventing the use of h6 by a bishop or rook，several of Black＇s thematic ideas have been taken away） 18 ．．． 4 f7 19 Qa3 ㄹg 820 b5 $\pm$ Djurhuus－

Watson，Gausdal 1991. White is way ahead in the race．
b13） 12 气gg！？alters the structure and leaves White with a small edge，e．g． $12 \ldots$ Qxh2 13 家xh2 fxgS 14 QxgS h6 15 Qe3 Qg 816 thi Qf6 17 ＊／d2 QgS 18 QxgS hxgS 19 g $3 \pm$ Miles－Ye，Beijing Open 1991.
b2） 11 h 3 exd4（This in－ Itiates a forcing sequence which leaves Black with a perfectly satisfactory po－ sition．Less good ls 11 ．．． Qh6 12 dxeS dxeS 13 Qe3 Qe6 14 菏xd8 Eaxd8 15 QbS Ëd7 16 Iffd1 when White retains an edge） 12 Qxd4会xd4 13 前xd4 fS 14 宸d1 © eS is exfS QxfS 16 f4（16 Qe3 Qe6 17 cS Qc4 18 Qxc4 Oxc4 19 Be1＝Cebalo $-\mathrm{Be}-$ lotti，Reggio Emilia 1991） 16 ．．．Qc6 17 Qe3 所d7（17 ．．．学f6 18 多d2 邑ae8＝as $\ln$ van der Sterren－Arakhamia， Aosta 1990，is also fine） 18
 4d5 Qe4 21 Hact 0 d 822 Ef3 Qct 23 Qd4 Ee6 24 Qxg7＋Uxg7 25 Qg 4 Qc5 26 Ece1 aS－A Maric－Xie Jun， Novi Sad Women＇s Ol． 1990.
I1 dS (114)
$110_{g} 5$ is，rather unusu－ ally for the opening，a fourth consecutive bishop move．Play can go：
a） $11 \ldots$ Qf6 12 Qxf6 Qxf6 13 exf5（Less good is 13

dxe5？！dxe5 14 始xd8 ${ }^{[7 x d 8}$ 15 QdS Qxe4！ 16 Q xc7 \＃b8 and now in Portisch－Ka－ sparov，Linares 1990，White played 17 Effdi？！and was a little worse after $17 \ldots$ Qd7 18 Od3 \＃bbc8． 17 Eadi would have been better，but only equal） 13 ．．．Qxf5（ 13 ．．． gxfS？！ 14 dxeS dxeS 15 杪xd8 Exd8 16 \＆ d 5 \＆e8 17 Efd1 Qe6 18 由fit Cebalo－Iva－ novic，Yugoslavia 1990．The Black e －and f －pawns are vulnerable） 14 dS！（This causes Black some trouble． Kasparov also mentions 14
 Qe7 is ©gS c6 16 Qd3 Og 4 17 首d2 当b6 18 h 3 이 719 dxc6 bxc6 20 Eael（Black is struggling．His centre is exposed to attack，and there are no weaknesses in the white position to pro－ vide a source of counter－ play） $20 \ldots$ Дad8 21 乌a4 酋c7 22 f4 Qff 23 cS exf4 24宸xf4 \＆dS 25 cxd6 $4 x \mathrm{xd6} 26$ ＊h4 Of5 27 Qxf5 Qxf5 28 ExfS ExfS 29 De6 gS 30

诲g 4 当aS 31 b4 勾xb4 32 Qxd8 h5 $33 \mathrm{Zle} 8+1-0 \mathrm{Raj}-$ kovic－Nunn，Bundesliga 1990／91．
b） 11 ．．．炎e8（！）（115）．


This makes more sense than exchanging the king＇s bishop．White must now be careful or Black can be very fast with the kingside attack，e．g． 12 dS （In Lput－ ian－Bologan，USSR Teams 1991，White tried to stir up trouble with the unlikely combination 12 仓dS 岩f7 13 Qe7！？but after $13 \ldots$ ．．． xe 7
 Ece6 Oxe6 17 §xe6 $\frac{4}{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{d} 718$ exfS gxfS 19 §xf8 Exf8， Black was certainly not worse） $12 \ldots$ §e7（12 ．．．乌）b8 is an unusual retreat for the black knight，but is not necessarily bad，e．g． 13 Qel Qf6 14 exfS OxfS 15 Qc2 aS
 Qxf6 Qxf6 19 Qe3 e4 20 Qd4 E）cS 21 Oxf6 Exff6 22新d4 Ef5 23 \＆d1 EleS 24 Qe3 ＊e7 25 b3 \＃ff8 26 Elab1 Ef4 27 a 3 Eh4 28 b 4 \＆ $\mathrm{d} 3=\mathrm{Mu}-$

EBologan，Peer Gynt intarnatlonal 1991） 13 Sel （ 13 Q d 2 cuts off the retreat of the queen＇s bishop which was immediately exploited in Deak－Hazai，Debrecen 1991，viz． 13 ．．．h6！ 14 Qxe7宸xe7 15 Qxg4 fxg4 16 畾e2 h5 17 Qd1 ©h6 18 \＆e3 h4 19 g3 酱g5 20 gac1 hxg3 21 hxg3 当h5！ 7 ） 13 ．．．仓f6（I like the look of the zwi－ schenzug $13 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$ ，as then if 14 Qxe7 Black can continue as in Deak－Hazal，while if 140 d 2 ，then he can play as In the main game，but the white bishop is on a much less active square） $14 \mathrm{f3}$ h6 $15 \mathrm{ge} 3 \mathrm{~b} 616 \mathrm{~b} 4 \mathrm{gS} 17 \mathrm{c5}$ © g 6 18 §bS 4 y d8 19 cxd6 cxd6 20 Elf fxe4 21 fxe4 $\triangle f 422$
 Of8 25 Qxf4 exf4 26 气ff Ee3 27 gfci g4 28 气fd4 g3eo Schlosser－Hazai，Bu－ dapest 1991．The game has degenerated into a total mess，but the verdict is that 11 ．．．ele8 is the way to deal with 11 lg 5 ．

|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |

$12 \mathrm{b4}$ Qf6 leads to an amusing situation．It is as if White has played the main line with 9 b4（i．e． 8 dS ©e7 9 b4）and Black has replied with the illegal 9 ．．． fS！Since Black often expends two tempi to play this move，it is clear that


White must try to exploit It immediately，or be much worse．Therefore $13 \hat{Q} 5$ is logical，but nevertheless did not get White very far after 13 ．．．h6 14 §e6 Qxe6 15 dxe6 fxe4 16 bs \＆fs 17 Qg4 c6 18 Qxf5 gxf5 19 Qa3 Ee8 20 bxc6 bxc6－in Gon－ zales－Hazai，Camaguey 1987.

| 12 | $\cdots$ | きf6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | exfS | gxfS |
| 14 | f4 | e4 |

As a general principle in the King＇s Indian，Black prefers to keep the tension in such a position．Thus 14 ．．．©g 6 comes into conside－ ration，and although White can then pursue the initia－ tive with 15 fxeS dxes 16 c5！，this may be the better choice．
After the text，Black＇s centre is robbed of all its fluidity and White can pre－ pare an undermining oper－ ation．

| 15 | Qe3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | h6（117） |



The simple 16 乌h3 also keeps the advantage，but this is more dynamic．

```
16 ．．．exe6
``` 17 dxe6
c6
17 ．．．如c8 would be met by 18 QdS §fxd5 19 cxdS \(0 \times b 220 \mathrm{Efb} \mathrm{Qg}_{\mathrm{g}} 21\) QhS！， when White plans Qf7 + and Eb3 with a monstrous attack． 18 कh1！
Preparing the undermin－ ing g4，which has the addi－ tional benefit of opening the g－file against the black king．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 18 & & Q \\
\hline 19 & g4 & \\
\hline 20 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Methodically continuing the build－up． 20 ．．．乌h4？
Now Black loses by force．The only chance was 20 ．．．峟xe6 21 gxfS 暥xfS 22㒸xd6，although with an open g－file，two white bi－ shops and a weak pawn at e4 to cope with，Black＇s task is far from enviable．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 21 & Qf2 & \(0 \times 84\) \\
\hline 22 & Oxg 4 & fxg4 \\
\hline 23 & Qxh4 & \＃）xh4 \\
\hline 24 & 防xg！ & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The exchange of queens removes any possibilities for counterplay．Hampered by his problems on the \(\mathrm{g}^{-}\) file，Black now has no chance to deal with the white \(e\)－and \(f\)－pawns．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 24 & \(\cdots\) & \％xg4 \\
\hline 25 & Exg4 & \％h7 \\
\hline 26 & Qxe4 & ［ae8 \\
\hline 27 & ［e1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Equally decisive was 27 Eag1 intending＂th4．The only trap to avoid was 27 fS？Qe5（27 ．．．\({ }^{2} x f S\) ？ 28

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & & Qxb2 \\
\hline 28 & Eb1 & \\
\hline 29 & Exb2 & \\
\hline 30 & Exb7＋ & \\
\hline 31 & & \\
\hline 32 & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Annotations based on Miles＇s notes from Infor－ mator 49.

\section*{7）Classical 7 ．．．©bd7}

Many players like to play the King＇s Indian，but har－ bour reservations about the positional simplicity of the main lines with 7 ．．．Qct．In these variations，Black is often obliged to burn his boats early on，and if checkmate isn＇t forthcom－ ing on the kingside，the result can be a demorali－ sing defeat．Players priding themselves on the subtle， strategic nature of their game often resent redu－ cing the complexity of the struggle to a simple equa－ tion of＇give checkmate or lose＇．

If you come into this category，then 7 ．．．乌bd7， maintaining the tension，is a perfectly reasonable choice．Black reserves the possibility of playing in all sectors of the board，and leaving the centre open means that it is not so easy for White to formulate a plan．

Here we consider the replies 8 道c2， 8 Ile1（game 14）and the currently highly
popular 8 Qe3（game 1S）． 8 d5 transposes to the Petro－ sian System，which is con－ sidered elsewhere．
Game 14
Ftacnik－Nunn Gjovik 1983
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & Qf3 & g6 \\
\hline 2 & d4 & Qg7 \\
\hline 3 & c4 & Qf6 \\
\hline 4 & 403 & 0－0 \\
\hline 5 & 4 & d6 \\
\hline 6 & Qe2 & Qbd7 \\
\hline 7 & 0－0 & eS \\
\hline 8 & H2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

8 Eel c6（Various others are playable here for Black， e．g． 8 ．．． Z e 89 of 1 aS 10 \＃b1 h6 11 b3 Qg 412 dS fS 13 Qd3 f4 14 a3 h5 15 b4 b6 16 气bS
g5 17 Qd2 Qgf6 18 Qb2 g4－ Murugan－Ubilava，Alma－ Ata 1989； 8 ．．．h6 9 蒋c2 乌h7 10 dxeS dxeS 11 Qe3 He8 12 Eadi＠hf8 \｛12 ．．．c6，pre－ venting the advance of the white c－pawn，is more cir－ cumspect，e．g． 13 cS 詣 14 Fd6 ©df8 15 h3 ©e6 16 Qc4 कh8 17 Eled1 Hf8 18 b4 t／2－1／2 Serrer－Poldauf，German Ch．1991．Typically，Kaspa－ rov prefers to create a mess 313 cS Qe6 14 c6 bxc6 15 \＆）a4 g5 16 岩xc6 Eb 817 h3 h5 18 学cl g4 19 hxg 4 hxg4 20 ＠h2 g3 21 fxg3 Qd4 22 Qc4 \(\infty\) Andersson－ Kasparov，Moscow Izt．1982； 8 ．．．exd4 9 §xd4 乌c5 10 f3 c6 11 Qe3 Ie8 12 将d2 aS 13 Zad1 乌fd7 14 乌b3 乌xb3 15 axb3 \＆ie6 16 Qa4t Neverov －Timoshenko，USSR Team Ch．1988） 9 Qf1（ 9 Zb 1 ab 10 b4 exd4 11 Qxd4 \(\mathbb{Z} \mathrm{e} 812\) f3 b5 13 Qe3 乌eS 14 cxbS axbS 15 Qf2 dS 16 exdS QxdS and now，instead of 17 §xd5？！m， Gligoric－Ivkov，Yugoslavia 1987， 17 \＆xc6！would have caused problems as 17 ．．． Qxc3 \(18 \quad\) Qxd8 \(\quad\) Qxd1 19 Ebxd1 Qd7 20 Q）b7 Exa2？ 21 f 4 wins）and now（120）：
a） 9 ．．．a6 10 a3（ 10 dS cS 11 a3 \(\varrho \mathrm{b} 612\) Qd2 a5！（Black demonstrates that it pos－ sible to successfully chall－ enge White on the queen－ side） 13 b 3 Qe8 14 gb \＆c7 15 b4 axb4 16 axb4 cxb4 17


気xb4 Qa6 18 gbl Qc5 19 Qb3 \＆ba4 20 Qxa4 Qxa4 21 Qd2 f5＝Sosonko－Rome－ ro，Novi Sad Ol．1990） 10 ．．． exd4（It makes sense to open the centre after White has expended a tempo on a3．Black can also try to take the initiative with 10 ．．．b5 11 h3 0 b 712 dxe5 dxe5

 कh8 18 cS Rad8 \(\propto\) Gomez－ Romero，Pamplona 1991） 11 Qxd4 Qe5 14 De3 Qe6 \(15 \mathrm{c5} \mathrm{Bad} 8\) 16 cxd6 \(\bar{\pi} x d 617\) 宸c2 4 hS 18 Qe2 ©d3！＇Lev－Soltis， London（Lloyds Bank） 1990. White can ruin Black＇s pawns by capturing on h5， but he has correctly ass－ essed that his central con－ trol and two bishops are more than sufficient com－ pensation．
b） 9 ．．．aS 10 dxeS （ 10 \＃b1 ［e8 11 dS 童c7 12 a3 a4 13 b 4 axb3 14 Exb3 £．c5 15 Eb4建f8 16 并c2 Qe8 17 Qa4

cS 20 Q d 2 f5－Elsness－ Djurhuus，Gjovik 1991） 10 ．．． dxeS 11 乌ीa4 宸e7（11 ．．．国e8 12 哆c2 Qf8 13 cS 峟e7 14 Qe3 Qg4 150 g 5 f 616 Qd2 乌ीxc5 17 乌b6 5b8 \(180 \times \mathrm{xaS}\) 乌d7 19 \＆xc8［iexc8 20 gild bS 21 Ëd2士 Hölzl－Rantanen， Randers Zt．1982） 12 崖c2 Be8 13 h 3 合cS 14 \＆）xcS当xcS 1S Qe3 学e7 16 Bad1 Qe6 17 cS a4 18 Qc4 Oxc4 19茢xc4 h6 20 品d6 bS 21 宸c2 Eec8 22 Eed1士 Malich－ Vogt，Halle 1978.
c） \(9 \ldots\) exd4 \(10 \triangleq \mathrm{Sxd} 4 \hat{\mathrm{yg} 4}\) （121）and：

c1） 11 尚 \(\times \mathrm{xg} 4\) Qxd4 12 Qe3 （12 峟d1 世营f6 13 Qe3 Qxe3 14 Ifxe3 QeS 15 管d2 Qe6 16 b3 IIad8 17 EIg3 酋h4－Karolyi －Bosboom，Amsterdam II 1988．Black has successful－ ly simplified the position and has no problems） 12 ．．．
 （14 ．．．当h4 15 g 3 销hS \(16 \mathrm{Og}_{2}\) Qh3 17 Qh1 Qg 418 f4 Qg 719 eS Iffd8 20 exd6 of8－Pin－ ter－Knaak，Szirak 1985） 15 f3 aS 16 鳥ad1 苭f6 17 OgS

并h8！－Lalev－Ivanchuk， Lvov 1988．Black＇s unusual queen manoeuvre has exa－ cerbated his central con－ trol．
c2） 11 h 3 卷b6 12 hxg 4 （ 12岁xg 4！？Qxd4 13 学e2 弁c5 14 Qh6 fS 17 İad1 \＆xe4 18 \＆xe4 fxe4 19 gixe4 QfS 20 g̈e2t Lerner－Vogt，Berlin 1989） \(12 . .\). 嗾xd4 13 Qe3 夏eS 14前d2 并e7 15 Ead1 公eS 16 f3 Qe6 17 b3 \＃̈fd8 18 Qe2 a6 19 Qf4 Qh6 20 QdS Oxe3＋ 21 Qxe3 bS 22 岁f2 bxc4 23 Qxc4 Oxc4 24 Qxc4 Qxc4 25 bxc4 \(\mathrm{Zab8} 26\) 狊d4 \(=\mathrm{Pe}-\) tran－Marin，Berlin Open 1988.
\[
8 \text {... c6 (122) }
\]

Now 9 Qe3 will transpose into note＇\(c\)＇to \(9 \mathrm{dS} \ln\) the next game．Others are：
a） \(8 \ldots\) exd4 9 Qxd4 10 Eid（ or 10 Qe3 QcS 11 f3全hS 12 岁d2 fS 13 exfS gxfS 14 f4 Qf6 15 of3 Qfe4 16 Qxe4 §xe4 17 Qxe4 Exe4 18 Ead1 岁e7 19 登f3 Qd7－ Garcia Palermo－Knaak， Camaguey 1987） 10 ．．．c6 11 \(\theta_{g S}\) as 12 of1 单b6 13 乌f3 Q）c5 14 Qe3 Wb4 15 Habl
 Boensch－Marin，Dresden 1988．Black is actively placed but his exposed pieces also act as targets for White．
b） \(8 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6\) ，intending ．．． Eh7 followed by kingside
counterplay with ．．．fS or even \(\mathrm{g}^{5}\)－ g 6 ，is a common plan in this type of posi－ tion．An example here is Lputian－Yudasin，Podolsk 1990： 9 Zd1 Eh7 10 dxe5 dxe5 11 cS c6 12 b 4 奖e7 13 a4 Bl e8 14 as a6 15 Qa4 Qhf8 16 Qc4 Etb8 17 Qb2 \＆e6 18 宛b3 乌f4 19 g 3 乌h3＋ 20 宙g2 乌f8 21 登d6士。


9 Efdi
Others：
a） 9 Og5 is always a double－edged move．White hopes to lure the black kingside pawns forward in the hope of creating weak－ nesses，but will look pretty silly if these advan－ ces give Black a dangerous kingside attack．Play can continue： \(9 \ldots\) h6 \(10 \mathrm{Qh4}\) g5 11 dxeS dxeS 12 Qg3 备hS 13 Ed1 Eff 14 Ee3 \＆f6 15 Zfel \(\pm\) Ftacnik－Vogt，Trnava 1983.
b）Closing the centre with 9 dS （123）has been po－ pular recently．It is a iogi－ cal move－White assumes
that with Black having played ．．．c6，the queenside attack will be speeded up． Some examples：

b1） 9 ．．．新c7 10 Qe3 a6（ 10 ．．．©g 411 Qd2 \(\mathrm{f6}\) \｛This is a very slow way to generate counterplay，the immediate 11 ．．．fS belng preferable． One possibility is then 12 exf5 gxf5 13 Q 044 §c5 14 b4 ©） \(\mathrm{abcol} 12 \mathrm{~b} 4 母 \mathrm{~h} 613 \mathrm{yfd}\) Qf7 14 世abl कh8 15 Qel a5 16 a3 axb4 17 axb4 皆g8 18 Qe3士 van der Sterren－Pi－ ket，Dutch Ch．1989） 11 Qd2 E）e8 12 b4 fS 13 f3 c5 14 Eab1 b6 15 Eb2 ©df6？（Piket indicates the superior 15 ．．． f4 16 gf2 g5 17 胃fb1 h5 is striking how often Black＇s strongest continu－ ation in the King＇s Indian is the one that involves using a blunt instrument against the white kingside．Often Black seems to opt for some subtle positional re－ grouping when simply get－ ting on with it would be better） 16 bxc5 bxcS 17 Efb1
f4 18 Of2 gS 19 乌a4 g4 20
 Qelt Piket－Damljanovic， Novi Sad Ol． 1990.
b2） \(9 \ldots\) cS 10 a3 Qe8 11 b4 b6（ \(11 \ldots\) h6 12 g 3 b6 13 \＃bl Qdf6 14 bxcS bxcS 15 Sh4 Qh3 16 Eel 乌h7 17 Od2 hS 18 Eb2 ©f6 19 Qf3士 Lukacs－ Werner，Budapest 1991） 12 \＃b1 h6 13 Qd3（ 13 Qd1 is an odd move．Perhaps White was hoping to use this＇bad＇ bishop effectively on a4， but this idea never comes to fruition： \(13 \ldots\) fS 14 §d2 Qdf6 15 㑒d3 Qh5！？ 16 QxhS gxhS 17 exfS QxfS 18 Qde4 कh8 19 bxcs dxcS 20 岁e2 ©f6 21 Eb3 h4 22 h 3 梅d7 23安h2 Ef7 24 ©xf6 5xf6 25 Qe4－／\(/ \infty\) Ostenstad－Rem－ linger，Gausdal 1991） 13 ．．．
 dxc5i？ 16 g 3 （A slight weak－ ening，but if 16 gfel then 16 ．．．Qg4 causes problems） 16 ．．．乌d6 17 Efe1 Qfe8 18 a4 Qg 419 Qh4 今c7 20 仓bS Qcxb5 21 axbS a6 22 bxa6 Exa6 23 дtb2 马fa8 24 \＃eb1物8 25 Qc3士 Cebalo－Daml－ janovic，Yugoslavia 1988.
\[
9 \ldots \quad \text { exd } 4
\]

9 ．．．类e7 10 dS （10 \＃b1 aS \(\{10 \ldots\) exd4 11 Qxd4 \(\& c S 12\) f3 © hS 13 券d2 Ed8 14 Qff aS
仓）fd7 18 \＆id2 QeS 19 Дbd1将f6 20 首g3士 Azmaipa－ rashvili－Vogt，Berlin 1989） 11 b3 亿h5 12 g3 琞e8 \｛Open－
ing the centre with \(12 \ldots\) exd4 would be preferable． Now White changes plan and gets an edgel 13 dS cS 14 Qel \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{hf}} \mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{a} 3\) Qb8 16 b4 axb4 17 axb4 b6 18 bxcS bxc5 19 Qd2 \＆a6 20 QbS \(\square \mathrm{f} 821\) Qg2 Qe8 22 Qd3 f5 LLoosening，but White was planning Qd3，5e1 and f4\} 23 exf5 Qxf5 24 日e1 Qxd3 25 尚xd3 tier－Hort，Novi Sad Ol． 1990） 10 ．．．cS（ 10 ．．．aS 11 b3 Q）c5？（This merely provides White with a target． 11 ．．． \(h 6\) was advisable） 12 0gS Ed8 13 Qd2 h6 14 Qe3 Qg4 15 Qxg4 Qxg4 \(16 \mathrm{f3}\) Qd7 17 a3 Qe8 18 b4 ©d7 19 Qb3 axb4 20 axb4 8 xa1 21 昌xa1土 LB Hansen－Piket，Munich 1989）and now Black＇s main problem is to find a useful role for the queen＇s knight which，at the moment，is merely getting in the way． Some examples of play （124）：

a） 11 马bl \(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{h} 5} 12 \mathrm{~g} 3\) Qdf6 13 b4 b6 14 bxcS bxcS 15

乡a4 a6 16 Qd2 Z̈a7 17 Qh4 Qg4 18 Eb8 gd7 19 当b3士 Lputian－Soltis，New York Open 1990.
b） \(11 \mathrm{h3}\) De8？！ 12 g 4 ！（A strange－looking，but re－ markably effective move． However，the negative side of 11 h 3 would have been shown up better by 11 ．．． QhS，as the f4－square has been weakened） \(12 \ldots\) ．．． b 8 13 OgS f6 14 Qe3 f5？！ 15 exfS gxfS 16 gxfS Qb6 17 Qd3士 Stefansson－Schlueter，Vi－ enna Open 1991.
c） 11 Og5 h6 12 Oh4 gS 13 \(\mathrm{Og}_{3}\) Qh5 14 气d2 Qf4 15 O 4 （15 Qff Qf6 16 Qe3 Qxe4（A famillar King＇s Indian com－ bination，but there is always a danger that it will lead to Black losing con－ trol of the light squares 17 Qxe4 f5 18 Qc3 Exe2＋ 19 Uxe2 f4 20 Qf1 fxg3 21 Qxg3 Qd7 22 Qge4士 Sma－ gin－Kochlev，Voronezh 1987） 15 ．．．h5！（Sharply spotted！Black gets tre－ mendous compensation for this pawn sacrifice） 16 Qxf4 exf4 17 QxhS Qd4（17 … 乌e5t？） 18 Qb5 Qe5 19 De2 g4 20 Qxd4 cxd4 21 f3 fS！ with excellent chances， Lputian－Pavlovic，Erevan 1988.
d） 11 g 3 is a reasonable waiting move．White often has to play this anyway，so it makes sense to see wbat

Black wants to do before forming a plan：
di） 11 ．．．乌e8 12 Qh4 Qb6？ （Black is obviously unaware of Tarrascb＇s dictum that a knigbt is always badly placed on b6） 13 Qe3 fS？ （Compounding the error by opening up the position for White．Black now loses more or less by force） 14 exfS gxfS is f4！exf4 16 Qxf4 QeS 17 Ef1 Qxf4 18 Exf4 气g 719 Eaf1 Qd7 20 Qd3 凯g 521 b3＋－Olafsson－ Lautier，Wijk aan Zee 1991.
d2） \(11 \ldots \mathrm{H}^{2} 120 \mathrm{gS}\) a6 13

 18 f4 e4 \(19 \mathrm{~g} 4 \pm\) Korchnol－ Romanishin，Brussels 1986.
d3） \(11 \ldots\) Qb8？！（This is one way of dealing with the awkward queen＇s knight， but it loses a lot of time） 12 Qh4 Qe8 13 Qd3 Qa6 14 a3 Od7 15 登b1 f6 16 島e1 \＃f7 17 \＆d1？（After this，White loses control． 17 f4！looks very strong，the tactical point being \(17 \ldots\) exf4 18 Qxf4 gS 19 乌fS \(\pm 17\) ．．．光f8 18 Qe3 Oh6m Sher－Gerber， Genf Open 1991.
\[
10 \text { Dxd4 多e7 (125) }
\] 11 QgS
a） 11 Qf1 as（ \(11 \ldots\) \＆c5 12 f3 aS 13 Qf4 \(\& \mathrm{fd} 714\) 尚f2 Qe5 15 L̈d2 Qe6 16 Qe3 Qxd4 17 Qxd4 Ze8 18 gad a4 19 cS \(\pm\) Nikcevic－Na－ randzic，Yugoslav Ch．1991）

 §h5 0 Gouret－Lautier， French Ch． 1989.
b） 11 Qf4 0 e8？！（This is very passive） \(12 \mathrm{b4}\) aS 13 bS
 16 bxc6 bxc6 17 乌d4 Qd7 18 Eab1 f5 19 f3 气e6 20 气xe6 Qxe6 21 कh1士 Garcia Ilun－ dain－Nunez，Andorra 1991.
c） 11 f 3 仑h5 12 g 4！？仑hf6 130 O 5 a6 14 奠d2（White＇s play is very ambitious．He is trying to completely re－ strict Black＇s counterplay， but is creating weaknesses in his own position） 14 ．．． QeS 15 §c2 De6 16 气e3 h6 \(17 \mathrm{Oh}^{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{g} 518 \mathrm{Qg}^{3}\) Efd8 19 h 4 bS \(20 \mathrm{hxg} 5 \mathrm{hxg} 521 \mathrm{exb5}\) axb5 22 a3 \(Q_{\text {fd7 }} 23\) 酋xd6
 bxa3 26 Ixxa3 Ixxa3 27 bxa3
 Qxa3－Flear－Chiburda－ nidze，Biel 1991.


11 ．．．h6 12 Qh4 ©cs 13 f3
 Ead1 a4 17 of 1 尚aS 18 a3 Ie \(e 8 \infty\) Danieljan－Shcheka－
chev，Sochi 1990. 12 f3 Ele8
An interesting try，which stops 13 哲d2 because of 13 … Qfxe4 14 Qxe4 0 xe4 15 fxe4 Qxd4＋．In some lines， the rook on e8 can take a white bishop arriving on e7． One other idea is to play 12 \(\ldots\) aS，answering 13 d2 with \(13 \ldots\) ．．． 8 d8．In an earlier game at Esbjerg in 1982， Mortensen tried the un－ pinning 12 ．．．畑c7 against Ftacnik．That game conti－ nued 13 b4 De6 14 Dxe6 Oxe6 15 of 4 Qe8 16 cS Qe5 17 QxeS dxeS 18 a4 aS 19 b5 We7 20 Qb1 Qf6 21 Qa3 and White stood better，as Black will have some trouble completing his de－ velopment because of the potentially loose a－pawn．
\[
13 \text { b4 }
\]

Perhaps White might also try 13 bh1 to prepare 14并d2 and play against the backward d－pawn．


\section*{15 学d2}

15 cS dxcS 16 eS does not work because Black can flick in 16 ．．．QfS winning material after 17 exf6 Qxc2 18 fxe7 \(0 \times x d 1\) ．


Having opened up the al－h8 diagonal it is natural that Ftacnik would want to move his rook out of dan－ ger．Immediately taking the d－pawn peters out to a drawn ending： 16 亚xd6
乌xe4 Qxal 19 Qf6 Qxf6 20 Qxf6＋安f8 21 分xe8 血xe8． Instead Nunn suggests the excellent try 16 gacit as White＇s best，where the rook is logically placed to prepare a future＠dS．Nunn points out the tactical ju－ stification of the move－ the white b－pawn，as so of ten early in the game，is poisoned： 16 ．．． \(66+17\) कh1苞xb4 18 Qxf6 Qxf6 19 QdS
 Black loses a whole rook， as after 21 ．．．Md4，White has 22 啠cl．Black＇s alterna－ tive is \(16 \ldots\) 亿d7 when Nunn gives 17 QdS cxdS 18 cxdS 慜b 19 Qe3 Qh6 20 Qxb6 Qxd2 21 Exd2土．How－ ever，we feel that Black will be very hard pressed to draw this position，e．g． 21 … 仓xb6 22 dxe6 覧xe6 23 Qd1［4c8 24 Exc8 \＆xc8 2S

Qb3 Ee7 26 Ec2 Qb6 27 a4． White has all the chances and they are quite good． Therefore the conclusion is that \(218 x \mathrm{xd} 2\) is more like \(\pm\) than \(\pm\) ．So unless Black has an improvement here he may be forced to consider the solid 12 ．．．aS．
\[
16 \ldots . \quad \text { D } 77
\]

Now Black is threatening to pressure c4 with ．．．QeS．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
17 & QdS & cxdS \\
18 & cxdS & Qg \(4!(127)\)
\end{tabular}


A spectacular sortie which must have come as a shock to White．The polnt is to avoid the unpleasant consequences of White＇s playing dxe6，opening up on the d－pawn．

19 h 3
If 19 fxg 4 馬xe4 and Black＇s knight still jumps in to eS．
\begin{tabular}{llll}
19 & \(\ldots\) & \(0 x f 3\) \\
20 & gxf3 & QeS \\
21 & Egg2 &
\end{tabular}

Black＇s plan is now to weaken White＇s e－pawn with a timely ．．．fS and then
to exchange the dark－ squared bishops．This would simultaneously re－ duce any chances Ftacnik has of using the two bi－ shops，while increasing Black＇s positional hold． 21 \＃bcl would be met by 21 ．．．笑b6＋ 22 Qe3 酱d8，but not 21 ．．．蓖d7？ 22 f4 絲xh3 23 Elc3！



23 ge3？
Ftacnik had to try \(23 \mathrm{f4}\) Qf7 24 exfS 所xf5 25 Og4， trying to get lnto e6．
\(\begin{array}{lll}23 & \text { п．} & \text { fxe4 } \\ 24 & \text { fxe4 } & \text { 徼e7 }\end{array}\) Eyeing h4．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 25 & Qg5 & Qf6 \\
\hline 26 & Qf4 & Af7 \\
\hline 27 & Qd3 & Qg5 \\
\hline 28 & El & Qxf4 \\
\hline 29 & 妆xf4 & SeS \\
\hline 30 & Qe2 & \＃ac8（129） \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This is the kind of posi－ tion which strong players regard as a technical win for Black．White＇s king is open and his bishop lacks

mobility，in a typical bad bishop vs good knight structure．In addition，In any ending，Black can create an outside passed pawn on the kingside， whilst White＇s central ma－ jority is completely immob－ ilised．In fact，it is sheer torture for White，who has no way of putting together a constructive plan before he is squashed． 31 Exc8
31 Og 4 loses after 31 ．．． \＃̈xci 32 \＃̈xci \＆d3 33 Qe6＋学xe6．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 31 & \(\cdots\) & Exc8 \\
\hline 32 & Ec1 & Excl \\
\hline 33 & 所xcl & 由67 \\
\hline 34 & 躴e3 & 出h4 \\
\hline 35 & 44 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In reply to \(3 S\) Qfi，which has the advantage of stopping ．．．峟e1，Black could creep forward with 35 ．．．安f6，threatening ．．．
 tralise with 36 ．．．fol－ lowed by ．．．hS－h4 and ．．．岩g3＋．

Black must be careful． 36 ．．．b6 allows 37 aS！宏xaS 38并g5 activating the queen．

37 bh2 b6
38 由g2
If 38 aS then simply 38 ．．． bxaS and 39 酋xa7 is not with check．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 38 & & h6 \\
\hline 39 & Wh2 & g5 \\
\hline 40 & 由g2 & Qg \({ }^{6}\) \\
\hline 41 & eS & Qf4＋ \\
\hline 42 &  & 皆xe2＋ \\
\hline 43 & 首xe2 & ©xe2 \\
\hline 44 & exd6 & Qd4＋ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

After 45 कe4 Black has ．．．©b3－c5．

Game is
Gelfand－Ivanchuk USSR Junior Ch． Kramatorsk 1989
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & d4 & Qf6 \\
\hline 2 & c4 & g6 \\
\hline 3 & Sc3 & 0 g 7 \\
\hline 4 & e4 & d6 \\
\hline 5 & Qe2 & 0－0 \\
\hline 6 & 2f3 & Qbd7 \\
\hline 7 & 0－0 & \\
\hline 8 & De3 & \\
\hline 8 & ．．． & cb \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Many other moves are possible here：
a） 8 ．．．a6 9 dxeS dxeS 10 b4 \(\Delta \mathrm{g} 411 \quad \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{f} 612\) Qd2
 Ead1酋e7 16 Qxh6（Unusual， but it gains White several

tempi） \(16 \ldots\) ．．．Qxh6 17 घ̈d6 aS 18 a3 axb4 19 axb4 Ifd1 ©f8 21 bSt Dzhandz－ ghava－Todorcevic，Genf Open 1991.
b） 8 ．．．I2e8 9 d 5 ！（Closing the centre is a very logical way to meet ．．．Ie8．If Black now plays a plan with ．．．fS，then the rook belongs on f8） 9 ．．．Qg 410 \(0 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{f6} 11 \mathrm{Od} 2\) fS？！（This is much too early．Black should go solld with \(11 \ldots\) f6 and ．．．©f7） 12 仓g \(5!\) ©f8 13 exf5 gxfS 14 Oxg4 fxg 45 Sge4 Off 16 f 3 妴d7 17 Qh6 Wh8 18 d2 LB Hansen－ McNab，Novi Sad Ol． 1990.
c） 8 ．．．exd4 9 Qxd4 co 10 ＊d2？！（This allows Black to equalise easily． 10 党c2 would have defended the e－pawn．） 10 ．．．【e8 \(11 \mathrm{f3}\) dS！ 12 exdS（ \(E C O\) offers 12 cxdS cxdS 13 乌dbS dxe4 14 乌d Zf8 15 fxe4 乌eS 16 bhi Qe6 17 gadi，Naumkin－Perel－ stein，Budapest 1989，and now assesses the continua－ tion 17 ．．．㒸e7？！ 18 QcS as \(\pm)\)

12 ．．．cxdS 13 凫ad1 a6 14 cxdS Qb6 15 Qc2 QfS 16 Qd3 Qfxd5 17 Qxd5 \(\& x d S 18\) QxfS ©xe3 19 气xe3 莫xd2 20 Exd2 ©h6－Gruenberg－ Bosboom，Lippstadter 1991.
d） \(8 \ldots\) h6 9 dxeS（ 9 dS乡g4 \(10 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{aS}\{10 \ldots\) fS！7） 11 Qe1 ©gf6 12 乌d3 乌e8 13 a3 f5 14 b4 axb4 15 axb4 Exal 16 桨xa1 fxe4 17 乌xe4 乌ef6 18 f3\＆Sinkovics－Farago， Hungarian League 1991） 9 ．．． dxeS（ 9 ．．．Qg4？！is imagin－ ative，but insufficient，e．g． 10 exd6 Exe3 11 dxc 7 尚xc7 12 fxe 3 and if \(12 \ldots\) ．．．\(b 6\) QdS土） 10 Qd2 Qh7 11 b4（11 cS QgS 12 Qc4 §f6 13 f 3 c 6
 Ead1 Ele8 17 Ef2 QhS 18 \＃fd2 安h7 19 Qe2 Qf6 20 कh1 OgS 21 湈c3士 Browne－ Yermolinsky，Philadelphia Open 1990） 11 ．．．fS 12 exfS gxfS 13 Qb3 气gS 14 敞dS＋
 17 安h1 Eg8 18 靥d2 Of8－D Gurevich－Nunn，He1sinki 1983.
e） 8 ．．．aS（This is suspi－ clous，as it can easily just turn out to be a weakness， especially after ．．．c6，and will never really hoid up White＇s b4．Nevertheless，it is a popular choice here， e．g． 9 第c2（ 9 dxe 5 ！is a more direct attempt to treat 8 ．．． aS as a queenside weaken－ ing，e．g． 9 ．．．dxe5 10 \＆）d2 b6 （Otherwise cS was coming）

11 宸a4 Ob7 12 gifd1 皆e7 13 Qd5 ©xd5 14 cxd5 f5 15 f3家h8 16 Eacl \(\pm\) Shirov－Djur－ huus，Gausdal 1991） \(9 \ldots\) ．．．g 4 （Black wisely takes the opportunity to clear the centre．In Wells－Durao， Dublin 1991，White was al1－ owed to achieve a favour－ able set－up after 9 ．．．c6 10苗fd1 当e7 11 dxeS dxeS 12 E）a4 Eg4 13 QgS f6 14 Qd 2 \＆acs 15 乌b6 \(\mathrm{ga6} 16\) Qxc8 Exc8 17 h 3 Qh6 18 ge3 Elaa8 19 a3 \(\pm 10 \mathrm{QgS} \mathrm{f6} 11 \mathrm{Qd} 2\) exd4 12 Qxd4 Qacs 13 Qb3 Q xb3 14 岩xb3 f5 15 Oxg4 fxg 416 Qe3 Qe6 17 \＃fadr \(\mathrm{gf7}\) 18 乌d5 b6 19 峟c2 崖f8 20 b3 Qh6 21 奖c3－Gelfand－ McNab，Novi Sad Ol． 1990.
f） \(8 \ldots\) ．．． \(\mathrm{g}^{4} 9 \mathrm{gg} 5 \mathrm{f} 6\)（131） and now：

f1） 10 Oc1 c6（10 ．．．\＆h6 11 dxeS dxeS 12 b3 c6 13 a4？！ \｛This careless advance se－ verely limits White＇s sub－ sequent queenside options\}
今f7 16 Zdi of8 17 cS 峟e7 18


Qe6 21 ©xc5 bxc5 22 © a 2 Eed8干 Miralles－Strikovic． Novi Sad Ol．1990） 11 h3 \(\mathrm{Q}^{\text {h }} 6\)
 IIadi Ine8（Black is clearly a believer in the theory of over－protection．He has seven（ 1 ）pieces covering the eS－square－Nimzowitsch would have been proud！） 15 Efe1 Qh6 16 Qxh6 Qxh6 \(^{17}\) b4 ©f7 18 a3 亿f8 19 ＠f1 5 ！？ （There is obviously a danger that White may be able to exploit the weak light squares after this move， but it is worth remember－ ing that weaknesses are only relevant if the oppo－ nent is in a position to ex－ ploit them，and here White is not sufficiently actlvely placed to do so） 20 Id 2 ©g 21 dS c5 22 玉h2 气f4 23 ge2 宙g7 24 焑d1 b6 25 Qg \(4 \infty /=\) Miles－Zapata， Manila lzt． 1990.
f2） \(10 @ \mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{c} 611 \mathrm{~d} 5512\) Ag5 © 4 df6 13 b4 a5？！ 14 bxaS ExaS 15 光b3 c5 16 f3 Qh6 17
 QdSt Gelfand－Marin， Tallinn 1989.
f3） 10 gh4 Qh6 11 类d2（11 dxe5 dxe5 12 \＃bl 4 f7 13 b4 h5 14 h3 a5 15 a3 axb4 16 axb4 c6 17 畋c2 Qh6 18 Ifd学e7 19 c5 \({ }^{2} \mathrm{LeB} 20\) Qc4 乌f8－ Hjartarson－Zapata，Thes－ saloniki O1．1988） 11 ．．．© 97 12 प̈ad1 co（ \(12 \ldots\) aS 13 dxe5 dxe5 14 eqc2 b6 15 c5 bxc5 16

Qc4 g5 17 Og 3 Gh8 18 ©a4 （4e7 19 亿d2 0 b6 20 Qb5
 Kandiba－Sadriev，Voronez 1991） 13 h 3 aS 14 宸c2 宸e7 15 c5！？（A typical strategem when Black has moved the queen to e7，as 15 ．．．exd4 can be met by 16 cxd6．Ne－ vertheless，it is not clear that the text continuation is favourable for White）is ．．．dxc5 16 dxeS bs！ 17 exf6 Qxf6 18 Dg 3 a4 19 gfe1 \(\unrhd f 5\) 20 气h2 Qh4 21 Qxh4 岗xh4 22 Og \(4 \infty\) Farago－Con－ quest，Hastings Masters 1990.


9 dS
a） 9 dxe5 is an inslpid continuation，e．g． 9 ．．．dxe5 10 h3 e7 11 ch 4 h5 12
 f5 15 exf5 gxf5 16 a3 the 17 b4 e4 18 乌d4 气e5 19 乌xe6
 huus，Gausdal 1991.
b） 9 \＆el exd4 10 exd4 Дe8 11 f3 Qhb \(12 乌 \mathrm{c} 2 \leftrightharpoons \mathrm{~h} 513\) g3 \＆acs 14 Qxcs dxcs 15敏xd8［xd8 16 Efd1 Qe6 17
f4m Zlatilov－Calvo， Andorra 1991.
c） 9 방c2 and now：
c1） 9 ．．．学e7 10 登fe1 exd4 11 Qxd4 b4 \＆e6 14 Qe3 Qg 4 15 Qc1 aS 16 a3 axb4 17 axb4 \(\pm\) Sad－ ler－Calvo，Andorra 1991.
c2） \(9 \ldots\) h6 10 h 3 斯e7 11 Efel QhS 12 of1 fS 13 dxeS dxeS 14 exfS gxfS iS Zad1
 18 岁a4 它h8 19 日c4 酱c7 20范b3士 Uhlmann－Chibur－ danidze，Graz 1991.
c3） \(9 \ldots\) exd4 10 Qxd4 Z e8 11 Zadt 梦e7 12 \＃fel Qtcs 13 Qd2 aS（Tal once found a more imaginative plan here， e．g． 13 ．．．h5！？ 14 h3 Qh6 15 Qfi Qf4 16 乌f3 Qfd7m Pin－ ter－Tal，Taxco Izt．198S） 14 f3 Qe6 15 Qf2 Qd7 16 Qb3 a4 17 Qd4 DdcS 18 Qxe6 Qxe6 19 Qd4士 Barle－ Neverov，Voskresensk 1990.
c4） 9 ．．．\(Q g 410\) QgS f6 （133）

and now White has the fa－ milliar choice of bishop re－ treats：
c41） 11 Oh4 Qh6 12 dxeS dxeS 13 b4 庿e7 14 cS Ze 815 Qd2 \＆f8 16 Efd1 Qe6 17 Qb3 Qf4 18 Qfi Qe6－Sad－ ler－Soltis，London（Lloyds Bank） 1990.
c42） 11 Qd2 fS（11 ．．．aS 12 h3 \＆h6 13 Дad1 \(4 f 714\) Qe3䇾 715 cS ！？dxcS \(16 \mathrm{dS!}\) Qh6 \｛16 ．．．cxdS 17 Q）xdS 旡d6 18 QxbS leaves White well on top） 17 Qxh6 \(Q \times \mathrm{xh} 618 \mathrm{dxc} 6\) bxc6 19 Ïd2 乌f7 20 Qa4 Z d 821 Eld \(\pm\) Garcia Palermo －Zapata，Camaguey 1987） 12 exf5 gxf5（ \(12 \ldots\) exd 4 ？is tempting，but very bad after 13 OgS！Qf6？\｛13．．．蒋c7 was forced but 14 O）xd4 Qxd4 15 Qxg 4 ⿹勹巳 16 Qe2 ©ff 17 Qf4 QxfS 18 桨d2， Uhlmann－Knaak，East German Ch．1986，is much better for White） 14 Qxf6 Edxf6 15 Qxd4 gxf5 16 Exf5＋－Tisdall－Djurhuus， Gjovik 1991） 13 dxe5 dxeS 14乌gS Qdf6 15 \＄h1 h6（15 ．．e4 16 f3 exf3 17 gxf3 乌eS 18 Zg1 等c7 19 Eg2 道e8 20 Zag1t Adamski－Romero， Debrecen 1987） 16 Qh3 QhS 17 f3 Egf6 18 Qd3 ⿹eß 19 Ead1 桨e7 20 Qe3 ©c7 21 a3 Gh8 \(22 \mathrm{f4} 423\) Qe2 Q f6 24
 hSm Uhlmann－Knaak， Dortmund 1991.
\[
9 \text {... cS (134) }
\]
a） 9 ．．．桨e7 \(10 \mathrm{b4}\)（10 ©el Qe8 11 f3 fS 12 g4！？，Condie －Mestel，Bath Zt．1987，is

an ambitious attempt to contain the black position） 10 ．．．巳g 4110 gs f6 12 － cl cS 13 ©bS cxb4 14 a3！士 Groszpeter－Plachetka，Bel－ grade 1988.
b） 9 ．．．©g4 \(100_{g 5} \mathrm{f} 611\) dxc6（11 Od2 c5 12 畾c1 f5 13 ©gS \(Q\) df6 14 exfS gxfS 15 h3 乌h6 16 Qe6 Qxe6 17 Qxh6 Od7 18 Qxg7 宙xg7 19 f4 妴e7 20 쌍 d 2 由h8 21 gae1 Eg8－Carlhammar－Vogt， Saltsjobaden Open 1988. Black is well advanced on the kingside） 11 ．．．bxc6 12 Oc17！（ 12 Uxd6！wins a pawn，e．g． 12 ．．．fxg5 13 ＊e6＋Wh8 14 \％xg4，and now，in Adamski－Jadoul， Thessaloniki Ol．1988，Black continued 14 ．．．Qf6 and White promptly got his queen trapped by 15 校 6 ？？ Qb8！． 14 ．．．Qf6 threatens ．．． hS and places White in a slightly awkward situation， but iS \(Q\) el should solve the problems．This implies that Black should meet 11 dxct with 11 ．．．©cS when White
is a little better after 12 c 7 ） 12 ．．．光e7 13 b 4 Qb7 14 ． d d Eh6 15 乌b3 \＃ad8 16 Qe3 fS 17 f 3 Qf6 18 曻c1t Bonin－ Popovych，New York Open 1990.

\section*{10 ） 1}

Two alternatives here：
a） \(10 \triangleq \mathrm{~d} 24 \mathrm{e} 811\) a3 fS 12 f3 Qf6 13 b4 0 gS 14 Qf2 b6 15

 Qh4 22 Og \(3 \infty\) Mohr－Kha－ lifman，Bled 1991.
b） 10 g 3 signals White＇s intention to renounce immediate queenside play in favour of central and kingside expansion．Barbe－ ro－Alber，Frankfurt 1990 was equal after 10 ．．．由th8 11
 ©hS \(14 . \mathrm{g}^{2} 2 \mathrm{Qh} 315\) Zf2 He7 16 did Qg 0 Qf6 19 Qxf6 + 气）gxf6 \(=\)

10 ．．．气e8（135）


11 g 4 ！？
An ambitious plan from Gelfand．When Black now plays ．．．fS，both kings will
be weakened．More modest alternatives are：
a） 11 a3 fS！？（The prepa－ ratory 11 ．．．有e7 would inhi－ bit White＇s coming ma－ noeuvre，albeit at the cost of some time） 12 exfS gxfS 13 f4 exf4 14 Oxf4 \＆eS 15㤡d2 乌f6 16 \＆f3 Eg6 17 Qg5？！（Better was 17 Qh6， when the tactical line 17 ．．． Qe4 18 Qxe4 fxe4 19 Oxg7 exf3 20 Qxf8 fxe2 21 嵛xe2 Qxf8 22 पae1 08623 娄e3 favours White） 17 ．．．断6 18 Qd3 4 g 419 Дae1 0 d 720 h3 Q4eS 21 QxeS dxeS 22 Qe3 e47 Naumkin－Gel－ fand，Vilnius 1988.
b） \(11 乌 \mathrm{~d} 3\) ：
bl） 11 ．．．Qb6！？misplaces the black knight，but also forces the white queen to an inferior outpost，e．g． 12垍b3 fS 13 f4 exf4 14 §xf4祭e7 15 a4 4 c 716 aS Qd7 17乌e6 乌xe6 18 dxe6 所xe6 19 EdSm Olafsson－Lautier， Novi Sad Ol． 1990.
b2） 11 ．．．皆e7 12 㟶d2 fS 13 exf5 gxf5 14 f4 e4 \(15 \& f 2\) Qef6 16 马abl Ze 817 Qb5 Q b 818 b 4 b 619 bxcS bxcS 20 \＃b3 Da6 21 Efblt Groszpeter－Ginting，Novi Sad O1． 1990.
b3） 11 ．．．曹h8 12 f4 exf4 13 Oxf4 紫e7 14 桨d2 a6 is OgS f6 16 Qf4 QeS 17 Qxe5 fxe5 18 Qg5 Exf1＋ 19 Exf1 Qf6 20 Qh6 Qd7 21 h 3 Qg7 \(=\) Clara－ Gruenberg，Bundesliga 1991.

11寝h 4 ？
It is tempting to place the queen in on the vulner－ able dark squares，but this sortie turns out to be un－ sustainable．Playable alter－ natives were 11 ．．．fS！？or the restrained \(11 \ldots\) 曾h8．

\section*{12 bh1！}

If White cuts off the queen＇s retreat with 12 gS then 12 ．．．乌b6 13 乌g2 嫁h3 generates counterplay．
12 \＃̈g1 苞h8

An admission of the error of his llth，but White now really was threatening gS，when the black queen would be highly vulnerable．

14 （13（136）


If \(14 \ldots \mathrm{fS}\) ，then 15 gS ！ makes it very difficult for Black to get going on the kingside．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
15 & \(b 4\) & \(b 6\) \\
16 & \(4 d 3\) & \(4 g^{8}\) \\
17 & \(a 4\) & \(f 5\) \\
18 & \(g 57!\) &
\end{tabular}

For tactical reasons，this
move is now misguided． White should content him－ self with 18 aS 2 bb 19 axb6 axb6 20 f 3 with a sizeable advantage．

18 ．．． ．．业77？
Black returns the favour． He should make use of his regrouping of the \(\mathrm{g} 8-\mathrm{knight}\) with 18 ．．．h6！，e．g． 19 aS Eb8 20 axb6 axb6 and now if White tries to keep the kingside closed with 21 h4？！ he runs into 21 ．．．f4 22 Od2 hxg5 23 hxg 5 Qf6！．There－ fore，he has to reconcile himself to the anti－posi－ tional 21 gxh6 Qxh6 22 Qxh6 Qxh6 23 f 3 ，when he is still sightly better，but the opening of the kingside means that Black is very much in the game．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
19 & aS & gbb \\
20 & f 3 & \(\mathrm{h6}\) \\
21 & axb6 & axb6（137）
\end{tabular}


22 h4！
Now the tactics on the kingside favour White，and he can keep the g －file firm－ ly locked shut．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
22 & ̈f & f4 \\
23 & Of2 & hxgs \\
24 & hxgs & Qf6 \\
25 & Eg2！ &
\end{tabular}

This is the crucial dif－ ference－the g－pawn is not under attack，so White has time for this regrouping move．


White is now in control of the entire board and has a winning position．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{28.897}} \\
\hline & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Gelfand now finishes off with a powerful stroke which energises his entire position．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 30 & bxcS & bxcs \\
\hline 31 & Qxcs！ & dxcS \\
\hline 32 & 4） xc 5 & Ec8 \\
\hline 33 & Sxd7 & ＊ y xd7 \\
\hline 34 & Qh3 & 皆c7 \\
\hline 35 & Qe6＋ & 也g7 \\
\hline 36 & Qxc8 & Exc8（139） \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

White now finds a crisp manoeuvre to end the game．


37 岳g1！1－0
A beautiful geometric move of the type much fav－ oured by problemists． White threatens both 38 Ia7 and 38 Eh7＋1．Black＇s only defence is \(37 \ldots\) 最 7 ， but this goes down after 38然g 4 Qd6 39 Qxc8 \＆xc8 40 c5！皆xc5 41 断4 每f8 42保h8＋and 43 学xeS＋．

\section*{8）Classical 7 ．．．§a6 and others}

The last two years have witnessed a tremendous surge of interest in thls move．The idea is to play in simllar style to the 7 ．．． Qbd7 variations and there are clearly possibilties for transposition after a se－ quence with ．．．exd4 and ．．． Qc5．The main advantage of placing the knight on a6 as opposed to \(d 7\) is that the queen＇s bishop is not blocked In．This means that Black does not have to rush into capturing on d 4 in order to free the queenside， but can wait to see where the white pleces go．

The classical players of the 1920 s，such as Tarrasch， would probably pronounce Black＇s game to be lost after 7 ．．．乌a6，but the move has been played at the highest levels and is clearly a viable interpreta－ tion of the King＇s indian．

Game 16
Karpov－Kasparov World Championship（7） New York 1990


7
Qabl？
a） \(7 \ldots Q_{8} 4\) is a rarity． Black has an understand－ able desire to simplify the position，but this exchan－ ges off his good bishop． White should have little difficulty maintaining an edge，e．g． 8 dS cS 9 \＃b1 Qxf3 10 Qxf3 \＆bd7 11 QgS
 h4 hS 15 g3 कh7 16 乌d Qh6 \(^{2}\) 17 \＆e3士 Krasenkov－ Yanvarjov，Moscow 1991.
b） 7 ．．． 8 dxeS dxeS

9 Qd5 妍d8 10 QgS Qbd7 11 Qxe5！？（This appears pro－ mising，but Black is event－ ually able to reach equali－ ty） \(11 \ldots\) ．．．xeS 12 f4 仑ed7 13 eS h6 14 exf6 \(仓 \times f 615\) Qxf6＋ Qxf6 16 先xd8 Qxd8 17 Qxh6 Ee8 18 Qd3 Qf6 19 Bae1 QfS 20 QxfS gxfS 21 b 3 Qd4＋ 22 Wh1 f6 \(23 \mathrm{~g}^{4} \mathrm{fxg} 424 \mathrm{fS}=\) van der Sterren－Damlja－ novic，Wijk aan Zee II 1990.
c） 7 ．．．当e8 8 dxeS（8 dS Qh5 9 g 3 fS 10 exfS \(Q x f 511\) Qgs Qf6 12 Qd3 Qd7？！（12 ．．． Qabt is better，as the text Wastes time） 13 苞e2 Qa6 14 Qe3 乌g4 15 乌ge4 \(\pm\) Flear－ Kupreichik，Torcy 1989） 8 ．．． dxeS 9 De3 b6（ \(9 \ldots\) ．．．©a6 10 c5 \(\{10\) \＆）d2 c6 11 a3 hS 12 f3 \(h 413 \mathrm{cS}\) QhS 14 ＠ct ©f4co D Gurevich－Mark Tseitlin， Moscow 1989） 10 ．．．b6 11 Qd2 4 xcS 12 Qxc5 bxc5 13
 \＃gS 16 DaxcS \＆f6 17 gadi士 （White holds all the trumps） 18 岁d2 数h 49 g 3 ＊h3 20 f3 Qe6 21 乌aS 包h7 22 \＃fel Oh6 23 桨c3 1－0 Shi－ rov－Yunieyv，Daugavpils 1989．The queen will be trapped with Qf1） 10 QdS ©a6 \(11 乌 \mathrm{~d} 2\) \＆ d 7 （Keeping the tension． 11 ．．．c6 12 © xf6 \(0 \times \mathrm{xf} 613 \mathrm{c} 5!\pm\) was the alternative） 12 桨a4 Ob7 13岁a3 f5！？（Loosening，but it gains Black counterplay） 14 c5 OxdS is \＃wa6 f4 16 exdS fxe3 17 fxe3 \＆xc5 18 妍c4

Qh6 19 学c3 aS 20 Qc4 由h8 21 Exf8 \({ }^{+}\)Qxf8－Dreev－ Gelfand，Arnhem 1988／89．
d） \(7 \ldots\) c6 8 d5（8 峟c2！？ looks risky as it weakens d4．However，in Suba－ Watson，London（Watson， Farley \＆Williams）1989，it encouraged Black to get carried away： 8 ．．．exd4 9分xd4 Eig 10 Og5 斯 11 Qh4 Qxe4？（Opening the position for the better de－ veloped player 12 Exe4敞 13 Qf3 首xd4 14 Bad1皆xb2 15 妍xb2 \(0 \times b 216\) Q \(x d 6\) Zf8 17 Qe7 Qe6 18 Qxf8安xf8 19 乌xb7 Oxc4 20 － \(\mathrm{d} 8+\)
 8 ．．．乌a6 9 Eb1 QcS 10 Og5 as 11 Qd 2 h6 12 Qe3 当e7 13 a3 cxdS 14 cxdS Qd7 15 Qxc5 dxc5 16 a4 \＆e8 17 ObS \＆d6
 20 \＆c \(4 \pm\) Ostenstad－Man－ ninen，Gausdal 1991.
e） \(7 \ldots\) exd4 \(8 \triangleq x d 4\) Ele8 9 f3（141）has been much tested recently．Black now has two main ideas－either to break in the centre with ．．．dS，or to play on the dark squares．Practice has seen：
e1） \(9 \ldots\) h hS and now：
e11） 10 g 4 ！？乌f6（10 ．．． c5！？ 11 Qc2 QeS！？ 12 He1 fIf 12 gxhS Black has at least a draw with \(12 \ldots Q \times h 2+\} 12 \ldots\) Qf4 13 Dd5 g5 14 \＆xf4
 17 Od2 桨f6 18 Qc3 全c6 19 OxeS dxeS \(20 \mathrm{~b} 4 \infty /-\) Gia－

comazzi－Chevallier，Paris 1991） 11 Qe3 Qc6（11 ．．．h5？ 12 g 5 Qh7 13 ff a6 14 Qf3 Qh3 15 ［f2 \(\pm\) Legky－Marti－ novic，Fourmies 1991．White has a powerful kingside grip） 12 等d2 Qd7 13 Qc2 Qde5 14 QdS f6 15 Elad1 \＆f7 16 Th1 ©ce5 17 Eg1 Qgs 18 Qel Qef7 19 柆cl b6t A Maric－Chiburdanidze，Novi Sad Women＇s Ol． 1990.
e12） 10 f 4 cS 11 \＆c2 Qf6 12 Qf3 \＆fc6 13 Eel a6 14 ge3 （Smirin recommends in－ stead 14 鹵b1！，planning b3 and Qb2） \(14 \ldots\) Qe6 15 Sd5 Qas！and the attack on c4 is awkward to meet，Pira－ Smirin，Paris 1991.
e2） 9 ．．．c6 10 （bh1（10 Q̂c2 \(\mathrm{d} 5!?\) \｛The text is an ambi－ tious gambit．More solid is \(10 . .\). Qab 11 由ht ©）c7 12 Qf4 dS 13 exdS cxdS 14 cS Q）e6 15 Qd600 Dzevlan－Cvitan， Yugoslav Ch．1991） 11 cxdS cxdS 12 exdS QfS 13 乌e3 Qd7 14 कh1 气a6 15 Dd2 （Black obtains reasonable counterplay after iS Qxa6
bxa6 16 迸d3 乌）h5 17 g 3 gbs
首cZ 宸c7 21 Igg1 fSw Dzev－ Ian－Mukic，Yugoslav Ch． 1991\} 15 ．．．宸b6 16 \＆）c4 学c5 \(17 \mathrm{QgS}_{\mathrm{g}}\) bS 18 Qxf6 Qxf6 19 Qe4 新d4 20 Gcd6 日leb8 21 a4 \(\pm\) Ribli－Gheorghiu，Ba－ den Baden 1981） 10 ．．．Qbd7 （10 ．．．乌ab 11 Q）c2 \＆） 712 Qe3 dS 13 exdS cxdS 14 cS QhS 15 岗d2 d4！ 16 Qxd4 Qf5 17 Qe4 亿e6 18 Rad1 气xd4 19 Qxd4 tyh4 20 紧elo LB Hansen－Eplshin，Warsaw 1990； 10 ．．．d5？！ 11 cxd5 cxd5 12 Og5 dxe4 13 fxe4 h6（Not even Boris Spassky＇s inge－ nuity could cope with the white Initiative here，e．g． 13 ．．．Qbd7 14 QdbS GeS 15 Qf4 Qxe4 16 QxeS QxeS 17 Qxe4寝h4 is h3土 Tal－Spassky， Montreal 1979） 14 Qh4 g5 15 Og3 Enc6 15 QdbS Qxe4 17 Exe4 登xe4 18 VIVd8＋ Qxd8 19 Qf3 日la4 20 Qc7 \＃b8 21 Ead1 Og4 22 Oxg4 Exg4 23 QdS＋－Plachetka－ Ac，Capelle la Grande 1991） 11 Og5（11 \＆c2 \＆b6 12 Qg5 h6 13 Oh4 gS 14 Og 3 dS 15 cxdS cxdS 16 QbS Qh5 17 Oc7 苟d7 18 Qtod4 乌c47 Vuruna－Reyes，Vrnjacka Banja 1989； 11 of \(47!\) QhS！ 12 Qe3［12 Oxd6 \＃ff6 13 \＆）c2 （2）f4m \(12 \ldots\) fS 13 世－d2 f4 14 Qf2 Qe5 15 Efel Qf8 16 glad酋f6 17 Qb3 Qe6 18 cS Qg3＋ 19 G1 分xe2＋20 慜xe2 Qxb3 21 axb3 dxcS＝Lautier－Pi－
ket，Adelaide 1988）and now（142）：

e21） 11 ．．．h6 12 Qf4 乌hS？ （Black gets very little for this pawn sacrifice） 13 Qxd6幽f6 14 Øb3 Qf4 15 cs b6 16 gel ©es 17 Qf1 bxcS 18

 tenstad－Remlinger，Gaus－ dal 1991.
e22） 11 ．．．a5 12 奖d2 a4 13
 Qe3 与eS 16 b4 axb3 17 axb3 fS 18 Qf1 fxe4 19 b4 幽c7 20 Qxe4m Belov－Kuzmin， Leningrad 1991.
e23） \(11 \ldots\) ．．． y b6 12 §b3 a5
 （Better is 14 Qd2 which also sets a nasty trap，viz． 14 ．．．b5？IS a3！斯xa4 16 b3＋－） \(14 \ldots\) ．．． CcS 15 ©xcS dxcS 16 乌b3 a4 17 乌c1 岸xb2
 Blees－Brendel，Krumbach－ er Open 1991） 13 ．．．a4 14 ge3兽d8？！（Black must be look－ ing for ideas to stay active in such positions and here there is one： 14 ．．．© ©c5！
when 15 שixd6 runs into 15
 The text sacrifices precious time） 15 乌d4 气bb 16 gad1 dS 17 exdS cxd5 18 ⿹dbS Qe6 19 Qf4 4 Ftacnik－Paun－ ovic，Belgrade Open 1987.
e24） 11 ．．．曻aS 12 Qe3 （This looks odd，but the black queen is misplaced on aS） 12 ．．．\＆eS 13 酱d2 a6 （ 13 ．．．岁c7 led to a fine mess in van der Sterren－Piket， Wijk aan Zee 1988，i．e． 14 Ead1 a6 15 ohb bS 16 Qxg7
 axbs 19 Qxbs！？cxbs 20
 22 仑cxe4 气xe4 23 仑xe4
 though White is ahead on material，Black＇s minor pieces generated tremen－ dous activity and he went on to win） 14 gadi（Better is 14 Qb3 婪c7 15 日ac1 Qe6 16 Q dS QxdS 17 cxdS cS士 ECO） 14 ．．．Qe6？！（Black wants to get active with ．．． bS，but this is the wrong way．Correct is \(14 \ldots\) ．．．यc7 15乌b3 Qe6 \(16 \mathrm{cS} \mathrm{d} 5-) 15\) 亿xe6 \＃xe6 16 a3 bS 17 cS dxcS 18 Qxc5士 Lautier－Schlosser， Adelaide 1988.

7 ．．． 8 a6 was Kasparov＇s surprise weapon for his 1990 world title match， though there had been the occasional obscure refer－ ence beforehand．

8 Qe3（143）


This position often arises via the move order 7 De3 \＆ 2 ab 8 0 －0．The move ．．． Qa6 is currently all the rage in the King＇s Indian and so there is a wealth of recent material here：
a） 8 \＃b1 exd4 9 Qxd4 10 f3 c6 11 b4 \(Q \times \mathrm{xb} 4\)（More incisive than \(11 \ldots\) \＆hS 12 Qe3 \＆c7 13 装d2 f5 14 exf5 gxfS 15 Qd3 幽f6 16 乌de2 f4 17 Qd4 岩h6 18 Qxg7 宸xg7 19 Ee4士 Guseinov－Glek， USSR Team Ch．1991） 12登xb4 cS 13 苗b3 cxd4 14 气bS Qd7 15 Qb2 QxbS \(16 ~ E x b S\) Qd7 17 Qxd4 \＆cS 18 Eb2 QeSf Simonenko－Sokolin， USSR Tearn Ch． 1991.
b） 8 Iel and now：
b1） \(8 \ldots\) exd4 9 亿xd4 Дีe8 10 f3 Qh5 11 g 4 ？Qe5！（This is a very important tactic， the point of which is that 12 gxh5？loses to 12 ．．．并h4 \(13 \mathrm{f4}\) Qxd4＋，when the rook on e1 hangs） 12 Qf1 然h4 13 Ee2乌f4 14 区f2 ©c5 \(150 x f 4\) Qxf4 16 乌dS Qh6 17 Zg2㒸d8 \(\infty\) Blees－Bosboom，

Dutch Ch． 1990.
b2） 8 ．．．曷e8 9 of1（9 dxeS dxe5 10 b 3 \＆d7 11 Qa3 2 dc 5
乡ggs Qxe2 15 桨xe2 h6 16 乌f3 ［e8 17 g3 c6 18 单e3土 LB Hansen－Douven，Lugano Open 1989） \(9 \ldots .0 \mathrm{D} 410 \mathrm{dS}\) （10 Qe3 Oxf3 11 学xf3 \(\mathrm{Dg}^{4!}\) ？ 12 dS \＆xe3 13 光xe3co Neve－ rov－Asanov，USSR Team Ch．1991．Superficially，this position appears attractive for Black，but the knight on a6 is badly offside） 10 \(\ldots\) ．．．bb4 11 祭b3 aS 12 Qd2 £d7 13 乞a4 §a6 14 妴c2 乌f6 15 Qb1 Qd7 16 Qbc3 QhS 17 Qd2 f5－Eingorn－Asanov， Beijing Open 1991.
b3） 8 ．．．c6（144）．Black creates the possibility of the central break with ．．． exd4 and ．．．dS，and reaches what is currently a highly fashionable position．Prac－ tice has seen：

b31） 9 h3 Ee8 10 dS 乌hS 11 Og5 㠰d7 12 桨d2 c5 13 Qh2士 C Hansen－ 1 Sokolov，Wijk aan Zee 1991.
b32） 9 马bl exd4（9 ．．．峟e7 10 Of1 \(\mathrm{Qg}^{4} \mathrm{t1} \mathrm{d5} \mathrm{c5} 12\) a3 h6 13 h 3 Qd7 14 g 3 苗h7 15 乌h4
 18 b4土 Pinter－Dufrenoy， French League 1991； 9 ．．． \(\hat{\mathrm{g} 4} 4\) is an interesting att－ empt by Black to free his position with exchanges， but after 10 h3 exd4 11
 Qf4 滋xd1 14 Eexd1 Qe5 15 Qh6 Дe8 16 乌a4 cs 17 De3 the exchanges had favoured White in Huseinov－Nikitin， USSR Team Ch．1991） 10 \(0 \times \mathrm{xd} 4 \mathrm{ge} \quad 11\) Qf3（11 f3 （Rather passive） 11 ．．．©c7 12 ©f1 dS 13 cxdS \(\Delta \mathrm{fxdSt} 14\)
 Exd1 乌bS 17 \＆f4 a \(6=\) Pinter －Szekely，Hungarian Lea－ gue 1991） 11 ．．．h6！？（This plan looks good．Black is intending to play on the kingside and central dark squares in classical King＇s Indian fashion．White＇s re－ sponse is slightly feeble and gives Black what he wants．More testing is 12 Qf3） 12 h 3 ？！\(\sum \mathrm{h} 7\) ！ 13 s c 2所h4！？ 14 首xd6 Qe5 15 苞d1
 18 Ied1 §）xb2 19 Ixd8＋
 －Khalifman，Wijk aan Zee 1991．Another way for Black to excecute his plan was 13 ．．． \(\mathrm{Vg}_{\mathrm{g}}\) ．
b33） 9 Qf1 Qg \(4(9 \ldots\) exd4

（With the black knight on d7 instead of a6，this is a well－tested position\} 12 hxg4 学xd4 13 灵e2 然e5 14
 QeS 17 QgS 峟c7 18 b4 包 19 Qe3 f5 \(20 \mathrm{gxf5} \mathrm{gxf5} 21\) f4 Qf 600 W Schmidt－PCram－ ling，Novi Sad Ol．1990） 10 dS \(\{10\) Qe3 \(仓 \mathrm{~d} 7711 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{cs} 12\) h3 Qxf3 13 尚xf3 f5 14 a3 4） 7 is is di \＆e8 16 exfS gxfS 17 g 4 ？（This crude att－ empt to gain control of the e4－square might have wor－ ked against a weak oppo－ nent，but here Black imme－ diately exploits the dark side of this advance－the weakening of the kingslde） 17 ．．．e4！ 18 gxfS \(Q \times c 319\) bxc3 §eS \(20 \mathrm{Qg}^{2}\) 药 h 421 Qd2 \＃xfS 22 日xe 4 娄xf2 23 ©h1 \(\ f 6\) 0－1 Karolyi－Timo－ shenko，London（Lloyds Bank）1991） 10 ．．．§b4（Using a tactic（11 a3？Qxf3）to gain space on the queenside． 10 ．．．CS is a solid alternative， after which White could make no headway in Shirov －Epishin，Tbilisi 1989，i．e． \(11 Q_{g 5}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~d} 712\) Qe2 Qxf3 13 \(Q \times f 3\) कh8 14 a3 Qg \(_{8} 815 \frac{Q_{g} 4}{4}\) f5 16 exfS gxfS 17 Qh3 揫7 \(18 \mathrm{f4}\) 乌e7 19 fxe5 Oxe5 20
 Qg7 23 Baf1 ©c7 24 Qh4 Oh6 25 䍙c2 f4 26 粦b3 \(\left.\frac{1}{2}-1 / 2\right)\) 11 Qe2 a5 \(12 Q_{g S}(12 \mathrm{~h} 3\) Q 7 13 dxc6 Qxc6 14 Qf1 Qa6 15

 \＆xg \(320 \mathrm{fxg}^{3}\) oxd5 21 cxd 5 f5－Aseev－Glek，Krum－ bacher Open 1991； 12 Qe3 cS 13 g 3 乌e8 14 a3 乌a6 15 光 d 2 Eb8 16 Qh4 \｛Black has placed a large clamp on the queenside so White swit－ ches his attention to the opposite sector\} 16 ．．．Qd7 17 乌g2 乌ac7 18 a 4 f5 19 f4 b6 20 fxeS dxeS 21 exf5 gxfS 22 OgS Qf6m Bareer－Glek， Moscow 1989） 12 ．．．h6 13 Qe3 Qh5 14 a3 Qa6 is g3 Qf6 16 烸d2 hS 17 Iad1 cxdS 18 cxd5 Qd7 19 Qh6 QcS 20 Qxg7 क्فxg7 21 气g5 畾e7 22 Qc4 h47 Eingorn－Christ－ iansen，Reykjavik 1990.

Alternatives are：
a） 8 ．．．宸e8 with：
a1） 9 dxeS dxeS 10 Qd2 Qd7（10 ．．．b6 11 a3 Qas 12 b4 乌e6 13 乌b3 gb7 14 当c2 Z̈d8 is Ead CDd \(4!-\) Browne －Tal，San Francisco 1991） 11 a3（11 乌b5 篤7 12 a3 b6 13 b4 c6 14 Q c 3 乌c7 15 Qb3 Qb7 16 苗c2 Eac 817 Ifd1 Qe6 18 Og4 Ef7 19 Qxe6湈xe6 20 cSt Winants－ Bosboom，Wijk aan Zee 1991） 11 ．．．fS 12 f3 f4 13 Of 2 乌acS 14 b 4 或 615 cS gS （Black adopts a more direct stra－ tegy than in the previous two examples） 16 Ea2 \＃f6 17 Qc4 乌df8 18 乌dS zf7 19 b5 कh8 20 Qb3 c6 21 Qc3骂f6 22 bxc6 bxc6 23 日ुd2m

Piket－Kozul，Wijk aan Zee 1991.
a2） 9 h 3 （145）and now：

a21） 9 ．．．exd4 10 Qxd4 Q）\(x\) e4？（One of the points of \(9 \mathrm{h3}\) is that this tactic fails miserably，as Anand discovers to his cost） 11 Qxg7 曹xg7 12 宸d4＋\＆ 56乌dS 妍d8 14 QgS 登e8 15
气hxf6 岩h8 18 乌e4＋1－0 Miles－Anand，Rome Open 1990．Anand used to pro－ duce games like this on a fairly regular basis．Now that he has elimated such disasters his rating has ad－ vanced from being around 2530 to nearly 2700 ！
a22） 9 ．．．\＆d7 10 登el f5 11 exfS gxfS 12 dxe5 dxe5 13 cS c6 14 Qxa6 bxa6 15 Qf4 整e7
胃e2 of6 19 oh2 e4－Fish－ bein－Tseitlin，Beer－Sheva 1991.
a23） 9 ．．．c6 10 苗el h6 11 Zb1 曾e7 12 c5！仓d7 13 cxd6首xd6 14 Qxa6（It is unusual for this capture to benefit

\section*{134 Classical 7 ．．．© 0 at and others}

White as the open b－file and two bishops compen－ sate Black for the smashed pawns．Here，however， White has seen a way to make it work） 14 ．．．bxa6 15 dxe5 刿xd1 16 Hexdi 2 E8 17 Ebc1 gS 18 \＃d6 乡xeS 19 Qxe5 QxeS 20 \＃xc6 \(\pm\) Bareev －Mohr，Bled 1991.
b） 8 ．．．c6 9 dxeS（ 9 a3 looks suspiciously slow and in Wells－Timoshenko， Hastings Challengers 1990， Black swiftly obtained a comfortable position： 9 ．．． exd4 10 Oxd4 ScS 12 eS Qg4 13 exd6 Qxd4 14 Qxd4 奖xd6 15 Qf3 Qf5 16

 Efd1 Ee8 12 h 3 Qf8 13 乌d2 b6 14 a3 \＆as 15 b4 Ee6 16 Qb3 Qa6 17 f3 气． \(\mathrm{A} S 18\) Qf2 Hed8－Karpov－Kasparov， World Ch．（S） 1990.
\(9 \quad 0 \mathrm{gS}\)（146）


9 ．．．峟e8 leaves Black in danger of drifting into a passive position，e．g． 10
dxeS dxeS 11 h3（This is the most frequently used，but 11 \＆d2 may create more serious problems for Black， e．g． 11 Q d 2 h 6 （11 ．．．Q \(\mathrm{Q} f 6 \quad 12\)

 Zitberman－Har－Zvi，Tet－ Aviv 1991） 12 Qh4 \＆f6 13 AdS gS fThis is unpleasant－ ly weakening，but the al－ ternative \(13 \ldots\) ．．．\({ }^{4} d s\) i4 f4 exf4 iS es QxdS 16 QxdB 4）e3 17 学c1 0xf1 18 Qf6 Wells－Brunner，Graz 1991， leaves Black struggling） 14 Og3 c6 15 §xf6＋Qxf6 16
 Ed8 19 \＆f1 Qxg 420 奖xg4士 LB Hansen－Schandorff， Kerteminde 1991） 11 ．．．h6 12 Qd2 \(\frac{2}{}\) f6 13 Qe3 and now：
a） \(13 \ldots\) ．．． d 714 a 3 QacS （ \(14 \ldots\) ．．．c6 15 b4 fS 16 cS f4 17 Qc1 gS 18 仓d2 ⿹c7 19 Qc4 Ef6 20 Qb2t van Wely－ Piket，Amsterdam 1990） 15 b4 Ee6 \(16 \mathrm{cS} \mathrm{c6} 17\) Qc4 He7
 Polgar－Kindermann，Mu－ nich 1991.
b） \(13 \ldots\) QhS 14 cS Qf4 15 Qxa6 bxa6 16 亿）dS 仑xxd5 17

 21 乌d2 gf7 22 Qb3 奖xe4 23 Qxh6 岁c4 24 峟xc4 Qxc4 25 Qxg7 \({ }^{6} \mathrm{xg} 7=\) Miles－Timo－ shenko，Moscow GMA 1989） 18 b3（18 炭d2？！is much weaker，e．g． \(18 \ldots\) Qb7 19烍c4 皆b5 20 前xbS axbS 21

Qd2 fS 22 c6 Qxc6 23 Efcc䒑f6 24．Qxa7 \＃̈d8 25 日c2 Eff6干 Timoshenko－Gall－ agher，Hastings Challen－ gers 1990） 18 ．．．Wh7 19 皆c3范bS 20 b3 Qb7 21 \＆xeS Qxe4（21 ．．．类e8 22 Qd4 8 d 8 \(23 \mathrm{f4}\) Qxe4－） 22 Qd4 \(\overline{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{bd8}\) 23 Zfe1 fS 24 gad1［fe8 25 a4 咄b8 26 c6 Exd4 27 Exd4 QxeS 28 日d7＋कh8 29 落c4 ［f8 30 岩cS 1－0 Novikov－ Glek，Odessa 1989.

10 Qc1（147）


Kasparov is planning ac－ tive play，but the position does not really justify it． The following are prefer－ able，when White＇s advan－ tage should be kept to a minimum：
a） \(10 \ldots\) Qh6 11 \＃b1（11 a3遂e8 12 b4 c6 13 h 3 乌f7 14 dS cS 15 Ebl fS 16 Aelt Sokolin －Asanov，USSR Team Ch． 1991） \(11 \ldots\) ．．． 2 f 12 dxeS dxeS 13 b4 c6 14 bS \＆c7 15 Qa3登e8 16 bxc6 bxc6 17 宸a4 Qd7 18 Zafd1t Benjamin－ Kindermann，Novi Sad Ol．
1990.
b） \(10 \ldots\) c6 11 h 3 Qh6 12 Qe3 乌f7 13 dxeS dxeS 14 cS Ec7（14 ．．．Qe6 15 光a4 眢c8
 18 Дd1 \＆f7 19 Qd2 \(\pm\) Boensch －Fecht，Bundesliga 1991） 15 Qc4 Qe6 16 奖e2 酱e7 17 b4 Qh6 18 Qxh6 §xh6 19 乌d2 4）\(f 7=\) Khalifman－P Cram－ ling，Hamburg 1991.

11 h 3 巳h6
12 dxeS fxeS？（148）


Black should prefer 12 ．．． dxeS in this position，but Kasparov was possibly de－ terred by the memory of game 5 where，although he was in little danger，the position was without chan－ ces for him．However，with Black against Karpov it is almost impossible to avoid this kind of situation and the desire to tear Karpov apart with bare hands as it were，is quite unrealistic． After the text recapture， Black has no real prospects of attack along the f－file while his central pawn
structure becomes \(\mathrm{cu}^{-}\) riously inflexible．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 13 & Qe3 & 497 \\
\hline 14 & 这d2 & Qc5 \\
\hline 15 & QgS & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A fine move which re－ moves one of Black＇s use－ ful defensive pieces．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
15 & \(\ldots\) & Qxg5 \\
16 & Qxgs & of6 \\
17 & Qe3 & Qe6 \\
18 & Qg 4 &
\end{tabular}

A move in the same vein as his 15th．Karpov expertly perceives that his bishop is worth less than the black knight which has future perspectives on both d4 and \(f 4\) ．If now 18 ．．．\＆d4 19 QxcB，and whichever way Black chooses to recapture White will win a pawn with 20 Qxd4 exd4 21 Qbs．
\[
18 \ldots \text { hS }
\]

The first sign of impa－ tience．Perhaps \(18 \ldots\) OgS Is best，playing to eliminate White＇s dangerous queen＇s bishop．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
19 & Oxe6 & Qxe6 \\
20 & QdS & Qh4（149）
\end{tabular}


\section*{21 Zacl}

An alarming idea here is 21 Oxa7 \＃xa7 22 胢h6＋由g 8 23 柏等g6t，but this fails to 21 ．．．Oxd5 when White can do no more than force a draw．It is interesting， though，that Kasparov im－ mediately takes measures to eliminate such possibil－ Ities in the future．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
21 & \(\cdots\) & 恵h7 \\
22 & ت̈c3 & \＃f7 \\
23 & b3 & c6
\end{tabular}

We do not like this move at all since it gratuitously weakens Black＇s pawn for－ mation in the centre．Black should simply tolerate the presence of the knight on dS and seek to develop his remaining pieces．

\section*{24 0b4 気d7}

This also looks suspect since Black weakens his presence on the f－file． Surely 24 ．．．Qe7 is superior． 25 Mec1
A typically Karpovian move．He has no memory of previous positions，his earlier moves are like foot－ prints in the sand which vanish，and now he feels the rook is better placed on c1 in the current position．I can think of no other player who，having played Ec1－c3 four moves earlier， would patiently put the rook back on the square it had just come from．

fore has no choice．
28 ．．． H 1

Although this wins easi－ 1y， 29 Qe3！is considerably more murderous according to Kasparov．

Kasparov in The Euro－ pean gives the variation 29 Qe3 Qg5 \(30 乌 f 4\) ！通e5 31 Qd4峟xe4 32 Incel 尚f5 33 Qe3！ and White wins，since Black has no defence to a knight discovery on hS or dS，while 33 ．．．Qxf4 34 Exf4 曾eS 35 Qd4 能S 36 琞f7＋宙h6 37 Qg7＋wins Black＇s queen． Nevertheless，on showing this line to the Mephisto Computer，the metal mind came up with the defence 33 ．．．Qh4！ 34 Ie2 Qf2 Og \(5!\) which，annoyingly， seems to hold for Black． The shape of things to come？So，Karpov＇s 29th move was justified after all．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 29 & \(\ldots\) & QxdS \\
\hline 30 & cxdS & （1514（151） \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


After this Black is com－
pletely lost in a simple technical fashion，but if 30 ．．．啠bS 31 a 4 桨xb3 32 dxcb bxc6 33 eS Qg7 34 exd6 and White emerges with a completely overwhelming position．
＂I made a second blun－ der， \(30 \ldots\) ．．．\({ }^{2}\) d4．I gave up the pawn． \(30 \ldots\) ．．． H bS was necessary．I don＇t know why I didn＇t play it．A black hole．I was in a black hole． I don＇t know why．A mental block．Ünbellevable．＂（Ka－
sparov in The European）
31 dxc6 bxc6
32 स̈xc6
33 苗 4 背xd2
34 Qxd2 Qe5
35 Qe3 Qg 3
36 － f 3 h
37 Qf2 Qxf2
38 馬x2 \(\bar{Z}\) de7
39 習4
40 Ef6 Exe4

42 Exd6 Exe7
43 ［1a6 国g7
44 官1 1－0

\section*{9) Classical 7 @e3}

7 Qe3 gives rise to the variation named after the Yugoslav grandmaster Svetozar Gligoric. White avolds being lmmediately coerced into the advance dS and, by keeping the situation temporarily fluid, makes it more difficult for Black to formulate a clear-cut plan.

The drawback is that the bishop is something of a target on 33 and Black can explolt this by gaining time with ... \(\mathrm{Dg}^{4}\), simultaneously freeing the way for the f-pawn to advance. White hopes that such play will prove premature, and that a chance will arise to exploit the awkward placing of the knight on g4. If Black does not grasp the metal early with ... 0 g 4 , then White may sacrifice a tempo with h3 to eliminate the possibility altogether.

The play in this chapter is obviously similar to that in chapter 6 (Classical with 8 Qe3), and the two should be studied together. There are transpositional possib-
ilities between the two, but here White is usually looking to make use of the fact that he has not yet castled.

Game 17 investigates 7 ... exd4 and other, less popular, alternatives, while In game 18 we examine the favoured cholce \(7 \ldots \hat{\mathrm{E}} 4\).

Game 17
Karpov - Kasparov
World Champlonship (11) New York 1990
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & d4 & Qf6 \\
\hline 2 & c4 & g6 \\
\hline 3 & Ec3 & \(\mathrm{Og}_{7}\) \\
\hline 4 & e4 & d6 \\
\hline 5 & Ef3 & 0-0 \\
\hline 6 & Qe2 & eS \\
\hline 7 & Q \({ }^{1}\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


7 ．．．exd4
a） 7 ．．．c6 generated some interest following its adop－ tion by Kasparov in the last World Championship en－ counter： 8 dS（ 8 dxeS is pretty tame，e．g． 8 ．．．dxeS 9
 is rarely a favourable tactic for White and that is cer－ tainly the case here，as Black quickly hits back with 10 ．．． \(\mathrm{ge} 811 \mathrm{f} 4 \varrho \mathrm{bd} 712\)〇xd7 Өxe4！\} 9 ．．．尚 710 cs Qbd7 11 सa4 Qf8 13 0－0 §e6 14 Jad Exd1 15 Exd1 乌f4 16 f3 h5 17 Qc4 h4 18 स्रुण Qe6－Port－ isch－Ivanchuk，Reykjavik 1991； 8 前d2 Ee 89 dS 乌g4 10 Qg5 f6 11 Oh4 仑h6 1200
 \＃ab1 his 16 h 3 gd7 \(17 \mathrm{b4}\) \＃f8 18 亿d2 亿h6 19 Efel［ff－A Maric－Xie Jun，Beijing 1991. The errant white bishop provides a handy target for Black＇s kingside advance） \(8 \ldots\) ．．gg 9 QgS（9 Qd2 fS 10 Qg5？！（We don＇t understand what White is trying to do here．Whatever it is，it cer－ tainly doesn＇t work！？ 10 ．．．乌f6 11 dxct bxct 12 㒸d2 fxe4 13 Qxf6 Qxf6 14 气xe4

 Sa67 Fishbein－Dolmatov， Beer－Sheva 1991） 9 ．．．f6 10 Qh4 分a6 11 乞d2 乌h6 12 a3 Qf7 13 f3 Qh6 14 off f5 15脢c2 Qd7 16 b4 cS 17 gbl b6

18 §f1 Qf4！－Karpov－Kas－ parov，World Ch．（19） 1990. The point of Black＇s last move is to hold up 乌e3（19
 Qxe3 f4）．White can only drive this bishop away with g 3 ，weakening his kingside．
b） 7 ．．．©ct used to be popular，but is rarely seen these days．Play can conti－ nue 8 d5 乌e7 9 dd2 and now：
b1） 9 ．．．包d7 10 b4 f5 11 f3 aS 12 bxaS \＃xaS 13 亿b3 14 cS Qf6 15 cxd6 cxd6 16 a 4 Qd7 17 0－0 \＃̈c8－Magerra－ mov－Lechtynsky，Baku 1980.
b2） 9 ．．．©e8 10 f 3 fS 11 cs
 14 cxd6 cxd6 \(150-0 \pm\) Lalic －Gunawan，Sarajevo 1988.
b3） \(9 \ldots \mathrm{cS} 10 \mathrm{~g} 4\) Qd7 11 h 4㒸c8 12 Eg 1 仓e8 13 gS fS 14 gxf6 \(\mathrm{Qxff}^{2}\) is hS a6 16 a4然e8 17 hxg6 包xg 18 学b3士 but Black＇s play left a lot to be desired，Andruet－ Gouret，French League 1991.
c） 7 ．．．炎e7 was for a long time considered，due to variation cll，to be simply an inferior move，where the best Black can get is a slightly worse position with no prospects．How－ ever，Kasparov changed all that with his amazing gam－ bit against Karpov in New York．The variation may still be inferior for Black，
but the positions are razor－ sharp．
c1） 8 dxe5 dxe5 9 ©dS （153）：

c11） 9 ．．．Øxd5 10 cxd5 c6 11 d6 嘗e6 12 h 4 ！（ 12 气gs is perfectly okay，but not as incisive as the text，e．g． 12 …奖e8 13 朐d2 f6 14 乌f3 Qe6 15 0－0士 Bukic－Ivanovic， Yugoslavia 1978） 12 ．．．h6？！ （This is too slow and Black now gets mated while try－ ing to deal with the giant passed d－pawn．However， 12 ．．． 日d8 also allows White a big attack after 13 气gs！
 Edd Of8 15 Oxh6 Exd6 16犁c1 Exdi＋ 17 Qxd1 §d7 18 Qb3 酱e7 19 hS 仑c5 20 Qxf8
并b4＋ 23 由ff1 Qe6 24 hxg6并xe4 25 gxf7＋1－0 I Sokolov －Djuric，San Bernardino 1988.
c12） 9 ．．．些d8（This is Kasparov＇s new ingredient． The move had actually been played before，in the game Marin－Khait，Budapest

Open 1990，a game which was published with annota－ tions in New in Chess Year－ book 17．However，no－one except the eagle－eyed World Champion had paid any attention．Nevertheless， although highly effective as a one－game weapon，the move is objectively doubt－ ful due to the note to White＇s 13th） 10 Qcs Qxe4！ （This is the point，as \(10 \ldots\) Ee8？？loses immediately to 11 Qe7） 11 Qe7 䒼d7 12 Oxf8 \(6 \times 58\)（154）


13 当c2（The refutation，as pointed out by no less an authority than Bobby Fisch－ er in his first contribution to chess theory for many years，is 13 暞d3！乌d6 14 Na3！and Black is unable to organise a defence against the various threats such as Ed1 and cS． 13 祭d3 was also given by Azmaiparashvili in his notes in Informator， but he mysteriously ass－ esses 13 皆d3 Qd6 as only t） \(13 \ldots\) \＆cS 14 \＆月d1 \＆c6！
（Kasparov adds further fuel to the flames with a queen sacrifice．Karpov accurately judges that there is no immediate need to accept， and waits for a move） 15 \(0-01\) E． 16 乌b6 axb6 17 Exd7 Qxd7 18 尚d2 Qe8 19 b3 e4 20 仓e1 and after fur－ ther adventures，the game was eventually drawn，Kar－ pov－Kasparov，World Ch． （3） 1990.
c2） 8 dS avoids getting involved in the above com－ plications，but has little else to recommend it： 8 ．．． ©g4 9 Og5 f6 10 Qh4 Qh6 11 Q）d2 aS 12 f 3 （ 12 a 3 is rather slow，e．g． \(12 \ldots{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{d} 713 \mathrm{~b} 4 \mathrm{gS}\) \(14 \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{g}} 3 \mathrm{fS} 15\) exfS axb4 16 f 6 Qxf6 17 axb4 㫨xa1 18 宸xa1 e47 Ivanchuk－Ehlvest， USSR Ch．1988） \(12 \ldots\) Qd7 13 \(\mathrm{g}^{4}\) \＆f7 14 Qd3 \(\mathrm{DgS}_{\mathrm{g}} 15 \mathrm{~h} 3\) Qa6 16 光e2 \＆c5 17 Qc2 c6＝ Conquest－Kozul，Tbilisi 1988.
d） \(7 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6\)（155），favoured by Kng＇s Indian afficionado John Nunn，is an important alternative here．Of the following material，only ＇d3＇presents any challenge to Black．
di） 8 dxeS 5 ）g 49 of4（ 9 Qd2？！is rather feeble，e．g． 9 … DxeS \(^{10}\) Qe3 Qg 411 Qd4 Qf6 12 Qd2 cS 13 Qe3
 Ec1 a6\％Fedorowicz－Nunn， Reykjavik 1990） 9 ．．．\＆xeS 10

 Qe6 12 b 3 Qbd7 13 乌d4 乡cs 14．Qe3 Qd7 15 f4 ©c6 16 ©f3 Ee8 17 Inadi aS 18 eS Q xd4 19 Oxd4 Ele6 20 Qe3 Qc6＝ Kozlov－Timoshenko，Frun－ ze 1988） 12 癸ac1 a6 13 tifdi Qe6 14 b3 \＃b8 15 gle 3 （The－ white position is complete－ ly lacking in dynamism） 15 … Sg 416 Of4 Ege5 17 Qe3 E）\(\times\) f3＋（Black avoids the immediate repetition，but Is unable to achileve more） 18 Qxf3 Qe5 19 Qe2 gS 20 Qd5 \＆e7 21 §xe7 尚xe7 22 c5 Efds 23 桨c2 dxeS 24 QxcS 桨f6 25 Oe3 Exd1＋ 26
䉼d1 安xdi +29 Oxd1 fS＝G Horvath－Kindermann，Ber－ lin Open 1988.
d2） 8 h 3 ？！is doubtful． White is speculating that Black＇s loss of time with ．．． h6 allows this luxury，but the problem is that White will now be reluctant to play f3，as this will serious－ ly weaken the dark squares． Consequently，White is
forced into contortions to defend the e－pawn．Two examples： 8 ．．．exd4 9 \＆xd4


 16 g 3 a6 \(\bar{\mp}\) Hort－Nunn，Kre－ feld Open 1986） 12 ．．．Qc6 13 O－0 Qe6 14 QdS QxdS iS exdS \＆eS 16 Qe2 bS 17 罗adi Qxc4 18 Qxc4 bxc4 19 QaS c3 20 bxc3 岂e47 A Sokolov －Shchekachev，Jurmala 1991. d3） \(80-0\) Ø． g 49 Qc1 Efc6 （9 ．．．S）d7 is unambitious and will transpose to fam－ iliar Qe3 positions where the insertion of h3 and h6 favours White，e．g． \(10 \mathrm{h3}\) Egf6 11 De3 c6 12 岩c2 曻e7 13 gfel a6 14 a3 exd4 15 Oxd4 畏e8 16 Of1 ©eS 17 Qd2 QhS 18 Qe3 岩h4 19 Qe2 fS \(20 \mathrm{~g} 3 \pm\) Suba－Davies，Black－ pool Zt．1990） 10 dS Qe7 11 Qe1（ 11 仓d 2 is an alterna－ tive，but practice indicates that the knight is not well placed here，e．g． 11 ．．．fS 12 Qxg 4 fxg 413 b4 b6 14 Qb3 \｛14 cS bxcS 15 bxcS g5 16 a4 4）g6 17 Qa3 Ef6 18 Ect Of8 19 Q \(b S\) a6－Zaichik－Edel－ man，New York Open 1990\} 14 ．．．gS 15 a4 亿g6 16 aS Qd7 17 cS bxcS 18 bxcS a6（Not \(18 \ldots\) ．．． 44 ？，when 19 c6 Qc8 20 a6！leaves the a7－pawn doomed\} 19 \＆）d2oc Kasparov －Nunn，Reykjavik World Cup 1988） 11 ．．．fS（11 ．．．hS！？ has not been seen much
but it looks attractive． Black avoids the rigid pawn structure that he is saddled with in the main lines，e．g．
 14 f4 exf4 is \(0 \times f 4\) f6 16 Bbl h4 17 学e1 gS 18 QdZ aS！ Wells－Uhlmann，Graz 1991. White is locked out on the queenside） \(12 \ldots\) fS 13 exfS Qxf5 \(14 \mathrm{~h} 3 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 6\) is Qg 5 茵e8 16 Qf3 \＆dd 17 Qe4 QfS 18 QxfS gxfS 19 Qe3 cS－Prze－ woznik－Sznapik，Polish Ch．1990） 12 Oxg 4 fxg 4 （156） reaching the following po－ sition：


Black can no longer un－ dermine the white centre， but in compensation has a solid clamp on the kingside and chances to develop the initiative there．Practice has seen：
d31） 13 Qe3 b6！？（Clearly weakening the queenside， but also creating the poss－ ibility of ．．．Qa6；one alter－ native is \(13 \ldots\) Ef7 14 §d3 Of8 15 cS 由g7 16 f 4 gxf 317


欮3＋©f6 20 Ef1＋－but Black＇s play was incompre－ hensible，Damljanovic－To－ sic，Yugoslav Ch 1991） 14 © \(\mathrm{d} 3 \mathrm{gS} 15 \mathrm{b4}\) 乌g6 16 a 4 气f4 17 aS士 Korchnoi－Nunn， Wijk aan Zee 1990.
d32） 13 ©c2 gS 14 \＆e3 \＃f4 15 Qd2（ 15 f 3 gxf 316 Exf3 4 ff8－Geschnitzer－ Nunn，Bundesliga 1990） 15 ．．． Qd7 16 b4 乌g6（16 ．．．折8 17
 Exf2 20 £xc7 奖f 21 §fS OxfS 22 量xf2首xf2 +23 甹xf2 Qxe4＋ 24 （be2 Qxc2 25 Exc2＝Kasparov－Nunn， Skelleftea World Cup 1989）
 f3？！（This is really asking for lt ，but White must have been concerned that after ．．．曾hS a move such as ．．． Qf3 could be 1 mmediate mate） 19 ．．．gxf3 20 g 3 新hS！ （Nunn relishes positions such as this） 21 gxh4（One brilliant point of Black＇s play is revealed of White accepts the more substan－ tial offer，e．g． \(21 \mathrm{gxf4}\) ？exf4 22 §fS QxfS 23 exfS 酋g4！ 24 ［g1（157）
24 ．．．紫g2 2 ！mating） 21 ．．．
 （Despite the extra material， White is lost as he has no way to improve his position before Black sits on it） 23
 yff 26 \＆ff Qxf5 28 亿e2 fxe2 29 妙xe2


Od3 30 didt 0－1 Portisch－ Nunn，Skelleftea World Cup 1989．Games like this make playing the King＇s Indian worthwhile！
d33） 13 乌d3 c5！？ 14 Eb aS 15 a3 6 h7 16 b 4 axb4 17 axb4 b6 18 Qd2 hS \(19 \triangleq \mathrm{bS}\)＠a6 20 bxcS bxcs 21 气b2 番d7 22仓） 4 Efb8 23 乌ac3 ©c8 24 f3士 Ivanchuk－Uhlmann， Debrecen 1988.

\section*{8 乌xd4 这e8 9 f3}
 a6 12 gS §fd7 13 h 4 bS 14 hS led to an impressive win for White in Kamsky－Tal， New York Open 1990．How－ ever，Kamsky himself sugg－ ests the antidote of \(9 \ldots\) ．．． \(100-0\) 前e7 11 f 3 dS 12 cxd 5 cxdS 13 OgS 奖eS with no problems for Black．
\[
9 \quad . . \quad \text { c6 (1S8) }
\]

10 当d2
Others are not testing for Black，e．g．
a） 10 Qf2．In order to keep the balance，Black should respond actively to

this：
a1） \(10 \ldots\) Qbd72！ \(110-0\) aS
 b3 Qfd7 is 乌c2 峟c7 16 Qd4 QeS 17 f4士 Azmaiparashvili －Sorin，San Sebastian 1991.
a2） \(10 \ldots\) QhS 11 （2d2 QeS 12 g 3 a6 13 0－0 cS 14 Qc2 Qoc is 登fe1 Qf6 16 Od3 诲aS 17 Zad1 \(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{b} 818 \mathrm{f4}\) Oxc3 19 bxc3 Qg 420 登c1 Qf3 \(=\) Nick－ oloff－Kozul，Mississauga 1990.
b） 10 \＆c2 dS 11 cxdS cxd5 12 exdS QfS 13 Qf2 仑hS （Black has excellent play for a pawn．Instead，the spectacularly unambitious 13 ．．．Qxc2？！ 14 首xc2 QxdS 15 QxdS 首xdS left Black with a dreary position in Tisdall－Remlinger，Gaus－ dal 1991，and after \(160-0\) Ec6 17 Qc4 Sd4 18 QxdS母xc2 19 Gac1 \＆e3 20 Qxe3気xe3 21 日c7 Oxb2 22 Exf7安h8 23 登xb7，he eventually
 QbS 包d7 16 Qe4 Exe4！ 17 fxe4 Qxe4 18 Qg 3 Qxg 319 hxg3 Qxc2 20 自xc2 Qd4 +21
［f2 0 f6F Dannevig－Vlad－ imirov，Gausdal 1991.
\begin{tabular}{lll}
10 & \(\cdots\) & dS \\
11 & exdS & exdS \\
12 & \(0-0\) & Q \(c 6\)
\end{tabular}

12 ．．．dxc4 clears the centre，but the loss of time leaves White with a nigg－ ling initiative，and after 13 E）dbS it is difficult for Black to equalise：
a） \(13 \ldots\) 少e7 14 of 4.4 a 615 Qd6 管d8 16 Qxc4 Qe6 17 Qxe6 登хе6 18 \＃ad 岩b6＋ 19
料 422 Od4 Oh6 23 Efel Inxel＋ 24 岁xel ©b4 25 4d6 and Black never managed to escape from the bunker， Dzhandzhava－Ballesteros， San Sebastian 1991.
 Exd8 15 所ad1 Qf5 16 Qxc4 QeS（16 ．．．©d7？is consid－ erably worse，e．g． 17 QgS
 20 EcbS h6 21 Qe7＋－Parker －Bibby，British Ch．1990） 17 Ob3 Qd3 18 区fe1 Qtc6 19 Exa7！Qxa7 20 Qxa7 Exa7 21 马e3 \(\pm\) Tunik－Belov，Pod－ olsk 1989.

13 cS（159）
The white alternatives quickly burn out to equal－ ity，e．g：
a） 13 Zad1 \(Q \mathrm{xd} 414\) Qxd4 dxc4 15 Qxc4 Qe6（15 ．．．a6 16 Zfe1 QfS 17 g 4 Ixe1＋ 18沱xe1 敞c7！－Polugaevsky－ M Gurevich，Reggio Emilia 1991） 16 QbS 登f8 17 家f2 宸aS

18 并h4 乌h5 19 Qxg7 Qxg7 20 息a4 \(1 / 2-1 / 2\) Sofrevski－ Mukic，Yugoslav Ch 1991.
b） 13 Qxc6 bxc6 14 马ad1 Qa6 15 cxd5 Qxe2 16 wxe2 ©xd5 17 QxdS cxd5 18 炮f2
 tisch－Bouaziz，Szirak Izt． 1987.


A well－known position， played many times before， where White was consi－ dered to have a small ad－ vantage，e．g． \(13 \ldots\) 乌hS 14 Qf2 QeS 15 g 3 気 716 明fe1 Ee6 17 Qdb5士 Lev－G Bur－ gess，London（Lloyds Bank） 1990．Kasparov＇s 13th move sacrifice may upset this verdict．


An amazing idea which nobody had predicted． Black speculates on the weakness of White＇s pawn on c5．I had been looking at 14 ．．．Qxd4 15 岩xd4 乌g 416首d2（16 它xdS Qd4＋） 16 ．．． Qxh2 17 日d（17 6xh2 涤h4＋ 18 贯g1 ©d4＋） 17 ．．．岁h4 18

Qxd5 Qe6 19 Qc7 QeS 20 Qxa8 亿xf3＋ 21 Qxf3 Qh2＋ 22 ©f1 Qc4＋ 23 Qe2 离f6＋ but somewhere in all this I feel there must be a refu－ tation：Kasparov＇s move is much deeper and stronger．
\[
15 \text { Qxe6 }
\]

The attempt is ⿹cbS
 failed after \(17 \ldots\) ．．．\(Q d 718\) Qb3 Qh6 19 Ec3 Ee8 20安xb6 axb6 21 由f2 d4 22 Q \(3 \times \mathrm{xd} 4\) QdS 23 सb3 QaS 24 Ha3 Qf8 25 ZH 4 Oc5 26 Mgc Ec6 27 Iac4 \＆f4 28 ExcS bxcS 29 Exxc5 Exxe2＋ 30 ©xe2 0－1 Fishbein－Schan－ dorff，Kerteminde 1991.


A tremendous way to activate the rook，which now operates on both flanks．

18 b3 Qe6（160）


A very useful developing move which in some cases could prepare ．．．d4 and ．．． Qd5．A further point of the
move is to introduce the extra threat of ．．．背b8 allied with ．．．Zh4．The New York grandmasters were all for the immediate 18 ．．． QhS．Jon Speelman，writing in the now sadly defunct Sunday Correspondent had this to say about their ex－ uberant ideas：＂The New York press room castigated Kasparov for wimpishness when he played \(18 \ldots\) Qe6， but it is far easier to play an unclear line like 18 ．．． Qh5 19 Ead1 Eh4 20 前f2 Exh2＋ 21 Gxh2 Qe5＋with someone else＇s pieces rather than one＇s own．＂
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 19 & Qb2 \\
\hline 20 & ¢ \({ }^{\text {d3 }}\) \\
\hline 21 & 朔f2 \\
\hline 22 & g 4 （161） \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


22 f4？Qd4 23 枈xd4 Qg3＋ 24 Eg \(Q x x^{2}+\) wins for Black．

It now looks as if Black must retreat or supinely capture on al，when his pieces on the king＇s wing remain stranded．Instead，
comes a superbly sparkling way to force a perpetual．
22 前 23 最 44

If 23 首g2 Oxal 24 代xal Qxg 4 （Black can also play 24 ．．．\＆g7 or 24 ．．．光f6 25 Zg1 Qf4 26 乌xf4 嶙xf4 27
 fxg 4 洝xe2 26 学xe2 今gg \(3+27\) Big2 \＆xe2 when Black has won a pawn，although the weakness of the c6－pawn combined with the presence of the advanced white pawn cancels thls out．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 23 & & ＋ \\
\hline 24 & 喪xh2 & 䐴h4＋ \\
\hline & 1／2－4 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Game 18 Speelman－Kasparov Madrld（Rapld） 1988
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & c4 & g6 \\
\hline 2 & e4 & \(\mathrm{O}_{5} 7\) \\
\hline 3 & d4 & d6 \\
\hline 4 & Qc3 & Qf6 \\
\hline 5 & 2）f3 & 0－0 \\
\hline 6 & Qe2 & eS \\
\hline 7 & Qe3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 7 & & （1） \\
\hline 8 & Qg5 & \\
\hline 9 & Qh4 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

9 Oct obviously loses time，but White hopes that the black pieces will prove to be misplaced； 9 ．．．Scb and now：
a） 10 dS 乌）e7 11 h 3 （11 0－0？！ （This is very odd．After Black＇s reply he has effect－ ively achieved a position where he has managed to meet the main line 9 仓）et with 9 ．．．fS，not having needed to waste time with
 13 f 3 c 6 ？（Surely 13 ．．．f4， and Black would be very quick on the kingside） 14 De3 कh88 15 g 4 b5 16 dxc 6 bxc4 17 h3 Qxc6 18 Qxc4 h5－Barbero－Fedorowicz， Buenos Aires 1991） 11 ．．．Qhb 12 b4 aS 13 bxaS ExaS 14 （2d2 f5 15 Qb3 Ha 816 g 4 fxe4 17 气xe4 \(Q 7718 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{c} 6 \infty\) Korchnol－Nijboer，Wijk aan Zee 1990．Korchnol has played in typically ambi－ tious style，but Black has counterplay．
b） 10 h 3 §h6 11 Qe 3 ？！ （White has an understand－ able desire to keep the ten－ sion，but the bishop proves to be very vulnerable here） \(11 \ldots\) f5 12 exf5 QxfS \(^{13}\) Qgs皆e8 14 dxe5 dxeS \(150-0 \mathrm{hb}\) 16 Qcl Qe6F Nickoloff－ Damljanovic，St．John Open 1988.

a）\({ }^{9}\) 9．．． （Black should consider a more combative response， e．g． 10 ．．．h5t？ 11 h3 Qh \(^{\text {h }} 12\) dxeS dxe5 13 cS Qe6 14 乌d5
 17 b3œ Timoshenko－Gazik， Douai 1991） 11 dxe5 dxe5 12 Qd5 Ef7 13 h 3 乌h6 14 cs
 17 b4士 van Wely－Kr Geor－ giev，Belfort Open 1989.
b） 9 ．．． \(8 \mathrm{~d} 710 \mathrm{c} 5!? \mathrm{dxc} 511\) dxe5 气gxe5 12 气xe5 气xe5
 Ed5 Exd5！（White had pro－ mising threats，but this timely exchange sacrifice enabies Black to hold the balance） 16 exdS b6 17 0－0 f5 18 Qf3 Qd6 19 Qd8 Qa6 20 Qxc7 Qxf1 21 Qxd6 Qc4 22 Qe5［d8－Mohr－Daml－ janovic，Bled 1991.
c） 9 ．．．Sc6（164）is an important alternative to the main line：
c1） 10 h 3 乌h6 11 dxe5 dxe5



兹e8 14 气d5 首f7 \(150-0\) 乌d8
 Og 3 Qd7 19 c5 of 20 仓） 3崮e7－Azmaiparashvili－ Reyes，Toledo 1991） 12 ．．．然e7 13 b4 Qe6 14 ©dS？（This is a good ldea，but the tim－ ing is completely wrong； White lacks the develop－ ment to support this ad－ vance） 14 ．．．QxdS 15 exdS e4！ 16 dxch exf3 17 gxf3 \(\triangleq f 5\) \(18 \mathrm{Og} 3 \mathrm{Mad8} 19\) 皆b3＋कh h 8 20 Edi \(\quad\) gfe8－＋Tisdall－ Hebden，London（Watson， Farley \＆Williams） 1990.
c2） 10 d 5 气e7 11 气d2：
c21） 11 ．．．f5？！leads to structures familiar from the \(7 \ldots\) h6 variation，but here Black is unable to generate serious counter－ play，e．g． 12 Oxg 4 fxg 413 0 gS h6（ \(13 \ldots\) ．．．需h8 \(140-0\) Qf6 15 Qe3 ©）g8 16 cS Qg 17 OxgS 炭xgS 18 cxd6 exd6 19
 Damljanovic－Matkovic， Yugoslav Ch．1991） 14 Qe3 b6 \(150-0 \mathrm{gS} 16 \mathrm{b4} \mathrm{Eg} 617 \mathrm{cs}\)

Qh4 18 乌c4 \({ }^{\text {af }} 4\)（Black tries to lure White into compli－ cations，but White sensibly ignores the bait） 19 Incl＠f8 \(20 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{Zbs} 21 \triangleq \mathrm{bS} \pm\) Brenn－ inkmeljer－van Wely，Wijk aan Zee 1990.
c22） 11 ．．．Qh6 12 f3（12 g4！？c6 13 f3 ©ff 14 新c2 a6 15 a4 管7 16 as fS 17 Qf2 Qh6 18 Qb6 当b8 \(19 \mathrm{Ig}^{\mathrm{En}} \mathrm{fxg} 4\) 20 fxg 4 Qf4 21 h 4 Qd7 22 b4m Ivanchuk－Yermolin－ sky，Frunze 1988） 12 ．．．g 5 （ 12 ．．．fS 13 b4？！（This is careless and allows imme－ diate equality．Correct was 13 Qf2） 13 ．．．fxe 414 fxe4 Ef4 15 Qf2 Qg \(^{4} \quad 16\) Qxg4 \(1 / 2-1 / 2\) Marin－ Kr Georglev， Stara Zagora 1990） 13 of 2 fS 14 cs 144 h 4 ！？g4 is fxg 4 Qxg4 16 Oxg4 fxg 417 Qe3

 23 b4士 Magerramov－Bolo－ gan，USSR Team Ch．1991） 14 ．．．\＆）g 615 cxd6 cxd6 16 （2）c4 仓f 417 0－0 g 418 fxg 4 Exe2＋ 19 xe2 \(8 \times \mathrm{xg} 420\) exfS §xf2 \(21 \mathrm{Zxf} 20 \times f 522\) Elaf1 Qg6 23 Qe4 Exf2 24 Iff2 \(\pm\) I Sokolov－P Cram－ ling，Haninge 1989.
 t1 h3
11 dxeS dxeS（11 ．．．fxeS？！ is nearly always a doubtful recapture： 12 h 4 g 413 Dh2
 16 §hxg 4 分xg 17 Qxg 4



20 Qxe6 岩xe6 21 QdS士 Ma－ gerramov－Shirov，Klaipeda 1988．White has an extra pawn，but untangling the kingside will not he an easy task）and now White can prohahly get a small advan－ tage，hut nothing serious， e．g．
a） 12 娄 \(\mathrm{dS}+\) th f 8 （ \(12 \ldots\) ．．． \(\mathrm{f7}\) 13 h 4 桨 714 hxg 5 fxg 515
 4c5 18 桨a3 Ele8o Granda－ Fedorowicz，Buenos Aires 1991） 13 cS c6 14 物 xd 8 Z xd 8 15 Qd2 Of8 16 Qaf Qe6 17 h3 \＆）d7 18 Ec1 年ac8 19 f 3 bS 20 cxb6士 Korchnol－Fedo－ rowicz，Lucerne 1989.
b） 12 离b3 c6 \(13 \mathrm{cS}+6 \mathrm{~h} 8\) 14 乌d2 5 d 715 宏c4 16仓）a4 風d8 17 皆c3 f5 18 f3 1／2－1／2 Georgadze－Zsu Pol－ gar，San Sehastian 1991.
c） 12 0－0 并e7 13 h 4 Qc6 14 Qd5 崩d8 15 b 4 g 416 Qh2出d7 17 cS 登d8 18 豝b3 Gh8 19 Ead1士 Azmaiparashvili－ J Polgar，San Sebastian 1991.
\[
\text { i1 } \ldots \text { Q } \quad .6
\]


An enterprising sacrifice， hut not necessarily sound． Safe is \(12 \ldots\) Se7，e．g． 13
 Qd7 16 島c1 曾e7 17 ＠el fS 18 f3Qf4 19 Df1 Qf7 20 of2 cS 21 g 3 ＠g6 22 exf5 \(0 \times f 5+23\) Ee4 Elah8 240 Od3 00 A Maric －Xie Jun，Belgrade 1991. This looks more like a po－ sition from the Saemisch； White has solld control over e4，hut her king is not happlly placed．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 13 & Qxd4 & exd4 \\
\hline 14 & ＊xd4 & f5 \\
\hline 15 & 首d2 & f4 \\
\hline 16 & Oh2 & Qf7 \\
\hline 17 & h47！ & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(170-0-0\) 乌eS 18 f 3 is per－ haps the critical test of Kasparov＇s gambit．It was certainly found wanting for Black in Arlandi－Cvitan， Reggio Emilia 1991，which continued 18 ．．．cS 19 dxc6！ （This looks dangerous，hut White is calculating that he can grab more material and take control before the
threats against b 2 become serious） 19 ．．．bxco 20 h 4 ！出aS（The problem is that 20 ．．．h6 21 hxgS hxgS leaves Black terribly vuinerable to a check on the a2－g8 diag－ onal．Now however，his po－ sition falls apart before he can generate any play） 21 hxgS Eb8 22 并xd6 Eb4 23
 Qd4＋－．
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
17 & \text {... } & \text { h6 } \\
18 & \text { hxgS } & \text { hxgS }
\end{array}
\]

White＇s next move is too belligerent．He should in－ stead play 19 f 3 in order to reintroduce his queen＇s bishop Into the game via gl． Speelman＇s choice permits Kasparov to offer a second pawn，in the interests of permanently locking the white bishop out of play．

19 g3（167）


19
f3！
Kasparov is never afraid to sacrifice material for the initiative．Indeed，he soon offers a third pawn to clear lines of attack against the
white king．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 20 & Qxf3 & EeS \\
\hline 21 & Qe2 & g4 \\
\hline 22 & Og1 & c5 \\
\hline 23 & dxcb & bxac \\
\hline 24 & 0－0－0 & Qe6 \\
\hline 25 & 年xd6 & VgS＋ \\
\hline 26 & bbi & 堇g6 \\
\hline 27 & 串a1 & Zab8（168） \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Speelman＇s next move is amazingly ingenious but ultimately fails to solve the problem of the incar－ cerated queen＇s bishop．The inventive Korchnol sugg－ ested afterwards that 28 f4！is correct，e．g． 28 ．．． gxf3 29 Qf1 and now if 29 ．．． f2 30 0xf2 気xf2 31 首xb8＋． Although 28 f4 commits White to returning some material，the threat of lib－ erating the bishop by QcS or 0 d 4 would still render the situation unclear．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 28 & \＃hS & Hxh5 \\
\hline 29 & 皆xe6＋ & 由h88 \\
\hline 30 & 当e7 & Qf3 \\
\hline 31 & Qxf3 & Exf3 \\
\hline 32 & Sta & HeB \\
\hline 33 & 炭xa7 & 皆3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 34 & 浆b6 & 宸xe4 \\
\hline 35 & 恕b4 & 兄c2 \\
\hline Now \(B\) & lack＇s & orces con－ \\
\hline the final & attack & king for \\
\hline 36 & \＃bl & \％\({ }^{\text {d }} 3\) \\
\hline 37 & 光c5 & \＃e2 \\
\hline 38 & Wh5＋ & 为 \({ }^{8}\) \\
\hline 39 & 兹xg4 & （169） \\
\hline 39 & & 首xb1＋ \\
\hline & 0－1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{10) Classical 7 d 5 and others}

The immediate closing of the centre with 7 dS is known as the Petrosian system, after the Armenian World Champion who did much to develop and popularise the move, especially in conjunction with 8 Og 5 , pinning the black knight.

However, the passage of time and numerous grandmaster games have demonstrated adequate methods for Black to deal with White's plan, and the variation has become something of a rare guest at a high level.

Game 19
Yusupov - Kasparov
Barcelona World Cup 1989
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1 & Qf3 & Qf6 \\
2 & c 4 & \(\operatorname{g6}\) \\
3 & \(\sum \mathrm{c} 3\) & 0 g 7 \\
4 & e 4 & d 6 \\
5 & d 4 & \(0-0\) \\
6 & Qe2 & \(\mathrm{eS}(170)\) \\
7 & dS &
\end{tabular}

7 dxeS dxeS 8 道xd8 5 axd8 90 lgS has always been a favourite of those spoil-

sports who want to dampen the ardour of the King's Indian player, but it has never caused any theoretical problems. In fact, the opposite is the case - it is usually Black who is discovering some new and interesting way to complicate the game. The current state of play is that ' a ' is the old reliable method for Black, ' \(b\) ' is a relatively new idea involving a pawn sacrifice, while ' \(c\) ', the odd-looking 9 ... If 8 , favoured by Alexei Shirov, the brilliant young Latvian and first teenager ever to break the 2700 barrier, is the latest model. All three are perfectly acceptable:
a） 9 ．．． 5 e8 10 乞dS \(仑 \mathrm{xdS}\) 11 cxdS of 12 gc4 cxdS 13 Qxd5 © d7 14 母d2 © e 515 \(0-0-0\) Qe6 16 Qe3 Qf4 17 Qxf4 exf4 18 f3 Qe6－ P Cramling－Gallagher，Biel 1991.
b） \(9 \ldots\) c6 10 乞 xeS ［e8 11 0－0－0 乌a6（171）


12 \＃d6（ 12 ©f3 \(\operatorname{Og} 413\) Qd3 ©c5 14 Ehei Qfd7！ 15 Qf4 Qbt TThanks to this re－ routing of the knight， Black will recover his pawn and obtain a small edge） 16 W2 Qxf3 17 gxf3 气xd3 18 Exd3 0 xc4 19 Og3 पad8 20 Eed1 Exd3 21 Ïxd3 \＆e5 22
 © 2725 e5 官f8 26 f4 f5 Barlov－Watson，Bor 1986） and now：
b1） 12 ．．．Qe6 \(13 \mathrm{f4} \mathrm{~h} 614\) Qxf6 Qxf6 15 thdi QxeS 16 fxe5

乌d7 23 कf4 के 724 乌d5＋（A neat trick，but it fails to disturb the balance） 24 ．．． cxd5 25 cxd5 \({ }^{[16} 826\) dxe6
 Korchnoi－Kasparov，Til－ burg 1991.
b2） \(12 \ldots\) ．．．\(x\) xe4 13 \＆xe4 QxeS 14 乌f6＋Qxf6 15 Qxf6 QcS 16 Qf3 QfS 17 \＃hd （White has a modest initia－ tive but with careful de－ fence Black holds the ba－ lance） 17 ．．．乌e4 18 Oxe4 \＃xe4 19 b3 h6 20 f3 \＃ैe6 21
 g4 祭e6 24 h 4 b5 25 cxbs
 28 Еd6 Qe6 29 की d 2 a4 \(=\) Olafsson－Remlinger，New York Open 1991.
c） 9 ．．． \(\mathrm{gf8}\)（This tucks the rook out of harm＇s way． The continuation that White adopts here leads nowhere，but it is hard to envisage how the first player could ever gain the initiative here） 10 कीdS ©xdS 11 cxd5 c6 12 Oc4 bS （ \(12 \ldots\) ．．．cxd5 is slightly more cautious but perfectly acceptable： 13 QxdS Ac6 14 \(0-0-0 \mathrm{~h} 615\) Qe3 Qd7 16 \＄is1 Ifd8 17 ETd2 \({ }^{\text {ex }} 18\) \＃hd ［Id7 19 乌el 21 Qb3 as 22 Qb6 \(\mathbb{Q} d 723\) Qc5 Exd2 24 Exd2 a4 25 QdS Qxd5 26 exdS Qf6 27 f 3 QbS 28 Qc7 Qg5－H Olafs son－Fedorowicz，Wijk aan Zee 1991） 13 Qb3 Qb7 14 \＃cl aS 15 a3 a4 16 Qa2 Ec8 17 Qe3 b4 18 axb4 यа6 19 dxc 6 Exc6 20 Excc \(0 \times c 6\)（172） 21 Qc4（Black had been

down this path before，viz． \(210-0\) Qxb4 22 Ob1 f6 23
 Qe8 26 Qxb4 Qxb4 27 Qa2＋象f8 28 OdS 营b87 P Cram－ ling－Shirov，Stockholm 1990） \(21 \ldots\) 〇xb4 22 OcS Qf8 23 Oxf8 安xf8 24 QxeS Qxe4 25 f3 QdS 26 0－0 Ee8 27
 Qc5－Wegner－Shirov， Gausdal 1991.

Returning to the position after 7 dS（173）：


By far the most popular move．Others are：
a） 7 ．．．乌a6 8 थd 2 乌e8？！ （Black should beware of moving this knight before

White has committed him－ self to kingside castling． A more flexible，and soun－ der approach，was seen in Alekssandrov－Neverov， USSR Ch．1991： 8 ．．．c6 9 a3 cxdS 10 cxdS Od7 11 乌c4 ¢）e8 12 b4 fS 13 0－0 ©ac7 9 a3 c5 \(10 \mathrm{h4}\) ！（Speelman takes his chance） 10 ．．．fS 11 h 5 f 412 hxg 6 hxg 613 Og 4 Qf6 14 Qxc8 学xc8 is Qf3 \＆c7 16 Qd2 安f7 \(17 \quad \mathrm{~b} 4 \mathrm{t}\) Speelman－Ivanchuk，Li－ nares 1991．Black has a mis－ erable position without hope of counterplay， although be hung on to draw．
b） \(7 \ldots\) 异bd7 8 OgS h6 9 Qh4 gS 10 Qg3 QhS（174）


For a long time，this was the main line of the Petro－ sian system and there have been numerous encounters starting from this position． The lesson that has been learnt is that the position is absolutely fine for Black and nowadays it is a rara avis at international stan－
dard．A couple of recent exceptions，which did not buck the trend are：
b1） 11 乌d2 乌f4 \(12 \quad 0-0\) QcS 13 Qg 4 Qxe4 14 Qdxe4 fS 15 cS fxg 416 cxd6 cxd6 17 QbS Qf5 18 \＆bxd6 Qxe4
 QxdSF Kouatly－Ree，Can－ nes 1990.
b2） 11 h 4 （More aggres－ sive than 11 Qd2，but Black＇s resources are completely adequate） \(11 \ldots\) \＆f4（ \(11 \ldots\) Qxg3 \(12 \mathrm{fxg}^{3} \mathrm{gxh} 413\) \＆xh4岁g5 \(14 \quad\) Qg 4 QcS 15 Qxc8
 lashov－Penrose，Hastings 1966） 12 hxgS hxgS 13 紫c2 Exe2（In the old days，a few players snatched the g－pawn with \(13 \ldots\) Exg2＋， but then after 14 कुd2！\(\Delta\) Eag1，they all lost in less than 30 moves） 14 由ex 2 （This might look strange， but White connects his rooks and keeps e4 under control） 14 ．．．§bb is Qd2 fS 16 f3 fxe4 17 Qcxe4 c6 18 Exd6！？皆xd6 19 岩h7＋©f7 20 合e4 当g6 21 Qxe5 宸xh7 22 Пxh7 \({ }^{2 g} 823\) Qxg7 日xg7 24 乡 \(\mathrm{xg} 5+\) 由g6 25 ［ \(\mathrm{xg} 7+\) \＄xg7 26 dxc6m／－Ivkov－ Lautier，Dortmund 1989.

8 OgS（175）
The fuli－blooded Petro－ sian continuation．White develops the queen＇s bi－ shop rather extravagantly in an attempt to hamper


Black on the king＇s wing． The great strategist Tigran Petrosian won many games with this move，usually be－ cause his opponents would make one of two basic mis－ takes：They would chase the bishop with ．．．h6 and ．．． gS，but then fail to follow up sufficiently energetic－ ally and thus remain with horrendous light square weaknesses；or they would make no attempt to deal with the pin，when White would continue \(\sum\) ） d 2 （pre－ venting an eventual ．．．©hS） and it became very hard for Black to free the position． Nowadays，however，all King＇s Indian players know about these problems and the seamier side of the bi－ shop move（i．e．loss of time，target for Black＇s kingside advance）is more of ten shown up．

There have been att－ empts recently to approach this position in a different manner：

8 Qe3（This has been very popular recently，but Ka－ sparov＇s treatment here is rather convincing） 8 ．．．\(\hat{\mathrm{g}} 4\) 9 Qg5 f6 10 Qh4 乌a6 11 仓d2 h 5 （This position resembles those emerging from 7 Qe3， but it looks like a favour－ able version for Black） 12 a3 Qd7 13 h 3 Qh6 14 －bl （）cs 15 b4 axb4 16 axb4 气）a4 17 告c2 包xc3 18 世xc3 gS 19 Og3 h4 20 Qh2 fS \(\ddagger\) Bareev－ Kasparov，Tilburg 1991.

8 h 4 ！？was a pet favou－ rite of the French grand－ master Bachar Kouatly for a while and should not be underestimated．From the following material Black＇s best approach is not clear： 8 ．．．§a6（ \(8 \ldots\) hS is timid： 9
 ©h7 12 Qh6士 Kouatly－Gu－ nawan，Thessalonika Ol． 1988） 9 乌d2 仓cs \(10 \mathrm{~g} 4!\) （Kouatly had previously played 10 hS but after the cunning 10 ．．．甾d7！（Pre－ venting g4），Kouatly－ Cvitan，Geneva 1988，White was rather stuck for a good move） \(10 \ldots\) a4 11 hS gxh5 12 \(g_{5} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{g}} 4\) ？（This commits Black to a highly specula－ tive piece sacrifice） 13 © 1 f5 14 f3 亿ff 15 安xf2 fxe4 16㤟g2 a3 17 Exh5 exf3＋ 18 Qxf3 e4 Kouatly－Kasparov， Evry Simul 1989．The World Champion proved too hot to handle in this game and
he eventually won，but it is difficult to believe that Black has sufficient com－ pensation here．


10 Qd2
 a3 fS？（The correct way for Black is \(12 \ldots\) ．．．\({ }^{2} 773\) \＃tblat 14 乞bS hS is f3 Oh6w Aga－ maliev－Sukhorukov，Mo－ scow 1991） 13 exfS 0xf5 14 g4！（The problem is that White has not yet moved the b－pawn and so the counter－stroke ．．．e4 is un－ available to Black．Conse－ quently White gains solid control over the vital e4－ square） 14 ．．．Qd7 is＠de4 a4 16 f3 b6 17 Qd3士 Vein－ gold－Kasparov，USSR 1979.
\[
10 \text { … .....e8 }
\]

10 ．．．h5！？（This is cer－ tainly worthy of further attention．The point of this move is to meet \(110-0\) with 11 ．．．Qh6 12 f3 Qe3＋ 13 कh1 \(g^{5}\) with good counterplay）

11 Og5 咴e8 12 a3 Od7 13 b3 Qh7 14 Qe3 h4 15 努c2 fS 16 f3 Qf6co Lerner－Uhlmann， Berlin 1989.
\[
11 \quad 0-0
\]

An alternative strategy for White is to delay cast1－ ing，e．g． 11 a 3 Qd7 12 b3 \(Q \mathrm{Q} 7\) 13 f 3 h 514 Zb 1 Qh6 is Qf2岩e7（177）and now：

a） 16 b4 axb4 17 axb4 h4 18 䊏c2 紫g5（This is a stan－ dard attacking ploy－White cannot castle as the knight on d2 would hang） 19 ॥lg1 co 20 cS 0 f6 21 dxc6 bxc6 22 Qc4 dxcS 23 bxcS 5 fe8 24 g 3 hxg 325 hxg 3 日f8 26 亿a4 Qc7 27 Qab6 gad8oc Rossi－ ter－Gallagher，British Ch． 1987.
b） \(16 \mathrm{h4}\)（White prevents ．．．h4 and ．．．出gS，but at a
 b4 axb4 19 axb4．Qa4 20 QbS5？（White＇s knight is sent behind enemy lines on a suicide mission． 20 Qdi Qf6 21 Qd3 Qf 4？ 22 乌）e300／t was Speelman－J Polgar， Holland 1991．Black should
have played 21 ．．．Qxd2－） 20 ．．．c6 21 dxc6 bxc6 22 仓p7马ac8 23 乌ab c5 24 bxcS dxc5 0－1 Damljanovic－Fed－ orowicz，Wijk aan Zee 1990.
\begin{tabular}{lll}
11 & \(\cdots\) & \(Q \mathrm{h7}\) \\
12 & a 3 & \(Q \mathrm{~d} 7\) \\
\(\mathbf{1 3}\) & \(\mathrm{b3}\) & \(\mathrm{fS}!2!(178)\)
\end{tabular}


In the style of his hero， the great champion Alex－ ander Alekhine，Kasparov sometimes hurls himself on the foe without any re－ gard for the material sacri－ fices being offered．Such was the case here，where Kasparov makes a sacrifice of rook for bishop，which would have been consi－ dered a blunder had it been perpetrated by a lesser mortal．

The normal line is 13 ．．． h5（threatening ．．．gS and ．．． h4 to trap White＇s bishop） 14 f 3 Qh6 15 Ebl（15 由hi Qe3 16 Zbl QcS 17 梦c1 ©h8 18 Qa2 fS 19 b4 axb4 20 axb4 Qe3 21 乌c3 c5 22 dxc6 bxc6
 Ec1 \＆ee6 26 exf5 gxf5 27

Qdb3 \(\pm\) Naumkin－Fedoro－ wicz，London（Lloyds Bank） 1990） 15 ．．．Qe3＋ 16 Qf2 QcS 17 Qxc5（17 狊ct c6 18 Qa4 Qd4 19 Qf2 Qxf2 20 सfxf2缹d8 21 dxc6 Qxc6 22 气c3 E）c5 23 b4 axb4 24 axb4 Ee6 25 b5 Qd7 26 \＆）b3＝ Yusupov－Damljanovic， St．John 1988） 17 ．．．dxcS：
a） 18 曻e1 刿e7 19 h 4 ？ （White cannot hope to get away with this when he has already castled） 19 ．．．fS 20 exfS gxfS 21 Qd3田h8 23 Qdb1 सg8 24 島2
 －Hebden，British Ch． 1988.
b） 18 紫c2 0 f 6 ？（This is very odd．Why not simply ．．．h47） 19 Qd1 峔e7 20 此c3欮d6 21 Qf2 登ae8 22 光xaS b6 23 学c3 Qc8 24 Qd3士 Zlotnik－Kr Georgiev，Bel－ grade GMA 1988.

\section*{14 exfS gxfS}

14 ．．．QxfS 15 g 4 and if Black retreats the bishop he will be saddled with a positionally inferior game， so 15 ．．．e4 16 Klc1 e3 is obli－ gatory and now（179）：
a） 17 fxe3 将xe3＋ 18 Qf2当g5 19 由h1 Qd7 20 Q2de4
 －Shirov，USSR 1988.
b） 17 gxfS exd2 18 约 \(x d 2\) \＆c5 19 药d1 \(\quad \mathrm{Exf} 520 \quad\) Og 4


 \＆xc7 hxg3 28 \＆xa8 gxf2＋


29 df1？（The correct out－ come to Black＇s highly im－ aginative attack would be a perpetual check after 29 Wxf2！Qd4＋30 कg 3！QeS 31 bef2 Qd4＋） 29 ．．．基 \(4!-+(\Delta\) … Qd4） 30 Ed1 Ece4 31 東e2 Lg 132 Ef1 ©c3 0－1 Bykhov－ sky－Belov，Pula 1988.

15 QhS 欮8
Kasparoy has mobilised his pawns，but at the same time he has permitted Yusupov＇s next move which wins material by force．
\[
16 \text { Qe7 Ze8 }
\]

There are many instances in the King＇s Indian where Black gives up material in order to remove White＇s queen＇s bishop and thereby seize control of the dark squares．But Kasparov wants the light－squared bishop instead．It is strik－ ingly reminiscent of Fisch－ er＇s celebrated ．．．QhS in the third game of his match with Spassky，which also seemed to break all the rules．


19 刿 c 2
19 Elcl gets hit by 0 cS － d3 but may be White＇s best，
 220 g 3 气d 323 f3（ 23 f 4 hS 24 h 3 h 425 Oh2 cS 26 a 4

 Brunner－Hickl，Bern Zt． 1990） 23 ．．．e3（ \(23 \ldots \mathrm{hS}\) and now in Hoffmann－Grun－ berg，Lippstadter 1991，the players helpfully agreed a draw） 24 气b1 气b 225 Exb2 \(0 \times b 226\) Iel h5 27 h 3药 f 728 f 4 h 429 Qh2 \(\mathrm{Qlg}^{2} 30\)酋d3œ Naumkin－Kuzmin， Moscow 1989.

类 h 5
20 Qg \({ }^{2}\) ge8
To bolster e4 and answer f3 breaks with ．．．e4－e3．

\section*{21 \＆f4？}

In view of what follows， this must be the wrong plan．White＇s best move may be 21 gadi，a sugges－ tion of the American GM Patrick Wolff．The idea is
to unravel the knights with Sdbl and \(Q \mathrm{e} 2\) to cover f 4. One continuation now is 21 ．．．© Ac 522 乌db1（not 22 f3 \(0 \times 13\) and ．．．e4－e3） 22 ．．．气gS 23 乌e2 \＆d3 24 Øf4 Qxf4 25 Qxf4 Qe5 26 Qxe5 dxeS and now White must break up the phalanx with 27 f4 but after 27 ．．．exf3 28
 Black has more than en－ ough compensation．

Another try is 21 f4，hal－ ting ．．． f 4 and covering the eS－and g5－squares．The problem is that White＇s rooks are further immobil－ ized while the black knights are free to dance all over the board．For example， 21 f4 仓f6 22 घae1 乌c5 23 仓e2 \(\hat{2}\) d3 with nasty thoughts of ．．． \(0 \mathrm{~g} 4-\mathrm{e} 3\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{ll}
21 & \ldots \\
22 & g_{3} \\
23 & \text { beth1 }
\end{array}
\]}} \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

If 23 QxgS hxgS 24 f3 then 24 ．．．娄h3 25 fxe 4 f4！ is strong as White cannot take on \(\mathbf{f 4}\) because the \(\mathbf{c 3}\)－ knight hangs．

23
24
24
25
26


Elac1（181）
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(\cdots\) & 4 c 5 \\
\hline （1）x \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & \％ \\
\hline \({ }^{\text {cosi }}\) & S d3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Kasparov has whipped up a ferocious counter－attack for his material－so fero－ cious，in fact，that Yusupov feels impelled to return the

material he has won．After White＇s following move Kasparov could simply have played \(27 \ldots\) ．．． \(0 \times 1\) with at least equal prospects．
\[
27 \text { 尚d2 } 0 \mathrm{~d} 4
\]

Kasparov has the chance to recoup his material but boldly spurns this in the interests of stoking up his attack，but the black offen－ sive is ultimately quashed after a couple of time trouble blunders wreck his attacking formation．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 28 & Ec2 & कh7 \\
\hline 29 & h3 & Eg8 \\
\hline 30 & कh2 & \％hs \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Kasparov should have considered \(30 \ldots\) ．．．\(x\) xf 31
 \(0 \times g 434 \mathrm{hxg} 4\) 8xg 4 with a winning attack，or 32 Ifcl e3 and ．．．Qe5．Incidentally， the kamikaze 30 ．．． \(\mathbf{E x g} 3\) faiis to 31 Qxg 3 f4 32 类e2！
\[
31 \text { \&d1 乌e5 }
\]

31．．．Qxf4 32 gxf4（32性xf4 QeS and ．．．e3！） \(32 \ldots\)当g6 33 f3 苞g \(3+\) wins．But Kasparov had oniy one
minute left to reach the time control at move 40 ． 32 f3
To answer \(32 \ldots\) ．．．\(x f 3+\) with 33 Zxf3 \(\frac{4}{y} \mathrm{xd} 4\) ．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{}} \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


34 ．．．

2b6？？
For the last time missing a clear win．He must play 34 … 当h4！Now 35 药xd4 fails to \(35 \ldots\) Ag3 36 कh1 Exh3＋mating．The extra tempo enables Yusupov to cover h4．Suddenly the black attack has been neut－ ralised and White＇s material advantage is the decisive factor．A tragedy for Kasp－ arov，who had conducted the attack with such brill－ iant élan．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 35 & 渻f2 & ＊ig6 \\
\hline 36 & \＃e2 & Qcs \\
\hline 37 & fxe4 & fxe4 \\
\hline 38 & fS & \％h5 \\
\hline 39 & \＃d2 & ［tg \\
\hline 40 & 炭f4 & ＊e8 \\
\hline 41 & Q \(5^{4}\) & 1－0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{11）Classical others}

In this chapter we examine early deviations in the Classical System．These lines are ideal for players of either colour who are reluctant to enter into the minefield of the main lines． Although perhaps not theoretically challenging， they provide fertile terri－ tory for creating unusual situations where the play－ ers are thrown on their own resources．

Game 20 examines 6 ．．． Qg 4 and in games 21 and 22 we consider the moves 6 h 3 and 5 亿ge2．These lines wander in and out of fash－ lon，but have the advantage of being quite threatening against stereotyped replies．

Game 20
Speelman－Fuller Commonwealth Ch． Hong Kong 1984
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1 & d 4 & 乌f6 \\
2 & c 4 & g 6 \\
3 & \(\sum \mathrm{c} 3\) & 0 g 7 \\
4 & e 4 & d 6 \\
5 & \(\sum \mathrm{f} 3\) & \(0-0\)
\end{tabular}
\(6 \quad\) Qe2 0g4（183）


It looks slightly strange to offer the exchange of the light squared bishop， which is often Black＇s best piece in the King＇s Indian， but the intention is to play ．．．乌fd7 and pressurise the d4－square．White must be careful not to play too automatically in response．

7 Qe3
\(70-0 \sum \mathrm{fd} 7\) is an alterna－ tive（184）：
a） 8 Qel Qxe2 9 Qxe2 e5 10 dS aS 11 Qd3 \＆ab 12 乌c3 f5 13 当e2 皆h4（White＇s play has been rather insipid and now Black provokes the weakening \(g 3\) ，after which he has no problems） 14 g 3



17 解22 \({ }^{2} \mathrm{~h}=\) Nikcevic－ Akopian，Niksic 1991.
b） 8 Qe3 仓c6（This is taking provocation too far． Black＇s knight ends up hor－ ribly offside） 9 dS Qxf3 10 Qxf3 QaS 11 Qe2 b6 12 \＃cl es 13 dxe6 fxe6 14 f 4 कh8 15 b3 乌b7 16 Qd3 c6 17 Qb1㫧e7 18 简d2土 I Sokolov－ Krause，Brocco 1989.

7 ．．．
©fd7（185）
Black continues metho－ dically with his plan，but 7 ．．．©c6 also merits atten－ tion．The resultant posi－ tions are similar to those from the Qe3 variations of the Pirc／Modern Defence： 8 dS Qxf3 9 Qxf3 乌e5 10 Qe2 c6 11 0－0 \％aS 12 Ic1（White has a space advantage，but Black has chances to snipe from the wings in genuine hypermodern fashion．An alternative method of try－ ing to keep Black under control is with queenside play，a highly successful example of which was D Gurevich－Bonin，New York

Open 1990： 12 出b3 \({ }^{2} \mathrm{fb} 813\)
 cxdS 16 cxdS a6 17 f3 Ec c8 18 Eff1 b6 19 axb6 \(Q \times 6620\)
 ＊／aS 仑ect 23 Qxc4 पxc4 24

 ab 15 g 4 ！？（Encouraging en－ ormous complications） 15 ．．．cxdS 16 gS ©xe4 17 थxdS Be8 18 Of3 e660 Kozul－ Damljanovic，Sarajevo 1990.


There are other ways to handle the white position：
a） 8 d5！？to cut Black＇s knight out of c6．Hort－ Hug，Malta O1． 1980 conti－ nued \(8 \ldots\) ．．）a6 9 今d4 Qxe2 10 宸xe2 QacS 11 h 4 ！？（ 11 0－0 would leave White with a slight，but tangible，edge but not 11 b4 as Black can hit back with 11 ．．．乌a6 12 a3 c5！） 11 ．．．Qf6 12 f3 \(\quad\) did7 13 g4 h5 14 g 5 Qh7 15 0－0－0乌a4 16 亿xa4 当xa4 with a double－edged position as Black can counter White＇s central push of e4－e5 with
the queenside breaks ．．．c7 －c6 and ．．．b7－b5．
b） \(8 \mathrm{~h} 3!\) ？intending a speedy thrust of the \(h^{-}\) pawn，is an aggressive con－ tinuation which can easily catch Black unawares．The game Keene－Fuller，Sydney 1979 is an excellent ex－ ample，and we follow this in full； \(8 \ldots\) Qxf3 9 Qxf3 Qob（ \(9 \ldots\) eS also failed to help the black cause in Keene－Avner，Orebro 1966： 10 d 5 f 511 h 4 乌f6 12 岂c2 f4 13 Qd2 cS？！\｛Black＇s position is already dangerous，but this III－advised advance de－ prives him of any possibill－ ty of counterplay，which might have come from the break ．．．c6 or by playing a knight to \(\mathbf{c S} 314 \mathrm{~g} 3!\mathrm{fxg} 315\) fxg3 a6 16 首d3 0 bd 717 g 4 b5 18 hS bxc4 19 娄e2！\｛There is no rush to recapture on c4．The pawn temporarily blocks Black＇s own coun－ termeasures） 19 ．．．Eff7 20 hxg6 hxg6 21 gS 公h7 220 g 4 Qdf8 23 析xc4 and White won easily） 10 © e 2 （186） （It is important to protect． the d4 square against ．．．eS and ．．．Sd 4 ，which would be played even if it involved a pawn sacrifice） 10 ．．．eS（10 ．．．e6 as in Keene－Reefsch－ lager，Hannover 1976 also fails to furnish sufficient counterplay） 11 dS Ee7 12 h4！（A thematic advance） 12

．．．fS 13 hS （Now 13 ．．．©f6 is possible，as is 13 ．．．fxe4 14 Qxe4 Qf6．But in the for－ mer case 14 ©c3 is good， while in the latter \(15 \hat{Q} 3\) is clearly better for White． Alternatively，If 13 ．．．fxe4 14 Qxe4 Qf5 then 15 岁d3 is strong．It is important for White， \(\ln\) all of these lines， to maintain a resolute piece blockade on the e4－square． This blockade stifles Black＇s king＇s bishop and provides a springboard for White＇s kingside attack） 13 \(\ldots\) f4 14 Qd2 \(\triangle f 6\)（If \(14 \ldots\) gS is Og4！at once） \(15 \mathrm{hxg6}\) hxg6（It looks aesthetic to recapture with the pawn， but \(15 . .4 \times \mathrm{xg} 6\) is more re－ silient and gives Black more space in which to ma－ noeuvre） 16 g 3 ！（187）
（The fatal rupture．White＇s king＇s bishop is fighting to reach the h 3 －c8 diagonal， after which Black＇s resi－ stance will be broken） 16 ．．． g5 17 gxf 4 gxf 4 （ \(17 \ldots\) exf4 18 Ad4！gives White the

crushing threat of Ee6． Black has no time for tact－ ics down the long dark－ squared diagonal） 18 Ec3 （Threatening Og4．Black tries to stop this，but his solution only exacerbates matters） 18 ．．．岃d7 19 Og2！ （This wins．Black has no light－squared defence to Oh3） \(19 \ldots\) c6 20 Oh3 奖c7 21 Qe6＋登f7 22 峉f3 bS 23 cxbS cxdS 24 exdS Ee 825 学g2乌c8 26 Qe4 Qxe4 27 将xe4
血f8 30 dxe6 5 f6 31 0－0－0 ©e7 32 Edg1 ©fS 33 曾h8＋ 1－0．
c） \(8 \mathrm{~h} 4!?\) is a fairly blunt declaration of intent which provoked Black into over－ reacting in Kishnev－Hug， Gelsenkirchen 1991： 8 ．．．c5 9 d5 bS？！ 10 cxbS a6 11 佨d2 axb5 12 QxbS Qxf3 13 gxf3曾aS 14 Qh6 EeS 15 吾h3 and Black had little to show for his pawn investment．
9 9 Dgxe2 eS

9 ．．．cS appears to be
weaker．After 100 －0 Black has two choices（188）：

a） 10 ．．．exd4 leads to a Maroczy Bind structure， e．g． 11 仓xd4 \＆c6 12 gel a6
 ©d2 fS（Weakening，but it generates reasonable coun－ terplay for Black） 16 exfS gxf5 17 乌d5 气gg 18 OgS ［f7 \(\pm /-\) Thorsteins－Bra－ ga，Thessaloniki Ol． 1988.
b） \(10 \ldots\) ．．．c6 11 dS ©aS 12 b3 and Black has problems making the thematic ．．．b7－ bS break，e．g． 12 ．．．a6 13 \＃bl wb8 14 a4 eS 15 巟d3 and White can open the queen－ side with b3－b4．If Black fails to try the bS－break， then White can gain space on the kingside with f4．

10 dS
Speelman diverges from Kasparov＇s treatment ag－ ainst Vukic from Banja Luka 1979．There，the future World Champion played 10 \(0-0\) aS 11 首d2
 and now 14 ．．．Qxd4 15 Qxd4

Qxd4 16 首xd4 f6 would have left White with a terr－ itorial advantage but per－ haps only a slight plus．

Speelman prefers to immediately defuse the central tension．
\[
10
\]
fS（189）


11 exfS
This appears to be a strong move．Previous theory gives 11 f3 Oh6 as equal，but although Black has activated his dark－ squared bishop，by playing Qf2，White may still be better if he holds the e4－ square and advances on the queenside．

Capturing the bishop （after 11 f 3 Qh 6 ）is incor－ rect： 12 Oxh6 尚h4 413 Qg3告xh6 140 －0 f4 15 亿bl 乌f6 16 b4 乌á 17 \＆bS g5 18 乌f2当g7 19 a3 h5 20 h 3 皆d7 21 \＃ैcl erman，Dieren 1988．Black＇s kingside build－up proved to be the most relevant factor in the position．
gxf5

\section*{12 f4 慈e7}

The immediate 12 ．．．exf4 seems more annoying．If White captures with the bishop 13 Qxf4 then \(13 \ldots\)林4＋and if \(14 \mathrm{~g}^{3}\) then \(14 \ldots\)庹b3 stops from from castling．White＇s best is to play 13 Exf 4 and to answer \(13 . .8 \mathrm{ge8}\) with 14 桨d2 and the threat of ete allows White the necessary time to escape from the e－file．
\[
13 \quad 0-0 \quad \text { exf } 4
\]

A better plan might be 13 ．．．e4 which，although giv－ ing up the d4－square wi－ thout gaining the crucial eS outpost in compensation， leaves Black possibllities of ．．．\(\Delta \mathrm{cS}-\mathrm{d} 3\) ．
\[
14 \text { Qd } 4!
\]

Eliminating Black＇s king＇s bishop．
\[
14 \quad \cdots \quad \text { Qxd4+ }
\]

If \(14 \ldots\) ．．． eS then is Qxf 4 seems strong，e．g． 15 ．．．

 Qxf1 20 Eeb and White wins．It is important to no－ tice how e6 is weakened by tbe early commitment and eventual exchange of the light－squared bishops．
is 当xd4 桨e3＋（190）
Black decides to force the exchange of queens and so lessen the force of White＇s attack before he can bring up more artillery． 16 酋xe3 fxe3

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 17 & Q 44 & ES \\
\hline 18 & b3 & Qa6 \\
\hline 19 & Eae1 & cs \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

An interesting position． The strong points on \(\mathbf{e S}\) and \(c 5\) appear to balance White＇s knight entrenched at \(f 4\) and eyeing e6．How－ ever，White stands better as fS is potentially weak and Speelman has a weak square（e6）to aim at deep in the heart of the black position．
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
20 & \text { g̈xe3 } \\
21 & \text { ybs } \\
\text { Ebs }
\end{array}
\]

After this Black is lost． He had a chance to make things difficult for his opponent with 21 ．．．©第4！ answering 22 ele with 22 ．．．c6 and 22 Qbd4 with 22 \(\ldots\) ．．gg 4 and if \(23 \mathrm{Ze} 24 \mathrm{c}^{3} 24\) Ic． 2 §e4，and White has problems constructively avoiding a repetition．

22 包e6！（191）
White now builds up a winning advantage with some neat tactical possi－ bilities．


If 23 ．．．\({ }^{\text {El }} 724\) Exf5 and Ile6 is not possible because of the knight fork．
24 cS
25 De2 \({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}=7\)

To prevent 26 e7． 25 ．．． ©eS loses the d－pawn whilst 25 ．．．ge8 allows 26 cxd6 cxd6 27 §xd6 © \(x\) xf

26 仓xc7
26 ItxfS also wins but the text is extremely efficient and leads to a very picture－ sque finish．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 26 & ．．． & \(\square\) \\
\hline 27 & cxd6 & EcS \\
\hline 8 & d7 & Ee5 \\
\hline ， & e7 & 1－0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Game 21
Kavalek－Kasparov
Bugojno 1982
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1 & \(c 4\) & \(g 6\) \\
2 & \(乌 \mathrm{c} 3\) & \(0 \mathrm{O}_{7}\) \\
3 & d 4 & \(乌 \mathrm{f6}\) \\
4 & \(e 4\) & d 6 \\
5 & \(\Delta f 3\) & \(0-0\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{6 h3（192）}


Larsen used to be quite keen on 6 ge3，with the in－ tention of playing the endgame after \(6 \ldots\) eS 7 dxe5 dxe5 8 桨xd8 \(\quad\) Yxd8 9 QdS，but this method of play has fallen out of fa－ vour．Black should not be disturbed by It，e．g． 9 ．．． Eld 10 Qxf6＋Qxf6 11 cS Qc6 12 Qb5
 Qe6＝Rivas－Lukin，Lenin－ grad 1984.
\[
6 \text {... } 65
\]

6 ．．．cS is another way for Black to attack the white centre． 7 d5 e6 8 Qd3 rea－ ches a position which often arises when White plays an early Qd3．Some possibli－ ties：
a） \(8 \ldots\) and now：
a1） \(90^{-0}\) e5（Obviously losing a tempo，but the po－ sition is blocked and so this is not a vital conside－ ration．Also，the white set－up of \(\triangle f 3\) and \(Q d 3\) is not ideal） 10 a3 h6 11 Db1

Qh7 12 Qh2 f5 13 f 4 exf4 14 Qxf4 gS 15 Qd2 f4m Khalif－ man－Damljanovic，Bled 1991.
a2） 9 Qg 5 h6 10 Qe3 \(Q c 7\) 11 曾d2 exd5 12 cxd5 贯h7 13 a4 b6？！（This manoeuvre， which is rather slow，is rarely a good idea in Benoni structure positions．More to the point is \(13 \ldots\) a6， planning a quick ．．． 568 and ．．．bS） 14 0－0 Qab 15 Qf4 Qxd3 16 苟xd3 乌h5 17 Qh2 f5 18 gfel士 Bareev－Damlja－ novic，Novi Sad Ol． 1990.
b） 8 ．．．exd5 9 exdS（ 9 cxdS transposes into the Modern Benoni） 9 ．．．EPe8＋ 10 Qe3 with the further possibilities（193）：

b1） 10 ．．Qf5！？（This looks like a beginner＇s move，but the coming ．．． Qe4 will soon straighten out Black＇s structure． Ne － vertheless，the long－term weakening of the kingside may be more relevant） 11 QxfS gxfS 12 0－0 \(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{e} 413\) Qxe4 fxe4 14 \＆d2 \＆d7 15

湈g4士 Hubner－Shirov， Manila Izt． 1990.
b2） \(10 \ldots\) ．．． h 5 i1 0－0 4 d 7 12 g4 Qhf6 13 QbS hS 14
 g5 奖xd6 17 gxf6 酋g3＋ 18家h 安xh3＋ 19 \＆h2oo Shirov －Cramling，Ter Apel 1991.
b3） \(10 \ldots\) Qh6 is well met by 11 0－0！Qxe3 12 fxe3 Exxe3？！ 13 頻d2 and White generates an enormous att－ ack．

\section*{7 dS}

7 dxeS dxe5 8 岁xd8 5 gx 8 is okay if White＇s ambitions stretch no further than a draw，but should not cause Black problems．A couple of recent examples should suffice：
a） 9 Ogs \(\triangle \mathrm{bd} 710\) 0－0－0览8 11 Qe3 b6 12 \＆d5 气xdS 13 cxdS Qb7 14 QbS Qf6 15 ©d2 c6！（A neat tactic com－ pletely freeing Black＇s game） \(16 \mathrm{dxc6}\) Oxc6 17 Qxc6

 \＃xc6 22 \＃ci＝Piket－Nij－ boer，Dutch Ch． 1990.
b） 9 Дd5 §a6 10 Qg5 \＃̈d6 11 Qxf6 Qxf6 12 b4 c6 13 Qxf6＋Z̈xf6 14 a3 c5 15 bS Qc7（The black e－pawn is not loose due to ．．．घ̈e6） 16 Qe2 Д̈e6 \(170-0-0\) 马e8 18 a4 f6 19 aS ge6－Larsen－Hel－ lers，Esbjerg 1988.


The alternative deploy－ ment of the knight with 7
．．． D bd7 is also playable． We now follow the game Piket－Nunn，Wijk aan Zee 1990：8 Qe3 QcS 9 \＆d2 a5 10 \(\mathrm{g}^{4}\)（Very double－edged．A more restrained approach is 10 a3 0 e 811 b4 axb4 12 axb4 \＃̈xal 13 喸xa1 乌a6 14愛a3 f5 15 Qb3 b6 16 ge2 17 乌b5 \＆f6 18 exfS gxf5 19乡a7 Qb7 20 乌c6 妍f8 21 \(0-0 \infty\) Kaidanov－Watson， Budapest 1989） 10 ．．．Qe8 11管c2 f5（Piket has himself been on the black side of this variation．In Larsen －Piket，Lugano 1989，the continuation was 11 ．．．曹h8 12 Qe2 f5．It looks more na－ tural to play 11 ．．．fS imme－ diately） 12 gxf 5 gxf 513 Zg 1 f4 14 QxcS dxcS 15 Qf3 कh8 16 0－0－0 Еа6（194）

（An imaginative way to air－ lift the rook to the zone of real action．It might appear that it was White who enjoyed more prospects on the king＇s wing but in fact his h－pawn is weak and Nunn exploits the fact to
create useful squares for his pieces） \(17 Q^{\mathrm{bS}}\) ede7 18
 then Black has the cunning device 19 ．．．［a6，switching back to the original flank， followed by ．．．Exa2） 19 ．．． b6 20 Qd3 Of6 21 Qc2 \＆d6 \(2200^{7}\) Qd7（Of course，the white h－pawn is immune to capture since the black pawn on eS would hang） 23
 a3 \({ }^{\text {gig }}\)（Preparing a power－ ful penetration with White＇s f －and h－pawns as targets） 26 \＃h1 \(\mathrm{Zg}^{2} 27\) 䈅e1営g728 이 3 尚g 4 （195）（The prelude to the forthcoming queen sacrifice which won the brilliancy prize．White had evidently been relying on the next move to fend off the black attack but Nunn had seen further）

 31 Eg1 f3 32 Qbs Exg2＋fxg2 34 cxbs（The best defence is 34 的g when Black could of course simply play \(34 \ldots\) ．．． d 4 with
three minor pieces for the queen．More incisive，how－ ever，would be \(34 \ldots\) Qh3 35 cxbS a4 followed by ma－ noeurring the black bishop to the a4－square and an advance of the black king to capture White＇s h－pawn when Black＇s two con－ nected passed pawns will ultimately triumph） \(34 \ldots\) QxbS 35 宏g1 Qf1 36 岁h2 Qxh4 37 夏xh4 git 38 酱d8＋

束d2 岁xf2＋0－1．

\(10 \ldots\) fS 11 exfS Qf4 1200 （12 Oxf4 exf4 13 fxg6 蒋xg 6 gives Black clear counter－ play for the pawn sacrifice， e．g． 14 血f1 \＆cS 15 Elcl（This gets White into difficul－ ties；IS \(\triangle f 3\) Qd7co is better 1S ．．．QfS 16 Qf3 Qf6 17 由g 1它h8 18 कh2 \(\mathrm{Hg}^{8} 19\) ह̈g 1销h6 \(\bar{\mp}\) Chernin－J Polgar， New Delhi 1990） 12 ．．．QxfS

13 送 1 慈 \(f 7\)（197）


14 乌f1（14 a3 气c5 15 Qxcs dxc5 16 Of3 e4 17 人）xe4 Oxb2 18 日b1 兹g7 19 崖d2 Qe5 20 \＃̈xb7 Oxe4 21 Ine 4 ©xh3＋ 22 कh1 Qf4 23 断62
 Qd6－Ibraglmov－Kruppa， Kherson 1991） 14 ．．．Qxh3 （This，in combination with Black＇s eighteenth move， constitutes a remarkably speculative sacrifice to rip away the protection around White＇s king．Nevertheless， Kasparov criticised this gambit，and advocated instead the continuation 14 … 乌b4 15 与g3 थिc2 16 Qxf4乡xf1 17 QxfS gxfS 18 Qd2
 he assesses as unclear） 15 gxh3 ©xh3＋ 16 由g2 \(0 x f 217\)

 Qcs 22 He7（Here Black could play 22 ．．．Qd4＋ 23安f3 4 f8＋which would force White to give up the queen） \(22 \ldots\) … 23 Zg 1 Ef8＋（White could still
sacrifice the queen for the black rook but he also has the option of running to safety with his king．He chooses the latter） 24 由el \(0 \times \mathrm{xg} 3+25\)（d2 gf 7 and after further adventures a draw resulted，C Hansen－Ka－ sparov，Danish TV 1990.

11 ＠f3 fS（198）


12 h 4
White decides that he cannot stomach the contin－ ued presence of the knight on f4，and is prepared to take risks in order to dis－ charge it．

A more conservative app－ roach is \(120-0\) b6（ \(12 \ldots\) ．．2） 5 13 \＃c2 aS 14 Ead1 b6 15 2ffel柆 7 7os Guseinov－Kuzrnin， USSR 1991）and now：
a） 13 Elel 営 f 714 a 3 亿c5 15 QxcS bxc5 16 b4 cxb4 17
 Anastasian－Kuzmin，Bla－ goveshchensk 1988．Black＇s play is rather passive in this game．
b） \(13 \mathrm{~h} 4!\) ？ 4 cS 140 xc 5 bxc5 \(15 \mathrm{~g}^{2}\) 公 \(\mathrm{h} 3+\)（This gives
rise to an interesting situ－ ation：the black knight is trapped on h3，but also ge－ nerates serious pressure against the white kingside． However，the more telling feature of the position may be the white control over the e4－square） 16 由g2 h5 17 Thit fxe4 18 亿xe4 of5 19药e2 首d7 20 Ehf1 \＃ff7 21 Ec3 \(\pm\) Korchnol－Romani－ shin，Tilburg 1985
\[
12
\]

类 7
\(12 \ldots\) ．．． 6 ？ ！seems to be a rather optimistic pawn sac－ rifice．Black certainly did not get much in the follow－ ing example： 13 dxc6 bxc6
 Oxf4 exf4 17 豝e2 崖f7 18 b3受c7 19 0－0 Eff8 20 Eac1 Eae8 21 gfdi \(\pm / \pm\) Fauland－ Timoshenko，Moscow GMA 1989.

\section*{13 g 3 Qb4！}

A typical Kasparov bolt from the blue．

\section*{14 欮b3}

14 0－0 was relatively best，to which Kasparov would have responded 14 ．．． g5，after which he eva－ luates the position favou－ rably for Black．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 14 & & \\
\hline 15 & be2 & f4 \\
\hline 16 & Qd2 & \\
\hline 16 & ．．． & fxg 371 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Kasparov criticises this and prefers \(16 \ldots\) ．．．\(x f 2!17\)



EcS 19 㒸c2 fxg3 20 Qf1装f6） \(18 \ldots \mathrm{fxg}^{3} 19\) 安 \(\times \mathrm{xg}^{3}\) Ef4！when all of Black＇s pleces co－operate in the attack．He further gives the following analysis to prove the point： 20 Qg 4 h 5 ！ 21 ©e3（21 \＆f2 Exf3＋22 叀xf3粦f6＋23（be2 ©xf2 mating） \(21 \ldots\) Qf6！ 22 仑g 2 Qxh4＋ 23日xh4 菊g5 \({ }^{5}\) or 20 Qxf4 exf4＋ 21 由g2 当xh4 22 Ehf1 Qh3＋ 23 Bhi Qxf1 24 Exf1 Sf2 25 \＃xff2 学xf2 26 屿xb7 Ef8 and the black initiative should prove decisive．
\[
17 \text { fxg } 3 \quad 8 x f 3
\]

In spite of this bombard－ ment White should still be able to hang on for a draw with best play．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 18 & 4xf3 & Qg 4 \\
\hline 19 & 鳥af1 & ［f8（200） \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A critical position where， although superficially life seem to be going splendidly for Black，a closer examin－ ation reveals that his knights have become some－ what bogged down in the opponent＇s camp．


20 © di？
Kavalek misses his only chance． 20 Qe3！just holds as Black has nothing better than 20 ．．．Qh6（To weaken the f2－square） \(21 \quad Q \times h 6\)

 ＊f3 26 宙h2！and a draw is the best Black can achieve．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 20 & & 炭f71 \\
\hline 21 & Qe3 & Qxf3＋ \\
\hline 22 & ¢ \({ }^{\text {d }} 2\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Of course not 22 日xf3湈xf3＋and 23 ．．．出xh1．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 22 & \(\cdots\) & 勫d7 \\
\hline 23 & Ehg1 & \％h3 \\
\hline 24 & a3 & Qxe4 \\
\hline 25 & 8xf8＋ & Qxf8 \\
\hline 26 & axb4 & Wh2＋ \\
\hline 27 & \％c3 & Qcl \\
\hline & 0－1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

28 Qxcl＊xg1 is deva－ stating．

Game 22
Keene－Blees Amstelveen 1985


5 ©ge2
5 Qd3 is often used as a transpositional device to reach lines of the Saemisch or the Modern Benoni． Examples of its indepen－ dent existence are 5 ．．．0－0 60 ge2 and now：
a） 6 ．．．乌bd7（Remarkab－ ly，this move is not men－ tioned in ECO） 7 Qc2 a6 8 a4 e5 9 dS aS 10 h3 ©c5 11 2e3 Qfd7 12 0－0 乌a6 13 （4a2
 Exe4．（The position is high－ 1y unclear） 16 登e1 Qf6 17 b4 4．h5 18 f 3 fS 19 \＃bl b6 20今）ac3 Qf6 21 狏d2 Qh4 22 f4 Qf6 \(1 / 2-1 / 2\) Seirawan－Ivan－ chuk，Reykjavik 1991.
b） \(6 \ldots\) cs 7 dS e6 \(80-0\) exd5 9 exd5 ） \(\mathrm{g}^{4} 10\) Qc2 \((10\)
乡xd3 13 岗xd3 a6 14 a4－ Plachetka－Abramovic， Champigny sur Magne 1984） 10 ．．．．Wh4 11 of 4 今e5 12 b3
 Ifcel Qd7 16 QgS Qf6 17

Qxf6 夏xf600／～Olafsson －Kuzmin，Moscow Open 1989.
c） \(6 \ldots\) eS 7 dS \＆hhS 7 ．．． c6 8 §g3 §a6 \(90-0\) ©d7 10 h3 亿c5 11 Qe3 cxdS 12 cxdS－ Kveinys－Kupreichik，Rim－ avska Sobota 1990） 8 0－0 f5
 exf4 12 \＆xf4 \(0 x f 413\) Qxf4 QeS－Byrne－Weinstein USA Ch．1960／61．
d） \(6 \ldots\) Qc6 \(70-0\) Qd7 8 Qe3 e5 9 d5 Qd4 10 Qxd4 exd4 11 QbS \＆e5 12 乌bxd4 c5 13 dxc6 bxc6 14 \＃c1 Qa6 with sufficient play for the pawn．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
5 & \(\cdots\) & \(0-0\) \\
6 & Q \\
\hline 3 & Qc6
\end{tabular}

A provocative sortie．An－ other possibllity is 6 ．．．eS 7 dS（202）but it doesn＇t real－ ly throw down any serious challenge and White should be slightly better．Some examples：

a） \(7 \ldots\) c6 \(8 \mathrm{ge} 2(8 \mathrm{h4}\) ＊＇b6 9 Qe2 乌a6，Keene－ Lauri，Malta 1985 and now the amazingly unclear line

10 Qe3！？娄xb2 11 Qa4 第a3 12 Qc1 弟b4＋13 Qd2 海a3 14 Ef5！gxf5 15 gh3 学xh3 16 gxh3 Efxe4 gives Black has compensation for the queen） 8 ．．．cxdS（Black can delay the exchange but this is not going to alter the basic features of the posi－ tion，e．g． 8 ．．．a6 90 gS h6 10 Qe3 cxdS 11 cxd5 \(\triangle \mathrm{bd} 712\) \(0-0\) bS 13 a3 Q bb 14 b3 0 fd 7 15 Mc2 Qb7士 Novikov－S Ivanov，Tuzla 1989．Black has misplayed the position and is left without a con－ structive plan－there is no way to take the initiative on the queenside，and ．．．f5 is going to be very difficult to achieve） 9 cxd5：
a） 9 ．．．乌a6 10 0－0＠c7 11 a4 a6 12 Eb 1 Qd7 13 Qf4 QeS 14 酎d2 hS 15 f 3 Novi－ kov－Gufeld，Tbllisl 1988. This Benoni－type position is dynamically equal．
a2） \(9 \ldots 4 \mathrm{Qbd} 10 \mathrm{Qe} \mathrm{ab}\) 11 a4 hS 12 §f1 QcS 13 Qd2与gg 14 Qxc5 dxcS iS Qc4 b6 16 aS bS 17 4． b 6 登a7 18 d6
 21 f4 exf4 22 eS \＆d7 23 Exd7 Exd7 24 ，Ge4 Qf5 25
乌dS QxeS 28 Qe7＋\＃xe7 29 ． dxe7 Qxb2 30 Ëae1 0－1 Ro－ driguez－Vogt，Thessalo－ niki Ol． 1988
b） \(7 \ldots\) aS 8 Qe2＠a6 9 h4！（203）
（This advance of the \(h\)－

pawn is a trademark of the Q）ge2 systems．White hopes to weaken Black＇s kingside structure in order to make counterplay on that wing more difficult to achieve） \(9 \ldots\) c6 10 h 5 cxd5 11 cxdS Q）cS 12 OgS a4 13岁d2 営aS 14 f3 Qd7 15 莫f2 bS 16 b 4 axb 317 axb 3 㒸b6 18 Qe3 b4 19 ＠a4 Qxa4 20 bxa4 Qh6 21 Efhb1o Novikov －－J Polgar，Oberena 1991.
c） \(7 \ldots\) Qbd7 8 Q e 2 aS 9 h4 h5 10 OgS ©cS 11 Oxh5 gxhS 12 xhS with compen－ sation for the material．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
7 & d5 & QeS \\
8 & QeZ & c6 \\
9 & f4 & Eed7 \\
10 & Qe3 & hS
\end{tabular}

Considerably more com－ bative than \(10 \ldots\) cxdS 11 exdS as 12 0－0 EOcS 13 fS Qd7 14 首d2，Keene－Jassem， Dubai 1984．Black is com－ pletely passive and can on－ ly watch as White prepares to advance．

11 h 3
Alternatively， 11 Qf3

Qbbt？ 12 b3 气fxdS（An ad－ venturous plan，but Black＇s last move would not make much sense unless he plays this） 13 QxdS exdS 14 exdS Qxal is 告xa1 fS 16 Ele2o Nabill－Khait，Moscow 1991. As is nearly always the case with such combina－ tions，Black has acquired an extra exchange，but has paid a heavy price with the exposure of the dark squares on the kingside－ a weakness from which he will suffer for the rest of the middlegame．


12 Of3
Inviting complications． The sensible course is 12 QxcS dxcS 13 eS with a solid plus for White．
12 … 若b6

Avoiding the hideous trap 13 粚d2？管xb2！ 14 宸 \(x b 2\) © \(\mathrm{d}_{3}+\)
\begin{tabular}{lll}
13 & \(\cdots\) & Ub4 \\
14 & eS & dxeS \\
15 & a3 & 彩aS
\end{tabular}

15 ．．．峟xc4？ 16 Qe2 wins a piece．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
16 & fxeS & Qfd7 \\
17 & \(0-0\) & QxeS \\
18 & Qxh5 &
\end{tabular}

The justification of White＇s play．If 18 ．．．gxhS 19 QxhS and White＇s forces pour into the kingside attack while Black＇s queen is cut off on the far extremity of the board． Note that White could not play b4 on move 17 or 18 since ．．．㱛xa3 attacks the knight on c3．Remarkably， the white bishop now re－ mains en prise on h5 for a further 12 moves．

18 ．．．气xce4
More resistance was offered by 18 ．．．©cd3，cutt－ ing out the fork possibility of b 4 ．

19 Dd4（205）


Now this works． 20 ．．．梅xa3
The only chance was 20 ．．．exd4 21 bxaS dxc3 though 22 Qxg6！fxg6 23 Exf8＋ Qxf8 24 狊c2 favours White． 21 Qxc5 宏xc3
What follows is slaugh－ ter．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 22 & & 営 \\
\hline 23 & Ela & 崄2 \\
\hline & \＃f2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Finally forcing the win of a piece．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 24 & \(\cdots\) & Qe3 \\
\hline 25 & Qxe3 & 首xb4 \\
\hline 26 & \＃a4 & 炎e7 \\
\hline 26. & ．．Wbs & Qc3． \\
\hline 27 & OcS & 少d8 \\
\hline 28 & \(0 \times f 8\) & Oxf8 \\
\hline 29 & dxc6 & 少b6 \\
\hline 30 & 0xg6 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular} At last．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
30 & \(\ldots\) & \(f x g 6\) \\
31 & \＃yd5 & 末h8 \\
32 & Qf6 & \(1-0\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{12) Saemisch Panno}

The Saemisch, named after Fritz Saemisch, a German grandmaster who once defeated Capablanca, came to prominence in the 1920s. It is the most directly vigorous attack at White's disposal against the King's Indian Defence and at the same time it can also be used as a purely positional weapon. Thus White's pawn at f3 can be regarded either as the anchor for a general kingside pawn storm with g4, h4 and hS, usually combined with queenside castlling, or as a means of strengthening White's centre prior to a positional struggle in which White will attempt to use his big centre to constrict Black's game.

In the Saemisch Panno, Black maintains the option between the traditional Panno-style counter-attack on the queenside by ... a6 and ... \#b8 or a more direct thrust in the centre with ... eS. White, in turn, has alternative strategies at his
disposal; he can go vigorously for a kingside attack, castling long and advancing the h-pawn early; or he can choose a more sedate positional line based on maintaining his strong pawn centre.

Play in the Saemisch Panno is complex, and further practical tests are required to establish the best procedure for both sides.

Game 23
Kasparor - Spassky Bugojno 1983
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & d4 & \(4 \mathrm{f6}\) \\
\hline 2 & c4 & g6 \\
\hline 3 & 5) 3 & \(\mathrm{O}_{8} 7\) \\
\hline 4 & e4 & d6 \\
\hline 5 & f3 & Qc6 \\
\hline 6 & Qe3 & 66 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The King's Indian is a most unusual choice for Spassky, whose style tends to the classical in the opening, but doubtless the former World Champion wanted to revive memories of his win at Tilburg 1981 against Kasparov with this

\section*{178 Saemisch Panno}
defence．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
7 & 公ge2 & gibs \\
8 & vid2 \\
9 & h4（207）
\end{tabular}

\(9 \ldots \mathrm{hS}\) is examined in the next game．Other possibil－ ities are：
a） 9 ．．．eS appears，on re－ cent evidence，to be okay for Black．After 10 dS ©aS \(110 \mathrm{~g}^{3}\) we have the follow－ ing：
a1） 11 ．．．c67？is a com－ pletely unsound sacrifice， e．g． 12 b4 cxdS 13 cxdS §o6 14 dxc6 bxc6 is \(\mathrm{Hb} 1+\)－Ta－ taev－Zimmerman，Mo－ scow 1991.
a2） 11 ．．．cs 12 glb1（This is illogical．White should pro－ bably bite the bullet and play 12 hS ，rather than the hesitant text） \(12 \ldots\) b6（ \(12 \ldots\) hS 13 b4 cxb4 14 乌a4 b5 15 cxbS axbS 16 Exb4 Qa6 17包b2 \(\triangle \mathrm{d} 718 \mathrm{a} 4\) 气c4 occurr－ ed in Chevallier－Dufrenoy， French League 1991．Black has tremendous counter－ play here．If 14 Exb4 §d7！
\(\triangle \ldots\) ．．． cS and \(\ldots\) Qf6．White is hampered by weak dark squares and exposed h4－ pawn） 13 Oe2 hS 14 b3 \(\frac{\mathrm{d} 7}{}\) 1S a4 \＆h7 16 Qf2 \(Q f 617\) 乌f1 Qg \(718 \mathrm{b4}\) 巳） b 719 bS axbS 20 axbS Portisch－Nijboer， Wijk aan Zee 1990．This is a sticky position where White isn＇t really going anywhere．
b） 9 ．．．Ele8 is too slow． The following material all looks good for White：
b1） 10 hS bS \(11 \mathrm{hxg} 6(11 \mathrm{~g} 4\) b4 12 乌dS eS 13 hxg6 fxg6 14 Oh6 Oh8 15 OgS exd4 16 \(0-0-0 \pm\) Christiansen－J Watson，USA 1984） 11 ．．． hxg6 12 0－0－0 eS 13 dS ©aS \(14 \triangleq \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{b4} 15 \triangleq \mathrm{~b} 1 \mathrm{c6} 16 \mathrm{Oh6}\) Qh8 17 b3 cxdS 18 cxdS 19004 ©as \(20 \quad \mathrm{Og}_{5} \quad \mathrm{Og} 721\) 0 O 6 Oh 822 Zh 2 as 23 \＃dh1 a4 \(240^{2 g S} 0_{g} 725\) Qh6 Qh8 26 ©fS Oxfs 27 exfS axb3
 fxg6 fxg 6310 e 3 仓hS＋ 32 Qd4 \(\triangleq \mathrm{d} 3+33\) फ由 c 2 Qxd4 34酐5 气df4 35 ExhS ＊＊xg6＋Dg7 37 fxe 47888

 －Wibe，Gjovik 1991.
b2） \(10 \mathrm{Qh6} \mathrm{Qh8} 11 \mathrm{hS} \mathrm{eS} 12\) dS 乌d4 13 hxg 6 fxg 614 ge cS 15 dxce Qxct 16 QdS Qe6 17 Ob6 当d7 18 0－0－0－ybcs
 Qe3 2 bb 822 Øec3 Дec8 23 \(Q_{g}\) b6 24 \＆e3 今d 425 ScdS Qxd5 26 QxdS（4c6 27 c5 0 xcS 28 Qc4 \(\hat{0} 6\)

Qe7＋Qxe7 30 Qxe6 告xe6 31范xh7＋安f8 32 日xe7＋あe8 33
兽e6＋1－0 Murey－W Wat－ son，Montpellier 1985.

10 hS （208）

a） \(10 \ldots\) Ife8？！transposes to \(9 \ldots\) ．．． 810 hS bS．A good rule of thumb for the Saem－ Isch is that ．．．Be8 is too slow when White is trying to dellver mate with h4 and hs．The waste of time ln－ volved in the rook move is too much of a luxury．
b） \(10 \ldots\) Qa5 11 Qg 3 ！eS 12 hxg6 fxg6 13 dxeS dxeS 14 cxbS axbS 15 \＆xbs c6 16 \＆d6 Qe6 17 日di Qxa2 18 b4 \(\pm\) Petursson－Brendel， ReykJavik Open 1990.
c） \(10 \ldots\) eS 11 dS QaS 12 Qge 3 bxc4（ \(12 \ldots\) of 13 cxbS cxdS 14 exdS axbs 15 b4 Qc4 16 Oxc4 bxc4 17 Eb1 QfS 18 QxfS gxfS \(19 \mathrm{~h} 6 \pm\) Razuvaev－Hracek，Stary Smokovec 1990） 13 0－0－0 Qd7 14 hxg6 fxg6 15 \＆b1 EbS？（15 ．．．\(\boxed{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{b} 7 \pm / \pm\) ） 16 b 4
cxb3 17 QxbS cS，Tìmman－ Kasparov，Bugojno 1982， and now 18 Qe2！！is winning for White．

\section*{11 g4！？（209）}

New at the time． 11 hxg 6 fxg6 12 \＆f4 e6 13 Qxc4 dS （13 ．．．柆e8！？ ） 14 Qb3 Exb3 15 axb3 dxe4 16 0－0－0 exf3 17 gxf3 \＆e7！，Weih－Spas－ sky，Bundesliga 1983，leads to wild，uncharted territo－ ry．

Another possibility is（11 hxg6 fxg6 12 乌f4） 12 ．．．QaS 13 0－0－0 e6 14 g 4 c 615 gS QhS 16 QxhS gxhS 17 f4．t Murey－Kljako，Cannes Open 1989.


11
Qxg4！？
Spassky，now a highly conservative player，reverts to a sturm und drang sac－ rifice，reminiscent of his youth．

If \(11 \ldots\) Qb4 12 Qg 3 Qdd3 13 Qxd3 cxd3 14 gS Qdd7 15学h2 \(\pm\) ，or alternatively 11 ．．． ［e8 \(120-0-0 \pm\) ．
\[
12 \mathrm{fxg}^{4} \text { 仓)xg } 4(210)
\]


Not 13 hxg 6 ？ 0 xe 3 ！ 14
 \(0-0-0\) 比b8！with the attack， while \(13 \mathrm{OgS}^{\mathrm{g}} 6!14 \mathrm{Qh} 3\)（ 14 Qh4？g5 \(15 \operatorname{Og}^{3}\) 仓b4！ 14 … 0 b4！ 15 \＆ct hxgs 16 exg 4 f 5 is unclear．

Instead，a complete mess arose from 13 ．．．©b4 14 Qf4 Oxd4 15 Qxc4 Qf \(2+16\) फf1 Ec2 17 乌d1 ©ce3＋ 18 Qxe3 Qxe3＋ 19 由xf2 Qxe \(^{2}\) 20 曾c3 气e5 21 Eag1 co 22 ©f1 Hort－Miles，London 1983.

Lasker once said of Alek－ hine that he was like a child who would prefer to see the pieces dropped straight from the box ran－ domly onto the board，such was his love of wild chaotic positions．This extract， which is virtually imposs－ ible to assess reminds us of that．
\[
13 \quad \ldots . \quad 母 x e 3
\]

13 ．．．eS \(14 \mathrm{hxg6}\)（ 14 d 5 ？ ©d4 15 包xd4？ 15 ．．．exd4 16 Qxd4 Qh6－＋； 15 hxg6 Qf5！－＋； 15 气g \(11(\infty) 14 \ldots \mathrm{fxg}\) is Oh 3
（15 OgS！t） 15 ．．．©xe3 16 Qe6＋Wh8 17 首xe3士．

14 ＊xe3 e6
\(14 \ldots\) eS 15 dS Qd4 16
尚xd4士

15 hxg （211）


Kasparov first thought that 15 ．．．fxgb could be met by \(16 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{h} 3\) and that in the variation 16 ．．．唯5 \(5+17\) 由bl
 Qb4 White extricated him－ self advantageously by 20 a3，but White must be con－ tent with a draw as 20 ．．．
 sure on the king＇s position， e．g． 22 Qd 5 ！？（ 22 乌bl Qb4＋ －） \(22 \ldots\) exdS 23 省c3 学a4！

Therefore the theoretical verdict on this super－sharp line is that 15 ．．．fxg6 is le－ vel．The rest of this game is thematic and fascinating， but not theoretically accu－ rate．

16 yd2？ 16 乌g1！士． 16 ge8？
\(16 . .\). 宸f6100．
17 Qg1！d5
If \(17 \ldots\) eS 18 dS \＆）d4 19 Qh3！？planning 肘2，QgS with attack．

18 Qf3 aS
19 e5？！
Better was 19 ghh2！，e．g． \(19 \ldots\) eS 20 Hh7！exd4 21
 Q xd5＋winning．

19


20 Oh3？
20 Qa4！eliminates Bla－ ck＇s counterplay．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
20 & \(\ldots\) & \(c 5\) \\
21 & \(d x c 5 ?!\) &
\end{tabular}

21 屋dh2 exd4 22 Qxd4㨡660．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 21 & & 第c7 \\
\hline 22 & 兄f4 & Qc6！ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

22 ．．．峟 \(x c 5\) ？ 23 气g5！+ ．
23 Fel d4！
24 Exd4
24 Qxd4？气xeS干．
24 … 乌xd4（213）
25 © xd 4 ！
If 25 宸 \(x d 4\) 㒸b7 26 桨f2 （26 仓） 4 \＃ed8 27 学c3 登d3 wins for Black） 26 ．．．Oh \(6+\)



尚有光xc3！！－＋．
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{25} \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Time pressure．Better first 26 ．．．鸴b6！and on 27曷2 2 Ed8．

If 27 ．．． \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { Qd7 } \\ 28 & \text { Qxe6！fxe6 }\end{array}\)


28 钴 b 4 Cd 3 （214）


29 尚 \(\mathrm{h} 7+\)
29 §ce4！and after 29 ．．． c3 30 If11，which should win，e．g． \(30 \ldots\) ．．．\({ }^{-1} b 431\) 乌f6＋


© 8 f8 32 \(2 \times \mathrm{xf7}\) ！


32 愛g \(8+\) of8
 0－1（time）


The position is no longer good for White，e．g． 34 Qxe6 when White could survive after \(34 \ldots\) … \(66 ? 35\)

 but should lose to 34 ．．．聯b4！ 35 乌a4 Qe7！ 36 湈g8＋ कc7 37 H7 \＃bd8！An in－ credibly dramatic and com－ plicated clash between the leading representatives of their generations．

Game 24
Ql Jing Xuan－Blackstock Chna 1981
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & d4 & Qf6 \\
\hline 2 & c4 & g6 \\
\hline 3 & Qc3 & \(0{ }^{0} 7\) \\
\hline 4 & e4 & d6 \\
\hline 5 & \(f 3\) & Q06 \\
\hline 6 & Sge2 & 66 \\
\hline 7 & Qe3 & 0－0 \\
\hline 8 & 14 & hS \\
\hline 9 & ＊d2 & ［b8 \\
\hline 10 & 0－0－0 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The alternatives in this sharp position are：
a） \(10 \hat{\mathrm{c}} 1\) is a solid way for White to continue which has scored well in practice whether Black opts for the unorthodox plan of ．．．乌e8 and ．．． \(\mathrm{f5}\) or the standard ．．．e5：
a1） \(10 \ldots\) ．．．e8 11 乞b3 f5 12 \(0-0-0\) Eff 13 exf5（ 13 Qe2士） 13 ．．．Qxf5（216）

（This is an interesting and unusual plan as both sides have sacrificed time） 14 D e 2 （ 14 Qh6 eS 15 Oxg7 \({ }^{6 \times g 7} 16\) dxeS dxes 17 le3 Qd3 Qxd3 19 Zxd3 \＃bd8 20 Zhd1 \(\overline{\mathrm{Exd}} 21\) Ixd3 e4 22 Exe4 \＆xe4 23 酋xe4 新xe4 24 fxe4 ©） 25 ДJd （1）2 气xxc4 27 日d7＋宙f6 28
气d2 \＃h1 31 Zxb7 气x \(x 432\) \＃b6＋由es 33 \＃xa6 \({ }^{\text {gh}} 3+34\)
 \＃h3 +37 He2 g4 38 I \(x h 5+\) कf4 39 Qf1 g3 40 ©xg © \({ }^{6} 3^{3} \quad 1 /-1 / 2\) Bykhovsky－ Smirin，Beijing 1991） \(14 \ldots\) bS 15 Qh6 bxe4 16 Qxc4＋d5 17
 axb3 \(仓 x d 420\) 奖 3 §xb3 \(21 \mathrm{ch}^{2} 2 \times \mathrm{xd} 3+22 \mathrm{Zxd} \mathrm{cS} 23\)

 Idd1 学aS 28 IfeS c4 29 Igs 4）d3 30 \＆xdS＊a4 31 \＃d2
 c3 34 Inc2 送c4 35 Ixce \(1-0\). Novikov－Smirin，USSR Ch． 1990.
a2） \(10 \ldots\) eS 11 dS Qd4 12 Qb3（12 乌b3 cS 13 dxc6 bxc6 14 Qxd4 exd4 15 Qxd4 Qe6 16 ㅍc 1 宸aS 17 b 3 occurred in Gheorghiu－Schaffner，Bern Open 1991 and Bischoff－ Sznapik，Biel 1991．Black has insufficient compensa－ tion） 13 axb3 cS（217）


14 Qe2 Qd7 15 Sd1 \％b6 16 4）f2 世xb3 17 Qd3 2 ffc 18 \(0-0\) Qe8 19 号 3 3 治b6 20 b4 4）d7 21 bxcS dxcS 22 Qh6 Qh8 \(23 \mathrm{f4}\)（White has ex－ cellent compensation for the pawn） 23 ．．．算d6 24 fS b5 25 Qf2 bxc4 26 Qxc4 Mb6 27 Qh3 乌f6 28 fxg6 l＇xg6 29 乞gS QbS 30 QxbS クxbS 31 乌e6 Zb7 32 首gS
bh7 33 \＃g 3 乌g 444 Qf8 1－0 Christiansen－Nunn，Bun－ desliga 1988.
b） 10 亿）dS bS 11 cxbS（218） is a typically unusual approach introduced into top－level tournament chess by the fertile brain of Ya － kov Murey：


11 ．．．\＃̈xbs 12 色ef4 \＃b8 13 Ec1 Qd7 14 仓xf6＋Qxf6 15 QdS Og7 16 OgS \＃e8 17 Inc4
 gxhS QxdS 21 exdS \＆d8 22苟aS e6 23 Exc7 道xdS 24苗xdS exdS +25 安d1 气e6 26 Zd7 Ebb 27 Qd2 Exxd4 28 hxg6 fxg6 29 Eg \＆f 40 Qxa6 Qh6 31 \＃g 4 \＃e3 32 Exd6 \＃̈xf3 33 \＃̈dxg6＋曹h7
 36 者xd2 d4 37 a4 由hS 38
 Qe2＋安gS 41 a 思h7 42 b 4 d3 43 Qxd3 \({ }^{\text {gh }} 2+44\) Qe2 1－0 Murey－Nunn，London 1983. Black may do better with 11 ．．．axbS 12 \＆xf6＋Qxf6 13 Efc1 Qd7 14 g 4 hxg 415 h 5 eS Nikolic－Cvorovic，Yugo－ slav Ch． 1991.


11 detal？
An unusual move which tries to exploit the fact that Black has moves the h－pawn from h7．

Other posslbilities in this sharp position：
a） \(11 \mathrm{Qh6}\) is dealt with in a later game，Mestel－Gu－ feld．
b） 11 ©dS（This is identi－ cal to the later game，Lau－ tier－Piket，except that Black has the move ．．．Ie8 instead of ．．．\＃b8．This is an indication of how fiend－ ishly difficult the transpo－ sitional subtleties are in the Saemisch Panno and that the slightest nuance in the order in which Black plays one of his three key moves，．．．a6，．．． gb 8 and ．．． Ele8 may make a worid of difference to the assess－ ment of the position） 11 ．．． bxc4 \(12 \mathrm{~g} 4(12 \mathrm{Qhb}\) थ）xd5 13 exd5 ©b4 14 \＆c3 c6 15 g 4
 Qe6 18 Oxg7 客xg7 19 宙b
乌a7 22 gh2 4 b 423 Qb 3 乌c6
 Wa1 \(\mathrm{Ex} \times 327 \mathrm{axb} 3\) 乌b4 28 Ec3 \＆c2＋ 29 甹 a 2 Eb8 0－1 Petursson－Nunn，Lucerne Oi．1982） \(12 \ldots\) ．．． 0 xd5 13 exd5 Qb4 14 §c3 c6 15 Qxc4 cxd5 16 Qb3 岗b6 17 Ehg 1 Qe6 18 gxh5 ofs 19 Eg5 Qh6 20 Edg1 Qxg5 21 \＃xgs e6 22 hxg6 fxg6 23 hs \＃b7 24 䊅2 Zg7 25 h6 \(\mathrm{gb7} 26\) \＃xf5 exf5 27 㐌 \(\times \mathrm{g} 6+\) 安h8 28 当g2 f4 29 Og1 \(\mathrm{Eg}^{8}\) 0－1 Kuligowski－ Nunn，Wijk aan Zee 1983.

Apart from this immed－ iate central advance，Black can also consider：
a） \(11 . .\). Q 1712 cxbS axbS \(13 \measuredangle x b S\) eS 14 dxe5 \(\& x e 5\) is
 Ec6 18 气f4 乌es 19 Qd3 §ct
 Tilburg 1982 but Ree later improved against Nunn at Wijk aan Zee 1983 with 12 g4！©xd4 13 Qxd4 es 14 QxeS dxeS 15 g5 b4 16 今cd5乌xd5 17 乞xd5 りe6 18 当e3士．
b） 11 ．．．bxc4 12 Qxc4 e5 （This was originally re－ commend by me（RK，Mo－ dern Chess Theory 1981\} and tried out by John Nunn against Vaganian： 13 dxeS （13 Q \(\times \mathrm{g}_{6}\) exd4 14 Qxd4 Eb4！） 13 ．．．乌xe5 14 Qb3
 Qd4 宙h7 18 仓d3（18 \(\sum \mathrm{h} 3 \infty\) Nunn） 18 ．．．Qct 19 exf6

Qxf6 20 亿d5 0 g 721 Qa4 \＆） 522 Oxd7 쓩xd7 23 \＃̈d2 पb7 24 气当xd3
 ＂ye7 28 g 3 a4 29 Icc2 a3 30 \＆xa3 愛e5 31 气c4 学xg3 32希f1迸xh4 33 a3





 yf8 \(49 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{g5} 50\) Qe3 Exff 51 Ïxf4 gxf4 52 \＆）g2 f3 53

 Qg5 4 gk 458 亿e6 h4 59 亿xc7 h3 0－1 Vaganian－Nunn， Skelleftea World Cup 1989.
c） 11 ．．．b4 12 乡cd5 is possible when the exchange of the black knight on \(\mathbf{f} 6\) will ease White＇s plan of pushing through with g4． Meanwhile，Black has lost the chance of opening lines on the queenside against White＇s king．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
11 & \(\cdots\) & eS \\
12 & dxeS & QxeS（220）
\end{tabular}


\section*{13 c 5 ！}

White cannot risk cap－ turing the pawn on bs，e．g． 13 exbS axbS 14 §xbS Qa6 when Black＇s rook，bishop and knight are co－ordinated to attack b2，and the extra pawn is certainly not worth this．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 13 & ．．． & b4 \\
\hline 14 & Qeds & QxdS \\
\hline 15 & 栍dS & Qe6 \\
\hline 16 & \＄b & 妆d7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

White has strengthened his position and left Black with a number of weak points to defend．Black could improve on the text with \(16 \ldots\) c．．c6 17 Qb6（17 4xb4？粊aS） 17 ．．．dS 18 exd5 cxdS 19 Qd4 when the situa－ tion is not so clear．

17 Qe2 権a
This does not help Black＇s cause．Better is 17 ．．．f5，which would at least help to confuse the situa－ tion．

18 b3 HaS
If 18 ．．．梅a3 19 樂c2 with the threat of Qc1．

19 学c2
Aparently just defending the c5－pawn，but White＇s real intention is to ex－ change queens and achieve a very favourable ending， where Black has numerous vulnerable pawns．

19 ．．．Zfe8
Black was afraid of f4 followed by Qe7＋and Qc6，
trapping Black＇s queen．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 20 & cxd6 & cxd6 \\
\hline 21 & He7 & －\(\times\) xc7 \\
\hline 22 & （2xc7 & ［2］ 7 \\
\hline 23 & ¢ \(\times \times 6\) & \(\mathrm{Ea8}\) \\
\hline 24 & －xd6 & fS \\
\hline 25 & OgS & ［ee8 \\
\hline 26 & Sc7 & Oxb3 \\
\hline 27 & 人xa8 & Qxa2＋ \\
\hline 28 & 曷xa2 & ［ \(\mathrm{xa}^{\text {8 }}+\) \\
\hline 29 & \＃ab & 1－0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Game 25
Bellaviky－Kasparov Linares 1990
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & d4 & Q66 \\
\hline 2 & c4 & g6 \\
\hline 3 & Sc3 & \(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{g} 7} 7\) \\
\hline 4 & e4 & d6 \\
\hline 5 & \(f 3\) & 0－0 \\
\hline 6 & Qe3 & 406 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

With the white queen on d1 the manoeuvre 乌ge2－ci －b3 is too slow，viz． 7 ＠ge2 \＃bb 8 Qcl eS 9 Qb3 exd4 10 Qxd4 仑h5！ 11 Qe2 今f4！ Ree－Keene，Caorle 1972.


8 ．．．Qd7 9 乌c1［e8 10 Qb3 4 mb 11 Qe2 bS 12 cxbS axbS 13 QxbS 0 aS 14 气xaS QxbS 15 b4 cS 16 gbl，Quin－ teros－Planinc，Amsterdam 1973， 16 ．．．cxb4 17 辟b4 ExaS 18 a4
\[
9.4 \mathrm{c} 1(221)
\]

This is the main alterna－ tive to the aggressive 9 h 4 ． Other ways to play more
conservatively are：
a） 9 a3 Qd7 10 b 4 bS 11 cxbS axbS 12 dS \＆eS 13 乌d 4 c6ळ．
b） 9 ［bl bS 10 cxbS axbS 11 b4 e5 12 dS \＆e7 13 g4 c6 with chances for both sides according to Kasparov．


There is a very interest－ ing black plan here of re－ cent provenance which looks time consuming but is Justified by the fact that White wastes three moves to get his king＇s knight to b3．It is certainly worth considering： 9 ．．．Se8t？ 10 Qb3 fS 11 De2 Qf6 \(120-0\) eS 13 dS f4 14 of 2 Qe7 15 cS g 5 16 Qaf g \(4 \quad 17\) cxd6 cxd6 18
 Qxf3 Qg4 21 峟xd6 Qxf3 22 gxf3 \＆xe4 23 苟e6＋कh8 24
每g2 当hS 27 Og3 fxg3 28
 \＆c3 Qh6 31 Gf2 Qc8 32 Zh 1
 Ec8 35 酱xg5 0xg5 36 贵e2 bS 37 （ \({ }^{(1) d}\) 3

39 仓di घg7 40 区c2 区gc7 41乌f2 aS 42 zafS h6 43 Qh3 Qe7 44 \＆d2 \(\sum \mathrm{xd} 245\) Exxc7 Exc7 46 安xd2 Qb4＋ 47 bd3日g7 48 Ef3 Qe1 49 \＆f2
 a \(452 \mathrm{ZfS} \mathrm{Eg}^{2}+53\) be3 Exb 2 54 \＃̈xeS \＃xa2 55 d6 Ea3＋ 56㤙d4 \＃la1 S7 \＃̈xbS a3 S8 कौeS
 61 te6 所e1 62 d7 登xe4＋63 कd5 1－0 Beliavsky－Smirin， USSR Ch．1990．The plan of ．．．©e8 and ．．．f5 is a Smirin patent－see also the notes to Qi－Blackstock．

10 \＆b3
The alternative is 10 dS ©d4 and now（222）：

a） \(11 乌 \mathrm{~b} 3\) ¢ \(\mathrm{xb} 312 \mathrm{axb} 3 \mathrm{c5}\) 13 b4 cxb4 14 乌a4 bS 15 cxb5 axbS 16 安xb4 \(\sum^{\text {e } 817}\) Qc3 Oh6 18 Qxh6 奖h4＋with adequate counterplay for Black，Gheorghiu－Sich，Ba－ den Baden 1987．White should probably play on the other side of the board with 13 g 4 h 514 h 3 Qh7 15 \(0-0-0 \mathrm{~h} 416 \mathrm{~g} 5 \infty\) ．
b） \(11 乌 1 \mathrm{e} 2 \mathrm{cS} 12 \mathrm{dxc} 6\) and
now：
bi） \(12 \ldots\) ．．．\(x\) xc6！ 13 愊d1 Qe6 14 Q）dS bS 15 cxbS axbS 16 Qxf6＋Qxf6 Zsu Polgar－ Gufeld，Wellington 1988. Black has adequate coun－ terplay．
b2）Also possible is 12 ．．． bxc6 13 Qxd4 exd4 14 Qxd4
 17 0－0 Gavric，Banja Luka 1987.

The attentive reader will notlce that these variations are extraordinarily similar to those in \(\mathbf{Q i}\)－Blackstock． The difference here is that Black doesn＇t have the weakness on h4 to work with．



The alternative here is 11
．．．Qxd4 12 Qxd4 and：
a） \(12 \ldots\) c6 13 ge2 bS 14
\(0-0\) Qe6 15 b 3 is considered in＇b2Z＇below．
b） \(12 \ldots\) Qe6 13 Qe2：
b1）An interesting gambit is \(13 \ldots\) cS 14 Qe3 bS 15 cxb5
axbS 16 QxbS dS 17 QxcS dxe4 18 Qxf8 首xf8 19 豝d6
 QdS 22 宽f2 乌f4 23 a 4 Oxb2 24 Iabl Of6 25 h 4 Ze8 26 Qf1 5 c 827 be3 \(0 \mathrm{dS}+28\)
 Qxh4＋ 31 由f1 Qxh3＋ 32 Exh3 登xa4 33 \＆d6 h5 34 Gh2 Qe7 35 Efd2 \＆e3＋36 Be2 Qxd6 37 Exd6 \＆f5 38
 Brenninkmeijer－Bosboom， Dutch Ch． 1988.
b2） \(13 \ldots\) c6（224）and now：

b21） 14 a4 d5 15 cxdS cxdS 16 eS EDd7 17 f4 f6 18 exf6
 Beliavsky－Nunn，Reykjavik 1988.
b22） \(140-0\) bS 15 b3 bxc4 16 bxc 4 药a5（ \(16 \ldots\) CS 17 Qe3 ©d7 18 Dablt Petursson－ Timoshenko，Moscow GMA 1989） 17 Eac1 Efd8 18 फh1
 21 f4－Hjartarson－Nunn， Rotterdam 1989.

12 Ed1
This doesn＇t allow Black
the possibility of ．．． CS and is therefore more accurate than 12 Qe2 c5 13 Qc2 Qe6 14 Ea3 Sc6 15 0－0 Edd7 16 f4 4dd4 17 Od3 bSw Beliav－ sky－Hjartarson，Reykjavik 1991.

It is interesting to note that following their en－ counters against Nunn，Bel－ lavsky stayed true to the white side，but Hjartarson was converted to the black cause．



White＇s most consistent course－all alternatives are inferior： 14 b3？！蔡aS is 0－0 b4 16 亿bl c5； 14 f4？b4！ 15 fxe5 bxc3 16 并c2 \(\Delta \mathrm{g} 4\) ！；and \(140-0\) ？ \(\mathrm{cS} 15 \triangleq \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{~b} 416\) 乌b1 Qe6 17 胃c1 炭c7．
\begin{tabular}{llll}
14 & \(\ldots\) & axb5 \\
15 & b4 &
\end{tabular}

White should avoid iS \(0-0 \mathrm{~b} 416 \mathrm{Q}\) b1 dS干 but has two other choices here which are worth considera－
tion：
a） 15 a3！？b4？！ 16 axb4 Exb4 17 f4 cS 18 fxeS cxd4 19 exf6 dxe3 20 崖xd6．
b） \(15 \mathrm{~b} 3!\) ？
\[
15 \quad \ldots \quad c 5
\]

Black has to play actively to stay in the game．Passive options to avoid are：
a） \(15 \ldots\) Qd7？！ 16 \＆fc2 Qe8 17 0－0 峟e7 18 登fe1 fS 19 f4 Q）g4 20 Oxg 4 fxg 421 eS！ Webb－Kondali，Corr 1983.
b） 15 ．．．当c7 160000 d 717 Ect．

\section*{16 Dc2}

This is doubtless best if only because Beliavsky re－ peated it in a later game． Others：
a） \(16 \mathrm{bxcS}!? ~ b 4 \quad 17\) Q bi dxcs 18 \＆b3 光xd2＋ 19 Qtxd2－
b） \(16 \mathrm{Q} 3!?\) sets a trap． but Black does not ned to fall into it，namely 16 ．．． cxb4 17 QxbS \＆xe4？ 18 fxe4 \({ }^{2} x b S 19\) QxbS \(\triangle f 3+20\) gxf3 Qc3 \(210-0++-\)

16
．．．cxb4
17 4）xb4（226）


17 ．．．Qe6
Although this move bears the approval of the World Champion，one player was brash enough to seek to improve on it，and his eff－ orts were rewarded with success： 17 … 莯aS 18 gbl乡cc4 19 Qxc4 bxc4 20 崖xd6
 23 fxg 4 Qxc3 24 当gS Qxd2＋ 25 荘xd2 Ee8 26 崖f4 c3 27 Ge2 㒸d4 0－1 Xu Jun－Bel－ otti，Novi Sad Ol 1990．Nev－ ertheless，by replying to 17 ．．．峟aS with 18 OodS Qe6 19 \(0-0\) QxdS 20 QxdS 宸xd2 21 Qxf6＋Qxf6 22 릉xd2 White maintains an edge．

18 0－0
Risky for White is 18 4xb5？！焂a5．

18
㛜aS
18 ．．．Ec4 19 Qxc4 Oxc4 20 Enc6 loses material．

19 肖 \(\times d 6\)
19 QcdS！？ 19 ．．．© 04
19 ．．．Zfd8？ 20 Qb6！is clearly better for White． 20 Qxc4 bxc4
Not 20 ．．．Qxc4？ 21 Q）c6年xc3 22 Qd4 获c2 23 Qxf6 Qxf124Qe7＋कh825 岁eS＋－ 21 E06 宏xc3 22 Qd4 Qxe4！ 23 Qxc3 \＆xd6 24 Qxg7 कx．xg7 25 Q）xbs \＆f5 26 \＆d7（227） 26 ．．．
It is barely credible that

this position should be the starting point for a whole game．Nevertheless，this is true and it turns out that 26 ．．． ga is a prefectly ad－ equate alternative： 27 Q cs Exa2 28 \＃ff fxe6 30 国e2 字f6 31 登e4 登a6
 Elct 导e7 35 h 4 Qd5 36 g 4 h 6 37 f4 c2 38 कौe1 h5 39 gxh5



 Qf5 48 कd3 由g \(4^{1 / 2}-1 / 2\) Beli－ avsky－Loginov，USSR Team Ch． 1991.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 27 \text { \& }{ }^{\text {bb }} \text { Elc6 } \\
& 28 \text { 马bi?! }
\end{aligned}
\]

Alternatively， 28 乌（a4！c3！ 29 yd3 c2 30 登c3 Дa6 31 © Cc 5 Exa 232 Дc1 ©d4 \(=\mathrm{Ka}-\) sparov．


34 ＊e2 was equal．



36
gid3？
If Black wanted to keep winning chances alive he had to move his rook to a square which prevents the white knight entering play on e5，thus \(36 \ldots\) ．．． 8 d5！ 37包b6

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 37 & © \({ }^{\text {es }}\) & 2e3 \\
\hline 38 & Le4 & \\
\hline 39 & ［xe3 & e3 \\
\hline 0 & ¢d3 & QdS \\
\hline 41 & ©e1 & 4／2－1／2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Game 26 Lautier－Piket Cannes 1990


7 第d2 will clearly often

transpose following a quick \(\sum \mathrm{ge} 2\) ，but it can also lead to independent play， e．g．
a） 7 ．．． Eb B ！ \(280-0-00-0\) 9 h4 hS 10 Qh6 Qxh6 11宸xh6 e5 12 Qge2 bS 13 g 4 bxc4 14 Фg 3 Oxg 4 Oxc4m Lerner－W Watson， Moscow 1985.
b） 7 ．．．a6！ 8 0－0－0！？bs！ （230）


9 cxbS axbS 10 O xbS Q QaS 11安b1 Qa6 12 Qxa6 暗xa6 13尚d3 然a8 14 包ge2 Eb 815 Qcl e6 16 h4 dS 17 hS \＆c4 \(\mp\) Petursson－Gufeld，Hast－ ings 1986／87．Black has a fantastic position．

As a response to 7 d 2,7
．．．a6 makes more sense than 7 ．．． 8 bb ．
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{7} \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

8 ．．．Qd7 is much too slow for Black，e．g． 9 h 4 hS 10 0－0－0 bS 11 Oh6 由h77 12 OgS bxc4 13 g4士 Miles－ Jadoul，Brussels 1986.

\(9 \quad \mathrm{~h} 4\)
White has two other standard possibilities：
a） 9 Ecl eS 10 dS Ed4 11 Qle2 cS 12 dxc6 Qxc6 13 QdS bS 14 Ob6 䁝d7 15 Qc7 Eb8 16 \＆xe8 \(\begin{aligned} & \text { あxe8 } 17 \text { Qe3 }\end{aligned}\) bxc4忈 Bellavsky－Kaspa－ rov，Moscow 1981.
b） \(90-0-0 \mathrm{bS} 10 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{~Eb} 8\) 11 h 4 hS 12 QdS eS 13 OgS Qxd4 14 Qxd4 exd4 15 gxhS c6 16 h 6 Qh8 \(17 \mathrm{h7}\)＋贯xh7 18 hS（232）
18 ．．．cxdS 19 hxg6＋䡒g8 20目xh8＋雷xh8 21 紫h2＋曹g 8 22 g7 Qh7 23 Qxd8 \({ }^{2} x \mathrm{xdB} 24\) Qd3 6xg7 25 \(\mathrm{Zg} 1+\) 安f8 26盖xh7 bxc4 27 Zg8＋色e7 28㟋h4＋1－0 Puri－Larsen，Chi－ cago Open 1989．This is a very brilliant and convin－

cing game．The plan of pre－ facing h4 with g4 makes 8 ．．．Ee8 look like a waste of time．
\[
9 \quad \ldots \quad \text { hS }
\]

Given that White is lin－ ing up ©d5，this is a fur－ ther loss of time． 9 ．．．b5 is a better try，e．g． 10 hS eS 11 hxg6 fxg6e0／士 Dejkalo－ Pedzich，Polish Ch． 1990.
\[
10 \quad 0-0-0
\]

10 Ect eS 11 dS Qd4 12 Qb3 c5 13 dxc6 bxc6 14 Qxd4 exd4 15 Qxd4 dS 16 cxdS cxdS 17 eSw Nikolaev －Borisenko，Voronez 1991. This is an unnecessary de－ viation，since the text is strong for White．

10
b5（233）
The scene has been set． This is one of the most ty－ pical variations，highly su－ sceptible to intense ope－ nings analysis．Both sides castle on opposite wings and then the attack on the enemy king assumes far greater significance than any material considera－

tions．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
11 & Qd5 & bxc4 \\
12 & 乌xf6 & Qxf6 \\
13 & g 4 & hxg4 \\
14 & hS & gS
\end{tabular}

14 ．．．gxf3 would be excessively greedy．For his three pawns White would obtain a tremendous attack after \(15 乌 f 4 \mathrm{gS} 16\) 乌d5 fol－ lowing up with moves such as Qxc4 and Ëdg1．
\[
15 \text { 0xgs es }
\]

And here if \(15 \ldots\) gxf3 White has the simple 16 Qxf6 exf6 17 当h6 and \({ }^{2} \mathrm{~g} 1+\) 。



A quite unexpected yet fully correct and brilliant sacrifice to strip away the final vestiges of defence around the denuded black king．If Black snaps at the bait with 19 ．．．烪xh1 White continues 20 whe with the following possibilities： 20
 fxg6（If 22 ．．．苗xe \(4+23\) Qd3 wins） 23 茢xg6＋安f8 24光f6＋Wg8 25 Oxc4＋and wins．Alternatively， 20 ．．．
由g8 23 Øg \({ }^{3}\) \＃h2 24 bxc4＋ Qe6 and now either 25 Qxe6 \({ }^{+}\)or even 25 h 6 and White triumphs．


The white rook on h1 is indirectly defended because of \(\mathbf{Q x c 4 +}\) ．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 20 & & Exd \\
\hline 21 & 皙xd4 & － \\
\hline 22 & MdS＋ & 由8 \\
\hline 23 & h6＋ & 为 \\
\hline & Og2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


A further gambit in a game which is replete with
brilliant points．Black can－ not play 24 ．．．首xg2 since 25 解7＋would force check－ mate．The peculiar confi－ guration of forces on the long diagonal means that White now wins material．
24 前 25 c6

A necessary diversion so that Black can play ．．．QfS without succumbing to the deadly \({ }^{\text {b }}\) f7＋
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 26 & 妾xc6 & OfS \\
\hline 27 & क－ & Ha7 \\
\hline 28 & Ehfi & 歯e5 \\
\hline 29 & Qxe4 & Oxe4 \\
\hline 30 & －ixd6 & 受xd6 \\
\hline 31 & Exd6 & 國xh6 \\
\hline 32 & ［4］\({ }^{2}\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


This ensures a win in the endgame．For example 32 ．．． ［ic7 33 Ed4 Qd3 34 Exd3 or \(32 \ldots\) Qxd3 33 b3！
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 32 & & Qf \\
\hline 33 & Exc4 & 象h5 \\
\hline 34 & 吅6 & Se7 \\
\hline 35 & b4 & Qb7 \\
\hline 36 & gf8 & gS \\
\hline 37 & \(\mathrm{EhB}^{+}\) & कg6 \\
\hline 38 & Eg8＋ & \＄f6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 39 & tics & E4 \\
\hline 40 & \％b8 & ［2］ 7 \\
\hline 41 & zf8 & bg7 \\
\hline 42 & EffS & ［1＋ \\
\hline 43 & क \({ }^{\text {b }}\) & ［192＋ \\
\hline 44 & 象3 & ［1e3＋ \\
\hline 45 & क）\({ }^{\text {a }}\) & Qf3 \\
\hline 46 & \＃xg5 + & ¢f6 \\
\hline 47 & 2ef5＋ & 圂e6 \\
\hline 48 & Exf3 & ［1073 \\
\hline 49 & \＃86＋ & 1－0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The conclusion to be drawn here is that Black may not have time for the luxury of \(8 \ldots\) Efe8 when White can simply play \(0-0-0\) and h4／g4．Black has got to get on with ．．．a6 and ．．．\({ }^{\text {Eb }} \mathrm{b} 8\) against this plan．

Game 27
Ostermeyer－Keene Rotterdam 1984
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & d4 & Qf6 \\
\hline 2 & c4 & d6 \\
\hline 3 & Qc3 & g6 \\
\hline 4 & e4 & \(\mathrm{O}_{8} 7\) \\
\hline 5 & f3 & 0－0 \\
\hline 6 & Qe3 & Sc6 \\
\hline 7 & Ege2 & a6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
 （Black plays here in similar style to the main game，but this version should be worse for him as after the eventual opening of the \(f\)－file，his rook is mis－ placed） 9 Zbl a6 10 b4 bS 11 cxbS axbS 12 dS 乌eS 13 Qd4 Qd7 14 QcxbS e6 15 dxe6 fxe6 16 Qe2，Polugaevsky－

Gufeld，USSR Ch．197S， 16 ．．． E）xf3＋1 17 gxf3 eS 18 0－0 exd4 19 乌xd4


Others are：
a） 8 Zb1 bS 9 cxbS axbS \(10 \mathrm{dS} Q \mathrm{jeS} 11\) 乌d4 e6 12 dxe6 fxe6 \(13 Q \mathrm{dxb5} Q \mathrm{Q} 5 \varpi\) Bole－ slavsky．
b） 8 dS 乌es 9 Eg 3 c 610 a4 cxd5 11 cxd5 e6 12 Qe2 exd5 13 exd5 Ele8 14 奖d2酋e7 15 \＄f2 h5 16 Ethe1 h4 17 Qfl Qh5 18 因g1 h3 19 g 4 Qf6 20 Qd4 21 Eg3 ©h7 22 gS f6 23 f4 ©g 424 Sce4 Qd7 25 gxf6 Egxf6 26 Qf3 \＆xe4 27 思xe4 昆xe4 28 Qxe4 Qxd4 +29 管xd4 格xf4 30 zafl 桨g 431 比b6 Qg5 32 Qxg6 Qf3＋ 33 कh1 Qd2 34 Qf7＋客h7 35 Qh5 暗g5 36

 \％f5 OxhS 41 Exh5 \(\mathrm{ELC} 2^{1 / 2}-1 / 2\) Seirawan－Nunn，Brussels 1988．This game was always about equal．
c） 8 Stcl e5 \(9 \mathrm{dS} 乌 \mathrm{~d} 410\) Qb3 \＆xb3 11 首xb3 c5 12 dxc6 bxc6 13 0－0－0質b6（ 14 cS is clearly worth consideration as a possible improvement on Timman＇s play，e．g． 14 ．．．dS 15 exdS ExdS 16 乌xdS cxdS 17 当xdS Qe6 18 恤e4［Jab8 19 Ïd2 ［ff8 20 区xd8＋悔xd8 21 Qe2皆aS 22 Oc4 QfS 23 祭dS e4 24 首xf7＋䡒h8 2S 0b3 exf3


Qd2 当xf3（If 28 ．．．断d3 29
 Zxd3．White stands well despite being the exchange behind？ 29 合e1 桨c6 30 日c3 Qxc3 31 bxc3 Qe4 32 Exe4 1－0 van der Sterren－ \(\mathbf{C i}-\) fuentes，Wijk aan Zee 1991） \(14 \ldots\) ．．．\({ }^{2} b 7\) 15 g4 \＃ab8（15 ．．．
 ©d5（237）


18 exdS cxdS 19 登xdS（19 cxdS土） \(19 \ldots\) Qxd5 20 QxdS

 1／2－1／2 Timman－Kasparov， Moscow 1981.

\section*{8}

Also possible is 8 ．．．e5 9 dS \＆e7 10 cS Q）e8 11 宸d2 f5 \(120-0-0 \mathrm{dxc} 513\) Qxe5 Qd6 14 苟b1 b6 15 Og1 Qd7 16 \＆ct物e8 17 Qd3 畄b8 18 Qc2 a5

 destein－Nunn，Naestved 1985.
\begin{tabular}{lll}
9 & \(b 4\) & \(b 5\) \\
10 & cxbs & axbs \\
11 & dS &
\end{tabular}

Of course not 11 QxbS

Qxb4 12 §xc7 Qa4．
11 ．．．乌a7n（238）


An unorthodox move． Normally，Black would play \(11 . .\). es．
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
12 & \text { Qdd } 4 & \text { e6 } \\
13 & \text { dxe6 }
\end{array}
\]

13 \＆dxbs \＆xb5 14 \＆xbs exdS 15 cxdS 4 e8 with a terrible attack．
13 … fxe6

Or 14 EdxbS QxbS 15 QxbS \＆xe4 16 fxe4 \(5 x f 1+17\) Exf1 Hh44．


Defending b5 and thus preparing the manoeuvre ．．． Qc8－b6－c4．If immedia－ tely \(16 \ldots\) ．．．4c8！it is difficult to see a clear reply to 17 Og5．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
17 & g 4 & \(乌 \mathrm{f} 6\) \\
18 & gS & \(\bigotimes \mathrm{hS}\) \\
19 & f 4 &
\end{tabular}

German players appear to be heavily influenced by their great chess teacher， Dr．Siegbert Tarrasch．It
was Tarrasch who con－ ducted a theoretical dis－ pute with Nimzowitsch over the relative value of the initiative plus domina－ tion of space（even with weaknesses）as against a cramped position without weakness，but with coun－ ter－attacking potential． Nimzowitsch，of course， supported the latter view， and when I（RK）have played against a German，it seems that the ghost of Dr． Tarrasch descends and per－ suades them to push for－ ward with their pawns over the entire board．The pre－ sent game is a good case in point．


Black could have won a pawn with 20 ．．．Qxd4，but the dark－squared bishop is so valuable，that to give it up for anything less than a rook does not come into question．

21 乌xe6 Qxe6

\section*{22 宸xe6 乌cB！}

A mysterious retreat， leaving a second pawn en prise．The move seemed to have a mesmeric effect on White who had probably only been expecting \(22 \ldots\)気8 23 岁b3 吕xe4 \(24 \quad \mathrm{Qg}^{2}\) ［1e7 25 0－0．

23 Exe6 吉xa3

24 ．．．苗xe3 25 直xe3 Qxf4 only leads to perpetual check．

25 并多4 気 \({ }^{4}\)
The rest is easy for Black as White＇s overextended structure collapses into ruins．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 26 & Hc2 & Qd5 \\
\hline 27 & exdS & ［axe3 \\
\hline 28 & Qg3 & 斯b6 \\
\hline 29 & 車g2 & 奖d4 \\
\hline 30 & \＃g1 & Qxg \\
\hline 31 & hxg3 & Me4＋ \\
\hline & 0－1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Game 28 Mestel－Gufeld Hastings 1986
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1 & \(c 4\) & \(g 6\) \\
2 & \(e 4\) & \(\frac{0 g 7}{}\) \\
3 & \(d 4\) & d 6 \\
4 & \(乌 \mathrm{c} 3\) & \(\sum f 6\) \\
5 & \(f 3\) & \(0-0\) \\
6 & \(0 g 5\) & \(\sum \mathrm{cb}\)
\end{tabular} \(6 \ldots\) c5 7 d 5 e6 8 楼d2 exdS 9 cxdS transposes to a Modern Benoni Defence．

7 ©ge2
8 紋d2


10 0－0－0 bs
11 Qh6
11 傢e3 气aS 12 乌f4 乌h7 （Black loses time，but it is worthwhile to exchange the useful queen＇s bishop） 13 e5 \(0 \times \mathrm{xg} 514 \mathrm{hxg} 5 \mathrm{cS} 15\)
 （Rather too risky．The sim－ ple 16 ．．．Qe6 guarantees Black an easy life．Gufeld runs an enormous risk in the pursuit of a fascinating idea） 17 g 4 hxg4 18 cxd6 exd6 19 f 4 ？（Much stronger is the immediate 19 分 4 ， but the text also appears to be devastating） \(19 \ldots 0_{g} 7\) 20 乌e4 Qc6 21 Qef6＋कf8 22 乌h7＋कg8 23 乌df6＋（241） （Black appears to be in a vice but turns the tables with a brilliant queen sac－ rifice，which wins easily） 23 ．．．首xf6 24 分xf6＋Qxf6 25 宸a3 Qg 726 पh2 仓xc4 27 Qxc4 bxc4 28 状3 Of3 29 \＃xd6 c3 30 \＃f6 cxb2＋ 31 \＃xb2 \＃̈ad8 32 fS 日d1＋ 33 bc2 \(\mathrm{gc8}+34\) कb3

©b4 Of8＋0－1 Kotronias －Gufeid，Athens 1985. 11 ．．．eS
11 ．．．bxc4 worked out badly for Black in Salov－ Khalifman，USSR Ch．1987： \(12 \mathrm{~g} 4 \sum_{\mathrm{b} 4} \quad 13 \quad \mathrm{Sg}^{3} \mathrm{c5} 14\)
 Qxc4 0 d 717 gS 乌h7 18 a 3
 Ehf1 aS 22 Ef2 乌a6 23 \＃gff 7f8 24 f6 6 exf6 \(25 \mathrm{gxf6}+\)宙h8 26 eS \(1-0\).

\section*{12 Qxg7 由6x7}

It is a key point that in this position Black invltes the exchange on g 7 rather than luring White＇s queen to h6 with ．．．Qxh6．On h6 the queen is dangerously close to the black king and might do some real damage．

13 dxe5 dxe5！
Meste1 had actually been on the black side of this position once himself．He had played 13 ．．．©xe5（242） and suffered catastrophic defeat：
14 cxbS axbS iS \(\triangle f 4\) b4 16


f4 §c6 19 fS \(\sum \mathrm{eS} 20 \mathrm{~g} 4\) Qxg 421 Qe2 \(仓 \mathrm{ff} 22 \mathrm{f} 6+\) Wh7 23 Qxh5 0xh1 24 Exh1
 27 Qd1 क्षh8 28 酋h6＋1－0． Rivas－Mestel，Marbella 1982．Not unnaturally，Mes－ tel wanted to repeat this but Gufeld comes up with an important improvement．
\[
14 \text { 管 } 55!
\]
 Qd5 §xdS 16 cxdS 仓DaS 17
 Krug，Bundesliga 1989.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 14 & \(\cdots\) & 当e7 \\
\hline 15 & Qds & 4 xdS \\
\hline 16 & exdS & f6 \\
\hline 17 & ＊\({ }^{\text {d }}\) 2 & ］d8 \\
\hline 18 & g 4 & bxc4（243） \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

19 （2） 3
After \(19 \mathrm{gxh5}\) Gufeld pro－ poses the following remar－ kable variation： 19 ．．．\＆b4 20 थ）c3 Qf5 21 Qxc4（Not 21 hxg6？Exd5！intending ．．． （4） 22 ） \(21 \ldots\) ．．．
日 \(\mathrm{xb} 2+25\) 雷xb2皆xc3＋26
 （6）
\[
19 \quad \ddot{0 x c 4}
\]

Gufeld gives 20 hS gS 21
 cxd6 24 h6 QfS 25 h7 Qxg6
 28 Q xdS 5 ÏxdS 29 光xbs Se2＋ 30 थxe2 亚c \(5+\) mating．


A clear admission of de－ feat，but otherwise ．．．\({ }^{\text {gdb }} 8\) ．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 23 & ．．． & \＃xc4 \\
\hline 24 & hxg6 & Exc3＋ \\
\hline 25 & 隹xc3 & Qe2＋ \\
\hline 26 & कc2 & Qxc3 \\
\hline 27 & \＃h7＋ & 曾xg 6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{13) Saemisch Orthodox}

For many years the lines of the Saemisch Orthodox were more or less shunned by theory in favour of the Saemisch Panno. White was thought to get a tremendous space advantage and that was that. Gligoric, in particular, suffered a lot of discouraging reverses with this line as Black against players such as Portisch and Petrosian.

Recently, however, Kasparov has shown that virtually every line of the Orthodox Saemisch is perfectly valid for Black. Indeed, \(6 \ldots\) eS may be Black's best bet as Kasparov has shown in the games Karpor - Kasparov, Reggio Emilia 1992 and Timman - Kasparov, Linares 1992. In recommending which of these the reader should play we should point out that although in the former Black's chances are theoretically adequate his queenside does come under a lot of pressure. In the second line, represented by

Timman - Kasparov Black's position is solid, yet aggressive and with the players invariably castling on opposite wings it leads to the kind of carniverous slugfest which a red-blooded King's Indian devotee should normally relish.

Game 29
Ivanchuk - Zapata Novi Sad Ol 1990
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & d4 & Qf6 \\
\hline 2 & c4 & g6 \\
\hline 3 & Qc3 & Og7 \\
\hline 4 & e4 & 0-0 \\
\hline 5 & Qe3 & d6 \\
\hline 6 & \(f 3\) & e5 \\
\hline 7 & E)ge2 & 24S) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This is a relatively unusual move. White maintains the option of castling queenside or central play while simultaneously avoiding the well charted paths of 7 dS , considered in the following games.


This is the standard move. Also seen are:
a) \(7 \ldots\)... bbd \(^{8} 8\) 橉d2 \(a 6\)


9 dS hS（9 ．．．QhS！？ 10 g 4 Qf4 11 Qxf4 exf4 12 Qxf4 QeS 13 Qe2 fS 14 QgS doesn＇t give Black enough for the pawn） 10 Qc1 Qh7 11 Qb3 fS 12 exfS gxfS \(\infty\) Kurz－Paehtz， Bundesliga 1990.
b） 7 ．．．Qcc6 is just plain weak，e．g． 8 dS 乌e7 9 cS Qe8 10 岩d2 f5 \(110-0-0\) \＆ f 6 12 h 3 a 613 g 4 f 414 Qf 2 gS 15 苗b1 曹f7 16 h4 h6 17 Elc1 Qd7 18 Qd3 \(\mathrm{Zag}_{8} 19\) 日cit Lev－Frick，Bern Zonal Zt． 1990.

8 （246）


8 ．．． \(\mathrm{Dbd}^{\mathrm{b}}\)
a）8．．．a6 weakens Black＇s queenside： 9 dxeS dxeS 10晏xd8 Exd8 11 气a4 气bbd7 12

0－0－0 bS 13 气bb \(6 \pm\) Schlosser －Werner，Bundesliga 1990.
b） 8 ．．．exd4 9 ©xd4 \({ }^{\text {lig }} 8\) （9 ．．．dS 10 cxdS cxdS 11 eS Qe8 \(12 \mathrm{f4} \pm\) ） 10 Qe2 dS 11 exdS cxdS 120 0－0 takes us back to a position which is analysed in depth in the chapter on 7 Qe3，and may be Black＇s best course．An interesting alternative for White is \(100-0-0\) here．
```

                0-0-0
    ```

White can also play the immediate 9 dS ，aiming to recapture on d5 with pleces to leave the d－pawn ex－ posed： 9 ．．．cxdS 10 QxdS E）xdS 11 首xdS Qb6 12 装bS Qh6 13 Qf2 Qe6 14 Qc3（247）


14 ．．．省c7 15 b 3 乌d7 16 僧b4 a6 17 릉d 19 ExxdS bS 20 a4 bxa4 21前xd6 奖b7 22 bxa4 Qf8 23
当xd8 Exd8 26 Qe3 QcS 27 0 gS \＃d6 28 Ge2 \(4 x \mathrm{xd2}+0-1\) Deep Thought－Wahls， Hannover 1991.

9 ．．．a6（248）
9 ．．．\＃\＃aS appears less
promising as the queen is exposed on aS： 10 （b）bS 11 cxbS（ 11 E）c1 is also good for White） 11 ．．．cxbS 12 乌dS皆xd2 13 乌e7＋雷h8 14 登xd2 Qb7 15 乌c3 a6 16 Qe2 b4 17乌a4 能e8 18 dxeS 乌）xe4 19 exd6 Qxd2＋ 20 Qxd2 Qc6 21 Qd1 QbS 22 Qxb4 aS 23 Qa3 QeS 24 Qb3 Дa6 25 ［̈d1 \＄g7 26 亿dS Qxd6 27 Qac3 Qxa3 28 Qxb5 \＃e2 29 Qxa3 8 ²7 30 \＆）bS 皆b7 31 \＆d6 5 b 82 Qf4 Ele7 33 Qxf7 QcS 34 Qc4＠a4 35 QbS Qcs 36 a4． 1－0 Murey－Gallagher，Lon－ don（Haringey）1988．It is important for White to play 11 cxbS before QdS because if 11 QdS \＆xdS 12 岸xaS Qxe3，followed by ．．．©xc4， and Black has interesting compensation for the sac－ rificed queen．


10 雷b1
This good prophylactic move，which improves White＇s king＇s position and allows the knight to drop back to c1，is by far the best．It avoids unnecessary
adventures such as：
a） \(10 \mathrm{h4} \mathrm{bS} 11 \mathrm{hS}\) 畐aS 12 Qh6 Oxh6（ \(12 \ldots\) b4 13 Q）b苗xa2 14 Qg3 exd4 15 乌fS？ \｛Beliavsky gives 15 Qxg7 bog7 16 岁xd4 as winning for White，whilst \(15 \ldots\) ．．． cS fails to 16 钴h6 15 ．．．QcSo Murey－Apicella，Paris 1991） 13 峟xh6 b4 14 包b1 新xa2 15 Qg3 乌b6？ 16 cS Ac4 17 Ed2士 Beliavsky－Timman， Linares 1991.
b） 10 g 4 bS 11 cS 㟶aS 12 cxd6 色e8 13 dxeS b4 14 f 4 bxc3 15 Wxc3 \({ }^{6} \mathrm{ycc} 3+\mathrm{Lev}\)－ Dannevig，Gausdal 1991.
10 êcl（249）

This is a very subtle move that prevents Black from playing his queen to aS with any degree of ease．


Black doesn＇t have an easy route to equality in this position．For example：
a） 11 ．．．Me7 12 dxeS dxeS
 Qe2t．White has a favour－ able endgame．
b） 11 ．．．bxc4 12 dxeS
 Qe6 15 Qe2t Wiedenkeller－ Mortensen，Pohja 1985.

12 dxeS dxeS
12 ．．．QxeS 13 柆xd6 bxc4 14当xeS 乌dS 15 嵌xg7＋曹xg7 16 exdS cxdS 17 Qxc4 dxc4
 Qle2 \(\frac{\mathrm{y} x \mathrm{xd} 1+21}{21} \mathrm{xdi} \mathrm{gS} 22\) Od4 恵g6 23 Qe3 सe8 24由yc2 Qc8 2S Qg 3 Qe6 26 Qe4 fS 27 Qd6 \(\mathrm{De}^{2} 28 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{~h} 629\)
 1－0 Granda－Barbero，Bue－ nos Aires 1991.



15 㡙 f 2
This is a particularly fine move by lvanchuk．The re－ mainder of this game is a thematic exploitation of Black＇s dark square wea－ knesses．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 15 & \(\cdots\) & Se8 \\
\hline 16 & g 3 & 2f8 \\
\hline 17 & Qe2 & \(4{ }^{4} 6\) \\
\hline 18 & Ehdi & Qf8 \\
\hline 19 & a3 & 5 d 8 \\
\hline 20 & \(8 \mathrm{Exd8}\) & 는xd8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Black is belng strangled on both sides of the board．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 28 & Qd1 & \(4 \mathrm{b7}\) \\
\hline 29 & ¢ \(\times 67\) & 前xb7 \\
\hline 30 & Ob3 & 旡e7 \\
\hline 31 & fxg6 & hxg6 \\
\hline 32 & OgS & 奖d7 \\
\hline 33 & Idi & 1－0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
lvanchuk＇s play in this game casts some doubt on Black＇s system and we re－ commend that Black should take the option to trans－ pose into the Gligoric sys－ tem by playing 8 ．．．exd 4 ．

Game 30
Karpov－Kasparov
World Champlonship（21） Lyons 1990
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1 & d 4 & \(乌 \mathrm{f6}\) \\
2 & c 4 & g 6 \\
3 & \(\varrho \mathrm{c} 3\) & Qg 7
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 4 & e4 & d6 \\
\hline 5 & f3 & 0－0 \\
\hline 6 & Qe3 & eS \\
\hline 7 & dS（252） & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Here White resolves the tension in the centre at the first opportunity．


This was the original way to play the Saemisch for Black．He liberates his f－ pawn，prepares to play ．．． \(\Delta \mathrm{f} 4\) in the future and keeps open all sorts of queenside breaks based on ．．．c6 or ．．． a6 and ．．．bS．It may well be in fact that this is Black＇s best line．

Kasparov＇s most recent preference， \(7 \ldots\) c6 is dealt with in the next game．Less convincing is the strategy of switching to a severely locked Benoni situation：
a） \(7 \ldots \mathrm{cS} 8\) g4！and：
a1） 8 ．．．hS 9 h3 ©e8（ \(9 \ldots\) Qh7？ 10 gxh 5 矮h＋ 11 of2崖xhS 12 QbS＋－） 10 㒸d2 气d7 11 ＠d3 a6 12 §ge2 \＆df6 13


§g3 bs 18 Qgs bxc4 19 Oxc4 －b8 20 gxhS \(\widehat{4}\) xhS 21 ©xhS Exh5 22 h 4 Zb4 23 气e2 Zh 7
 Zg2 f6 27 De3 h4 28 f 4 h 3 29 f5 Zd4 30 豝el \(\overline{2 x e 4} 31\)今） \(\mathrm{xe} 4 \mathrm{Qxf5} 32 \mathrm{Zg} 4\) 皆f7 33 Qc3 Oxg 434 Qxg4 f5 35 Oxh3 f4 36 Qe6 Zxh1 37酋xh1 酋g6 38 Of2 \＆f6 39 Qh4 ©h6 40 Qxf6 f3＋ 41 कd酎xf6 42 囟h3 1－0 Chernin－ Uhlmann，Dortmund 1991.
a2） 8 ．．．§e8 9 h 4 of6 （The immediate \(9 \ldots\) fS is well met by 10 exfS gxf5 11 gxf5 Qxf5 12 Qd3土） 10 ©f2 a6 11 米d2 仓d7 \(120-0-0\) घb 8 13 Qe3 Qe7 14 Oh6 ©g7 15 Od 3 f6 16 首c2 \(\overline{z f 7} 17\) Ege2 （2f8 18 仓g \(3^{3} \mathrm{Dd} 719 \mathrm{gdg}\) 0 e 820 Qe3 \(\mathrm{Dd}^{2} 21\) 宸g 2 Dc8 22 乌fS gxf5 23 gxf5 2 d 724 hS 官h8 \(25 \mathrm{h6}\) 仓xf5 26 exf5

 Eg1 Qb7 32 （le4 气b6 \(33 \mathrm{Eg}^{2}\)

 38 \＃3g4 Qa8 39 娄h1 乌e8 40
 Qe3 \(2 \mathrm{c} 743 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{De} 844 \mathrm{fxe5}\) ZxeS 45 ＠f4 Ixe4 46 男xe4 QxdS 47 QxdS 炭xdS 48
 aS \＆d7 S1 \＃xc4 ©）e5 S2 Дc 3 c4 53 Eg 3 dS \(54 \mathrm{b3}\) d4 55 bxc4 气xxc4 \(56 \mathrm{\Xi b}^{\mathrm{b}}\)＠d6 57 yb7 d3 S8 Дa7 宙g 8 S9 \＃xa6 1－0 van der Sterren－Uhi－ mann，Ter Apel 1990.
b） 7 ．．． 4 e 88 뿡d2（253）
and：

b1） \(8 \ldots \mathrm{c5} 9 \mathrm{dxc} 6\) Q xc6 10 \(0-0-0\) Qe6 11 कb1 Qd5 Ed4 13 Het士 Jolles－ Calvo，Torcy Open 1991.
b2） \(8 \ldots\) f5 9 exf5 gxfS 10 0－0－0 ©a6 11 Qd3 \＆c5 12 Qc2 当h4 13 f4 Qe4 14 Qxe4 fxe4 15 © 2 Qg 416 fxe5 QxeS \(17 \mathrm{~h} 3 \pm\) Timman－Diez del Corral，Lucerne Ol． 1982.
\[
\mathrm{B} \text { 首d2 fS }
\]

8 ．．．岁h4＋is an important alternative：
a） 9 g 3 and now Black has the choice of retreating the queen，or sacrificing it ．
a1） 9 ．．．等 \(7100-0-0 \mathrm{fS} 11\) exfS gxfS 12 Qh3（ 12 Qd3 aS 13 §ge2 乌a6 14 f4 Qd7 15 fxeS dxeS 16 Thfi a4 17 Qh6 Ec5 18 Qc2 \({ }^{\text {Dab }} 19\) Oxg7

 24 乌d3 b6 25 亿b5 \(0 \times 6526\) cxbs Ea 527 Qxes bxcs 28 g4 马bb \(29 \mathrm{gxf5}\) 马axb5 30 f 6

 Exg8 35 㒸h3＋贯g736 日g1＋家f8 37 所xg8＋1－0 Vyzma－
navin－Akopian，Lvor Zt． 1990） \(12 \ldots\) ．．． 13 鳥g 1 仓f6 14 \＆f2 This 150 e 2 （This is very instructive．White in－ tends g4 and the position is already \(\pm\) ） \(15 \ldots\) Qd7 16 Qg5 \＆c5 17 g4 e4 18 fxe4 fxe4 19 Qe3 \＆a4 20 g 5 Qxc3 21 bxc3 §g \(8 \quad 22\) Qg 4 c5 23 dxc6 Oxc6 24 h 4 dS 25 cxdS

 1－0 Karpor－Kasparov， World Ch．（23）Lyons 1990.
a2） \(9 \ldots\) ．．．\(\times \mathrm{g} 310\) 首f2 2 xf1 11 炭xh4 乌xe3 12 安e2 Qxc4 13 －4c1 §a6（254）and now：

a21） 14 乌d \(£ \mathrm{D} 615\) Qe3 Qd7 16 Qh3 f6 17 Qf2 Qc8 18 \＃̈c3（Possibly better is 18 Qdd c 519 eg 3 Qh6 \(20 \mathrm{h4}\) ， as in Levitt－A Martin， Glasgow 1989） 18 ．．．乌e7 19 Zhcl Fac8 20 Eb3 Eb8 21
 Qb6 24 登xc7 f5 25 Ec2 fxe4 26 fxe4 \＃bf8 27 \＃xb6，Kas－ parov－Seirawan，Barcelona World Cup 1989．Black held on to draw，but White was clearly better in this game．
a22） 14 Qh3 Qd7 15 b3 Q）b6 16 Zhg 1 f 617 f4 exf4 18 \＆xf4 \({ }^{2}\) ae8 19 亿xg6 hxg6 20 \＃xg6 \＃̈f7 21 \＃̈cg1 乌cS 22

当d8＋登xg7 乌f6 29 岃g6 1－0 van der Sterren－Berg，Kerte－ minde 1991.
b） 9 Qf2（255）：

b1） 9 ．．．岩e7 \(100-0-0 \mathrm{fS} 11\) \＄b1 ©d7 12 乌ge2 ©df6 13 exf5 gxfS 14 Qc1 Qd7 15 h 3 ＂ae8co Fedorowicz－Rem－ linger，St Martin Open 1991.
b2） 9 ．．．宸f4 10 g 4 （An－ other method of avoiding
 c5 fS 12 cxd6 exd6 13 包bS fxe4 14 fxe4 \(\triangle \mathrm{ab} 15\) §f3崮f4 16 Qxd6 Qg4 17 Qe2装f6 18 \＆c4 仓f4 19 气e3 Qb4m Knaak－Djurhuus， Novi Sad Ol．1990） 10 ．．．前xd2＋ 11 國xd2 \＆f4 12 亿ge2 c6 13 Qe3 fS 14 gxfS gxfS is ష̈g1 Qxe2 16 Qxe2 f4 17 Qf2仓a6 18 giad1 Qh3 19 Qf1 Oxf1 20 弱gxf1 Qf6 21 a3 की77 22 b4t Christiansen－Grefe，

San Francisco 1991.
In spite of one or two isolated achievements the plan based on 8 ．．．Wh44＋ seems to be unrelievediy gloomy for Black．The sac－ rificial lines appear to be unsound while retreating the queen leaves White with gains in time and space．
\[
9 \quad 0-0-0(256)
\]

White can also man－ oeuvre his king＇s knight into the action as he is not yet obliged to commit his king： 9 §ge2 气d7 10 §c1 Qdf6 11 §d3 fxe4 12 Qxe4 ©xe4 13 fxe4 cS 14 \＆f2 ©d7 15 g3 当f6 16 岲e2 a6 17 Qh3士 Ljubojevic－Piket，Tilburg 1989.


Kasparov introduces a new，and not entirely fa－ vourable plan in this game． More typical choices are：
a） 9 ．．．f4 10 Qf2 Qf6 （Black＇s plan is to trade the dark－squared bishops which White strenuously
avoids） 11 多e1 Qe7 \(12 \mathrm{~g}^{4}\) §g7 13 h 4 乌d7 14 Qge2 h6 15 象b1 c5 16 a3 a6 17 \＆cl Ee8 18 Old3 Ivanchuk－M Gurevich，Linares 1991．This position is \(\pm\) but Black quickly made it \(\pm\) by un－ soundly sacrificing with 18 ．．．bS 19 cxbS when Black could neither regain his pawn nor open adequate lines for a queenside off－ ensive．
b） \(9 \ldots 9 \mathrm{~d} 710\) Q \(\mathrm{d} 3(257)\)


10 ．．．ScS（This is Kaspa－ rov＇s latest word in the variation and is preferable to the alternatives which commit Black too much： 10 ．．．Sddf6 11 exfS \(\{11\) Qge2 fxe4 12 ）xe4 ©xe4 13 Qxe4 Qf5 14 Q）c3 ©ff6 iS QxfS gxf5 16 h 3 榉d7 \(17 \mathrm{~g} 4 \pm\) van der Sterren－Martin deI Campo，Thessaloniki OI． 1988\} 11 ．．．gxf5 12 Ege2
 Qd7 15 \＆dd 岁c8 16 乡c2 a6 17 安b1 \＃b8 18 c5 dxcS 19 \＃xeS bS 20 Qh6 c4 21 Qxg7＋ （）\(\times \mathrm{xg} 722\) Qf1 b4 23 \＆e2 c3

24 遂d4 c6 25 dxc6 Qxc6 26 b3 岁c7 27 落d6 崖xd6 28 Exd6 ObS 29 क由1 f4 30 Q）od4 Qfe8 3 亿xb5 axb5 32 IT4 \(仑 \mathrm{c} 733\) Exb4 2 ge6 34乌xc3 \(\mathrm{Za} 8 \quad 35 \quad 0 \times b 5\) \＃g8 36 Of1 Egd8 37 Ebe4 EId 38 Qc4 §d4 39 Exf4 1－0 Kir Georgiev－Uhlmann，Dort－ mund 1991） 11 Oc2 a6 12 Qge2 bS \(13 \mathrm{b4}\) \＆d7 \(14 \mathrm{cxb5}\) axbS 15 QxbS \＃ैxa2 16 \＆ec3 Za8 17 由b2 乌df6 18 乌a7 fxe4 19 \＆ct 曾d7 20 g 4 Qf4 21 gS （258）

© \(6 x d 522\) §xdS \(4 \mathrm{~d}^{2}+23\) Qxd3 exd3 24 Qce7＋कh 825 Qxe8 e4＋0－1 Timman－ Kasparov，Linares 1992．Yet another virtuoso perform－ ance from the World Cham－ pion．
\begin{tabular}{ll}
10 & Od3 \\
11 & dxe 5 （259）
\end{tabular}

11 Qge2 allows Black to stir up trouble with \(11 \ldots\) bS 12 exfS gxfS 13 g 4 e \(4 \infty\) Zsin－ ka－Degenhardt，Frankfurt 1990.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 11 & \(\ldots\) & Axc6 \\
\hline 12 & QdS & Qe6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{17 Qc2}
 19 亿g 3 乞d7 20 亿d5 \(仑 \mathrm{c} 521\) Qc2 b5－Brenninkmeijer－ Wahls，Groningen 1990. White has wasted too much time to keep any plus．

17
Qf6
Karpor has a slight edge which will not run away （control of d5）．His next move is a symptom of in－ decision，not an offer to repeat．Kasparov correctly recognises this，and does not repeat himself．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 18 & Qd3 & Sd7 \\
\hline 19 & Og1 & QcS \\
\hline 20 & Q \({ }^{66}\) & Ecd8 \\
\hline 21 & Qc3 & 4 d 4 \\
\hline 22 & QcdS & Oxd5 \\
\hline 23 & Sxd5 & fxe4 \\
\hline 24 & fxe4 & bS \\
\hline 25 & Ef1 & 缶d7 \\
\hline 26 & cxbS & axbS \\
\hline 27 & ［ \(\mathrm{xff}^{\text {8 }}\) & \(8 \times 78\) \\
\hline 28 & h3 & 炭d8 \\
\hline 29 & Oxd4 & exd4 \\
\hline 30 & 宜22 & 辰h4 \\
\hline 31 & 4f1 & ［lle8 \\
\hline 32 & 2f4 & 酞5 \\
\hline 33 & －3 & hS \\
\hline 34 & 雩a2 & b4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

With both players very short of time and in a high－ ly complex position，Kasp－ arov sacrifices a pawn to lunge for White＇s throat． But this may be over－ reaching．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 35 & axb4 & Ea8＋ \\
\hline 36 & tbl & Qb3 \\
\hline 37 & BC2 & 2）a1＋ \\
\hline 38 & \＄b1 & 2）b3 \\
\hline 39 & 宜f2 & \＃\({ }_{6} \mathrm{~d} 8\)（261） \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


With one move to go before the time control，
and just one minute in which to play it，Karpov implements an attractive invasion of the black camp． Lengthy post－game analy－ sis indicated that instead the forcing line 40 Dc4
 43 Exg7 酋xe4＋ 44 艮d2
首xf4 47 气xf4 d3 48 Qxd3 Qxd3 49 Qxd3 would have offered White the best winning prospects．Para－ doxically，in the endgame which has resulted from this variation，White＇s duo of passed b－pawns would be more valuable than Black＇s extra exchange．The reason is that they can be handily supported by the moblle white king．Never－ theless，it is difficult to think of anyone who，with one minute left，would have passed over the ex－ ceedingly natural move which Karpov now plays． 40 \％f7 当e8
In this position Karpov had to seal his 41 st move， over which he spent 28 minutes．The most immed－ iately critical line is 41 §e7
 कh7 44 Bd2！when Black cannot capture the knight
 \(\mathrm{g}^{4}\) and thus must content himself with 44 ．．．\＆b3＋ and a draw by repetition．

Although 41 Ee7 looks dangerous for Black（and the immediate 41 Zxg 7 is also worth consideration） the counter－attack given above puts the onus on White to prove equality． Karpov＇s sealed move turns out to be much the best of the moves available． 41 bS！
A dual purpose advance， Clearly，the closer White＇s passed pawn approaches the eighth rank，the more dangerous it becomes，but this pawn thrust also has the virtue of closing the attacking diagonal of the black queen towards White＇s king．


The threats are becom－ ing serious，including 43 ．．．
 therefore，decides that the time has come to carry out the sacrifice of the ex－ change which has been in the air for so long．

44 茢xd4＋褮e5
Black must seek his sal－ vation in the endgame．

45 炭xe5 \({ }^{2}\) dxe5
46 b6 \(\quad \mathrm{Eg} 1\)
Only by this counter－ attack against White＇s king－ side pawns can Black hope to achieve enough counter－ play to hold the draw．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
47 & 乌e3 \\
48 & He \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A better chance is \(48 \mathrm{b4}\) ．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 48 & ．．． & ［81 \\
\hline 49 & 4e3 & 4 c 1 \\
\hline 50 & \(4{ }^{4}\) & \(\mathrm{ES}^{1}\) \\
\hline 51 & b4 & \＃xg2＋ \\
\hline 52 & 由63 & Q24＋ \\
\hline 53 & 由b3 & Qxb6 \\
\hline 54 & \(0 \times 66\) & \＃g 3 \\
\hline 55 & क \({ }^{\text {cos }}\) & \％\(\times\) xh3（263） \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


56 bs
Here \(56 乌 \mathrm{~d} 7\) is a super－ ior try．It is surprising that Karpov，knowing the sealed move，which was obviously opaque to Kasparov，should have failed to make the most of his chances in the adjourned session of play．

> 56 ．．． 44
> 57 Ec4 \(2 \mathbf{x d} 3+\)

Completing a remark－ able defensive sequence which permits Black to draw．



This is a dead draw，but Karpor flogged a very dead horse until move \(\mathbf{8 6}\) before acquiescing \(\ln\) the lnevit－ able．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 66 & Mc7＋ & \＄h6 \\
\hline 67 & Qc & 4 \\
\hline 68 & क \({ }^{\text {b }}\) & e4 \\
\hline 69 & 動4＋ & 987 \\
\hline 70 & 由63 & d3＋ \\
\hline 71 & 安b4 & d4 \\
\hline 72 & 曾4 & f7 \\
\hline 73 & 安bS & dS＋ \\
\hline 74 &  & da \\
\hline 75 & 免h7＋ & g7 \\
\hline 76 & \％h1 & yd4 \\
\hline 7 & 参h & ＊88 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


A superbly typical and Instructive fighting game．

Game 31
Timman－Kasparov Paris（Immopar） 1991
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & d4 & 2f6 \\
\hline 2 & c4 & g6 \\
\hline 3 & ©c3 & 0．97 \\
\hline 4 & e4 & d6 \\
\hline 5 & f3 & 0－0 \\
\hline 6 & Qe3 & eS \\
\hline 7 & dS & c6（265） \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

8 Qd3

The main alternative is 8卷d2 cxdS 9 cxdS and now：
a） 9 ．．．a6 10 Qd3 \(Q \mathrm{e} 8\)（ 10 ．．．ShS 11 乌ge2 f5 12 exfS
 and now 15 g 4 ？，van der

Sterren－Vogt，Budapest Open 1988，is very strange． 15 Baci is better－compare with Petrosian－Gligoric， note to move 13） \(110-0-0\) （The game continuation is fine for Black．Correct and logical would be 11 Ege2 \(\Delta\) 0－0） 11 ．．．f5 12 数b1 乌d7 13 Qge2 bS 14 \＆c1 0 Ac 515 gc2－ Suetin－Agnos，London （Lloyds Bank） 1990.
b） \(9 \ldots\) 亿a6 10 Qd3（10 QbSI？has the reputation of being a super－subtle refi－ nement，but this is hard to understand！Play ends up being little different to the main lines，so long as Black avoids the exchange of light－squared bishops with
 12 exf5 gxfS 13 0－0 由h88 14雷h1 \＆c7 is Qc4 Qd7 16 a4 Qe8 17 Og5 Def6＝Ree－ Visser，Amsterdam 1989） 10 ．．．Se8 11 Qge2 Qd7 12 0－0 Qc5 13 QbS f5 14 b4 Qab 15 exfS gxfs 16 Eab1 \(Q f 617\)解 8 c7 18 Qc4 安h8＝Gul－ ko－Ermenkov，Amsterdam 1988.
c） 9 ．．．Qe8 \(100-0-0\)（10 \(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { g4 } & \text { f5 } & 11 & \text { gxf5 } & \text { gxf5 } & 12\end{array}\) \(0-0-0 \pm) 10 \ldots\) fS 11 Qd3 气） 6 12 4ge2 ScS 13 Qc2 aS 14 exf5 gxf5 15 h 3 bS 16 QxbS a4 17 Qee3 Qd7 18 乌a3（18 Qxd6 would be much too dangerous） 18 ．．．崩b6 19 g 4 Eb8 Gheorghiu－Kozul， Graz Open 1987．Black has
excellent counterplay for the pawn．
d） \(9 \ldots\) QhS 100 d 3 仓f4 11 \(0-0-0 \quad\) Qxd3 +12 vxd3 fS 13气ge2 a6 14 安b1 bS 15 a3 Q d7 16 Scl QcS，Zsu Polgar－ Liberzon，Haifa 1989．Black has an excellent position． If White was always so ob－ liging，everyone would play the King＇s Indian！
e） \(9 \ldots\) Qbd7 10 Qge2 a6 11 g4 hSt 12 h 3 （ 12 gxhS QxhS干； 12 gS \＆h7 13 h 4 f6 14 gxf6退xf6 \(\triangle\) ．．． 8 ef4 with com－ pensation for the exchange －Gligoric； 12 OgS hxg4 13 fxg4 ©cS（13 ．．．眎b6 Is bet－ ter 14 Qg3 Qxg4 15 b4 Botvinnik－Tal，World Ch． （10），Moscow 1960） 12 ．．． Qh7 13 0－0－0 h4－．Black plans ．．．Qf6－gS．

8 ．．．cxdS
We shall consider Black＇s alternatives here in the next game．

9 cxdS（266）


Others are：
a） 9 ．．．包e8 10 造d2！（10

Qge2？Qh617 \(\triangle 11\) Oxh6解4＋Miralles－Summer－ matter，Bern 1991，is a stan－ dard tactical resource with which Black activates the King＇s Indian bishop） 10 ．．． fS 11 exfS gxf5 12 乌ge2 乌d7 （267）and now：

a1） 13 0－0 \({ }^{6} \mathrm{~h} 814\) Wh a6 15 Eaci bS 16 OgS Qf6 17 Qh6 Og7 18 Qxg7＋Q Xg7 19 f4士 Christlansen－Kozul， Biel 1991.
a2） \(130-0-0\)（This is a dubious plan．Black should always be okay if White castles long in this var－ lation） 13 ．．．a6 14 h3 bS 15
 b4m Zsu Polgar－Morten－ sen，Vejstrup 1989.
\begin{tabular}{lll}
10 & Qge2 & fS \\
11 & exfS & gxfS \\
12 & \(0-0\) & Qd7（268） \\
13 & Hc1 &
\end{tabular}

Also possible is 13 酋d2 and now：
 15 OgS Qd7 16 首c2 炒c8 17 Zacl士 Portisch－Gligoric， Milan 197S．

b） 13 ．．．©c5！ \(14 Q \mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{aS} 15\) f4 e4－．White should now play 16 Qd4 instead of 16 Qa4 0 xa4 17 Qxa4 \(£ f 618\) h3 Qd7 19 Qc2 a4 20 b4 QbS 21 Efd1 Qc4 22 \＆c3 b5 23由hi Zc8 24 Zg1 ©g 425 \＃adi Qxc3 26 炭xc3 Qd3 27 Qcs 铒h \({ }^{2}\) 0－1 Paehtz－Uhl－ mann，East German Ch． 1982. 13 Qc5（269）
Black has not had a hap－ py time with the alterna－ tive 13 ．．．a6 14 कht and：
a） \(14 \ldots\) ．．． dff \(^{2}\) is \(\mathrm{Og}_{5}\) 学e8
花xf5 今xd5 19 当d3 今hf4 20 © xf4 0 xc3 21 bxc3 exf4 22物xd6 首7723［fe1 Zac8 24
兹 c 7 宸a4 27 Od6 Of6 28 Дie6 ［7d7 29 曾cS
 Popov－Krogius，USSR 1976.

 gxfS QxfS 19 Qh6 Qxh6 20崖xh6 Og6 21 Ig \(1 \pm\) Timman －Thipsay，Thessaloniki Ol． 1984.

\section*{14 Qc4}


This looks strange．In the game Knaak－Reyes， Novi Sad OI．1990，White did well with 14 Qbl aS 15 f4 keeping his bishop train－ ed against the black king－ side．

The game continued： \(15 \ldots\) b6 16 fxeS dxeS \(17 \mathrm{~d} 6 \pm\) ．As is nearly always the case in this variation，whenever White plays f 4 Black has to react ．．．e4 as in Paehtz－ Uhlmann．

In our main game，Tim－ man soon retracts his bishop and replaces it on the b1－h7 diagonal．Tim－ man＇s Idea is to stop Black securing his knight with ．．． aS，since then 15 Qxc5 dxcS \(16 \mathrm{~d} 6+\) is clearly better for White who will follow up with 乌bs．
\[
14 \text {... a6 }
\]

Black prevents the afore－mentioned variation， but in doing so White gains time to drive Black＇s knight back with tempo．
is b4
仓d7


As usual，when he has nothing better to do \(\mathrm{Ka}-\) sparov simply ferries as many pieces as possible towards the direction of his opponent＇s king．Play－ ing against Kasparov must be like watching somebody continually loading a very large gun．One＇s hope must be that it goes off in his face before he succeeds in aiming it and pulling the trigger．

However，the plan of ．．． We8－ g 6 is not the most effective way of lining up the black queen against the white king．A couple of months later Kasparov had the same position against Karpov（Reggio Emilia 1992） and the World Champion found the considerably more effective 16 ．．．栃h4 17 f4 ©h8 18 㫃d2 \(\mathrm{Eg}^{8} 19 \mathrm{~g} 3\)
 Ehf6 22 由h1 \(\mathrm{Eg}^{4}\) when Black had the initiative． This is also an interesting
case in which Black did not need to react to f 4 with ．．． e4 since his queen＇s knight had already been driven back to d 7 and was ready to re－emerge on \(e S\) should White capture on that square．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 17 & Wh1 & Qdf6 \\
\hline 18 & bs & 妆g6 \\
\hline 19 & Qd3 & e4 \\
\hline 20 & Qc2 & Qd7 \\
\hline 21 & bxab & bxa6 \\
\hline 22 & \＃b1 & \＃ae8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This turns out to be a mistake with 22 ．．．\＃ac8 being the preferred option．
```

23 峟d2 安h8

```

24 \＃b6
Black will now lose pawns but he has distinct attacking chances agalnst White＇s king．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 24 & ．．． & 4 Lg 8 \\
\hline 25 & \(\underline{4 g 1}\) & 新7 \\
\hline 26 & \＃ха6 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

White reaps his harvest too soon． 26 Qd4！would have pre－empted Black＇s cunning combination．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 26 & ．．． & \(f 4\) \\
\hline 27 & Qd4 & Qg3＋ \\
\hline 28 & 2xg 3 & fxg 3 \\
\hline 29 &  & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

After a complex middle－ game Kasparov now goes seriously wrong．He had to play 29 ．．．光hS．If then 30 h3 Oxh3 wins for Black or 30 h4 \＆g 431 Qxg7＋Exg7 32 皆xg \({ }^{3}\) e3 \(33 \mathrm{fxg}^{4} \quad \mathrm{Exg} 4\) and again Black wins by

exposing the white king． Finally 30 告xg 3 ©xdS 31閽xd6 Oxd4 32 ExxdS 桨h6 33密d6 exf3 34 岁xh6 fxg2＋ 35 Exg2 Ele \(1+\) with mate to follow．Having missed this opportunity Black＇s posi－ tion goes downhill．


33 Exf6
Inviting complications which keep Black in the game．Simplest and best is 33 先d3 powering up with queen and bishop against black＇s pawn on h7．

\section*{34 Exf7 \(0 \times g^{2+}\)}

The last chance was 34 ．．． Exf7，though after 35
 37 gxf3 \(\operatorname{Eg} 1+38\) bh2 39 Qe4 Excc \(40 \mathrm{d6}\) Ec1 41
 44 a7 Black is hopelessiy placed in the endgame．The text，however，loses at once

35 曹xh2 1－0
Game 32
Gulko－Kasparov
Linares 1990
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & d4 & Qf6 \\
\hline 2 & c4 & g6 \\
\hline 3 & Q03 & Og7 \\
\hline 4 & e4 & d6 \\
\hline 5 & \(f 3\) & 0－0 \\
\hline 6 & De3 & ¢ \\
\hline 7 & Qd3 & －5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(7 \ldots\) at leads to the Byrne Variation which is hardly seen at all these days． Black aims to expand on the queenside，but this plan is rather slow．Neverthe－ less，Kasparov tried it out in the most recent World Championship match： 8 Qge2 bS 9 0－0 Qbbd7 10 Ect eS 11 a3 exd4 \(12 \& x d 4\) Qb7 13 cxbS cxbS 14 Eet士 Kar－ pov－Kasparov，Worid Ch． （1），New York 1990.

8 dS（273）
8 ．．．bS
Kasparov likes to play this pawn sacrifice，though

its consequences are by no means clear．The less am－ bitious continuation， 8 ．．． cxdS is examined in the previous game．

A further possibility is 8 ．．．a6 when practice has seen： 9 ⿹ge2 乌bd7（9 ．．．bS 10 b3 b4 11 £a4 §fd7 12 0－0 aS 13 㞸d2 Qa6 14 tad1 QdcS 15 QxcS QxcS \(16 \mathrm{dxc} 6 \pm\) Ra－ zuvaev－Ehlvest，Moscow TV 1987） \(10000 \mathrm{cS} 11 \mathrm{l} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{c} 2\) th8 12 a4 aS 13 QgS h6 14 Qh4 包b8 15 整d2 b6 16 Qf2 ©a6 17 Ilael \(\pm / \pm\) Renet－ 1 Sokolov，Haifa 1989.

9 cxbS（274）


Boldly taking what few men have taken before．

\section*{Others are：}
a） \(9 \& \mathrm{ge} 2\) is best met by 9 ．．．b4！，forcing the white knight out of play，e．g． 10乌）a4 c5 11 0－0 Qh5 12 a3 §a6 13 峟d2 fS 14 exfS gxfS 15 f4 e4－Lautier－Galla－ gher，French Team Ch 1989. Alternatively， 9 ．．．bxc4 10 Qxc4 will always leave White with an edge，e．g． 10 ．．．c5 11 飠d2 \(\triangle \mathrm{fd} 712 \mathrm{~g} 4\) 分b6 13 Qd3 Qa6 14 Qg3 Qxd3 15苟xd3 \＆88d7 16 h 4 Ec8 17 hSt Tarjan－Plachetka，Od－ essa 1976.
b） 9 a3（275）and now：

b1） 9 ．．．cxd5（White now loses a tempo on the varia－ tion 8 ．．．cxdS 9 cxdS as later he will play a3－a4） 10 cxd5 a6 11 Qge2 Qe8 12 0－0 \＆d7 13 安h1 कh8 14 b4 f5 15 exfS gxfS 16 a4 bxa4

岁d8 22 bS e4 Ivanchuk－ Piket，＇Tilburg 1989．Black has good counterplay．
b2） 9 ．．．bxc4 10 Oxc4 c5 11 \＆ge2（Or even 11 b4！？－

Ivanchuk） 11 ．．．Qbd7 12 b4气h5 \(130-0\) \＆f4 14 कh1 Hb 8 15 \＃b1 Qb6 16 Ob5 cxb4 17 Exb4土／士 Razuvaev－Uhl－ mann，Dortmund 1991.
c） 9 每d2 bxc4 10 Qxc4 c5 （10 ．．．Qb7 11 亿．ge2 cxdS 12 Qxd5 \(\mathrm{EbD}^{\mathrm{bd}} 13\) 乌ec3\(\pm\) Ward －Kotronias，Stockholm 1988） 11 乌gge2 Qbd7 12 0－0 \＃b8 13 \＃ab1 气e8 14 a3 Qb6 15 Qd3 c4 16 Qc2 Qd7 \(17 \mathrm{f4t}\) Petrosian－Kochiev，USSR 1976.

9 首d2 is an interesting idea since if 9 ．．．b4 10 Ece2！cS \(11 \quad \mathrm{~g} 4\) and all White＇s forces are concen－ trated on the kingside， while Black is very slow on the queenside．


Kasparov＇s novelty which he introduced at Reykjavik 1988．If instead 10 ．．．Qb7， White gains an advantage as follows，according to analysis by the Yugoslav， Barlov： 11 Qge2 Qbd7（11 ．．． Qxd5 12 Qxd5 Qxd5 13 Qc3

Qb7 14 Qe4） 12 0－0 Qb6 13 QgS QbxdS 14 \＆xdS QxdS 1S Exc3 紫b6＋ 16 कh1 Qb7 17 Qxf6 Qxf6 18 Qe4 with central control．The point of Black＇s 10th move here is to wrench open the dark－ squared diagonal for his king＇s bishop，while the white king is still stuck in the centre．

In the main game，Ka－ sparov＇s gambit looks in－ sufficient for Black，but a recent attempt to rehabili－ tate it is with \(10 \ldots\) ．． \(\mathrm{bd} 7(\mathrm{t})\) ， which generated tremen－ dous counterplay for Black in the foilowing two exam－ ples： 11 Qc2（Koeksma is a fast learner，e．g． 11 Qge2 Qb6 12 Og5 h6 13 Qh4 Qb7 \(140-0\) 娄d7 15 ge4 0 e 816 Qf2 f5 17 Qc2 乌f6 18 a 4 贯h7 19 Qb3 Eac8 20 aS \＆c4 21 Qxc4 Exc4 22 Qxa7 Qa6 23 Qf2 QxbS 24 㟶b3 Qa6 25
 e3 28 Qxe3 स्थxc3 29 bxc3
 Qxd1 32 类xc8 \＃xc8 33 \＃xd1 0－1 Jolles－Hoeksema，Gro－ ningen Open 1990） 11 ．．．Qb6 12 Qb3 e4 13 f4 ab 14 bxa6 Qxa6 15 Qh3 Qc4 16 Qxc4 Qxc4 17 Qf2 茪aS 18 背d2 Exd5 19 QxdS 曾xd2＋ 20 Qxd2 QxdS 21 Qdi Qxa2 0－1 Hoeksema－Uhlmann，Die－ ren Open 1990.

\section*{11 Exe4}

Much the best way of
accepting the offer．The alternatives are somewhat depressing for White and Black always seems to emerge with a healthy initiative，e．g． 11 fxe4 E）g4 12 然d2（12 of4 并b6 13 妍d2 fS） \(12 \ldots\) fS 13 \＆f3 Exe3 （perhaps \(13 \ldots\) Qxc3 14 bxc3 fxe4 15 Oxe4 坒e8 \(16 \quad 0-0\) Exe4 17 Qd4 is also play－ able，though now White has the attack in return for sacrificed material） 14 酋xe3 f4 15 岃f2 Qd7 16 0－0 QeS 17 QxeS QxeS．This is a typical case in the King＇s Indian where Black gives up a pawn or pawns to dominate the dark squares．It must be observed that such long－ range sacrifices nearly al－ ways turn out well for Black．

The second posslbility for White is 11 Qxe4 \(仑\) xe4 12 fxe4 尚h4＋ 13 费d2（if 13 g3 Oxc3＋ 14 bxc3 Uxe4 15炭f3 Qf5 16 g4 并xg4 17并和4 Qxg4） \(13 \ldots\) Qd7 14 ©f3 皆g 4 with compen－ sation for the sacrificed pawns．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 11 & Qxd5 \\
\hline 12 & QgS（277） \\
\hline 12 & 家b6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Against Timman，Reyk－ javik World Cup 1988，Kas－ parov had played 12 ．．．皆aS4 13 苞d2 宸xd2 14 Qxd2 Qxb2 1S Z b 1 Og 7 and ultimately won．Presumably he feared

here some improvement－ maybe 15 didi which ultima－ tely led to White＇s advan－ tage in Razuvaev－Lautier， Paris Open 1989．Worth quoting here are some rele－ vant words from Jon Speel－ man about openings novel－ ties in chess．They are quite pertinent to the debate， which we see here，raging around Kasparov＇s attempts to make his gambit \(8 \ldots\) bS work．
＂Until about 25 years ago，news used to travel very slowly in the chess world．A man with an opening novelty might be able to use it two or three times in the course of a year before it became ＇public knowledge＇．Since then there has been a grad－ ual acceleration，partly due to better technology and partly due to a better in－ formed and more demand－ ing chess public．Today a professional like myself can expect to see the bull－
etin of a major tournament within a few days of the end－if not in instalments by fax during the event．＂
（Jon Speelman in The Sunday Correspondent）．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
13 & \(\ddot{y} \mathrm{~d} 2\) & 乌d7 \\
14 & 0 c 4 & Q5f6 \\
15 & Qxf6 & （278）
\end{tabular}


Black＇s next move is an unnatural way to recapture． In the first instance it en－ courages exchanges when Black is material down， while secondly，Black vol－ untarily offers the ex－ change of his king＇s bishop， conventionally his most potent unit in the King＇s Indian．The sole virtue of Black＇s next move is that it somewhat undermines White＇s grip on the central dark squares．As we shall see，though，when Black seeks to take advantage of this，later in the game，his efforts are exposed as ill－ usory．The natural and strong recapture is 15 ．．． Qxf6，for example 16 Qe3

気e8 17 由f2 Exe3 18 安xe3 Qg4＋ 19 fxg 4 Qd4，exploit－ ing the latent force of Black＇s King＇s Indian bishop to skewer the white queen． Alternatives are 16 Qe2 Qe8 17 Qh4 dS！ 18 Qf2 首e6 19 Qd3 Qe4！or 18 Qd3 Qb7 19 Qf2 d4 followed by ．．．Qds and ．．．Qe3．This last var－ iation was pointed out by Kasparov after the game at Linares and goes a long way towards justifying Black＇s cholce of opening variation．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
15 & \(\ldots\) & Qxf6 \\
16 & Qxf6 & Qxf6 \\
17 & Qe2 & \＃e8 \\
18 & \(0-0-0\) & \(d 5\) \\
19 & Qd3 &
\end{tabular}

There is a complicated and probably stronger al－ ternative here in 19 Qb3 ＂xe2 20 析xe2 QfS 21 Ed2 \＃ \(\mathrm{c} 8+22 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{~d} 423 \mathrm{~g} 4\) ． 19 ．．．a6
Hoping to open up some lines against White＇s king， and at last admitting that he will be unable to re－ establish material equil－ ibrium ever by capturing the White pawn on bs．
\[
20 \text { bxa6 d4 }
\]

In Europe Echecs，Barlov looks at 20 ．．．Qxa6 21 Qxa6首xa6 22 Q c 3 気ec8 23 安b1 Ec4，but claims that White beats off the attack after 24 a3．

21 它b1 Ee3（279）
The threat to capture on

d3 Is too transparent． Moreover，on e3 the rook is over－exposed．As so often against Gulko，Kasparov is carried away by the exub－ erance of his own attacking schemes，when the simple 21 ．．．日xa6 22 Qxa6 家xa6， and White must retreat with 23 乌cl，would still keep him in contention．
\[
22 \text { Qc4 Qxa6 }
\]

By exchanging dark－ squared bishops，Black has gained control of e3，but it was not hard to repulse hlm．If now 23 ．．．首xa6 24 §c1 Ed8 25 列xe3 and the two rooks win easily ag－ ainst Black＇s queen．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 23 & ．．． & 20xa6 \\
\hline 24 & Q xd 4 & Z1e8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Their clock times were now Gulko thirty and Kasparov twelve minutes left to reach move \(\mathbf{4 0}\) ．If 24 … 気ea3 2S 気c2！Exc2 26䉼8＋尚xd8 27 可 \(x d 8+67\) 284 a 3 wins．Gulko now played the excellent knight
retreat ．．．

\section*{25 Qe2}

By redirecting to c3 White establishes full control．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \({ }^{2} 5\) & & \(\mathrm{EbS}^{\text {c }}\) \\
\hline 25 & 4 c 3 & 学b4 \\
\hline 27 & Ethel & Ed6 \\
\hline 28 & 策2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Of course not 28 㒸xd6？？首xb2 mate！


 does not have much chance in the endgame，but it might still have been superior to what he now plays．

31 Md2
If 31 ．．．先xd2 32 马exd2！ Exxc3 33 Ёd8＋！\＃̈xd8 34 Exd8＋ 6 g 7 and the pawn is unpinned，so 35 bxc3！ follows and White wins．

32 tbal \(\mathrm{Eb7}\)
33 荈 h 6 CB
34 Zed2 皆aS

\section*{ \(36 \quad \mathrm{~g} 4\)}
＂One of the secrets of winning is not to try too hard．Gulko slowly imp－ roves his position awaiting favourable opportunities to exchange pleces．＂（words of wisdom from Jon Speel－ man in The Sunday Corres－ pondent）．


The rest is a long slow
death agony for Kasparov， as Gulko gradually but inexorably gathers together all the disparate threads of his position．Ultimately in such situations，the player with the big material advantage who survives the attack，always gets the attack himself，and then it is time to resign．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 40 & 炎d2 & h6 \\
\hline 41 & Ed6 & ［04 \\
\hline 42 & \％d4 & Eac8 \\
\hline 43 & \＄b1 & 昆eS \\
\hline 44 & f4 & 䐴e6 \\
\hline 45 & 舛e2 & Exd4 \\
\hline 46 & Exd4 & 㮯66 \\
\hline 47 & 咱d2 &  \\
\hline 48 & 奖d3 & 䍖c6 \\
\hline 49 & a3 & －\({ }^{\text {g }} 2\) \\
\hline 50 & Ed6 & tb8 \\
\hline 51 & 少e2 & Whi＋ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

If S1 ．．．落xh3 52 峟eS 嵌h4 53 gS ！etc．

52 कba2 Ele8
53 当d3 El
54 要d4 1－0

\section*{14) Saemisch others}

In this section we concentrate on the gambit \(6 \ldots \mathrm{cS}\). Black gives away a pawn, apparently for nothing, but practice has shown that if White takes the pawn he must face such a wave of dark square counterplay that he cannot hope objectively for any advantage. Paradoxically, as in the extract Christiansen - Polgar White fares better when he declines the bait.

Other sixth move alternatives for Black such as 6 \(\ldots\)... \(\mathbf{a 6}, 6 \ldots\) b6 and \(6 \ldots .4 b d 7\), although they have on occasion led to resounding victories for the second player are frankly speaking inferior. Correct White treatment, as given here, invariably leaves the black camp drained of inner vitality.

Game 33
Karpov - J Polgar Monaco 1992
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1 & \(c 4\) & \(g 6\) \\
2 & \(d 4\) & \(0 g 7\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll}
3 & Qc3 & Q 66 \\
4 & e4 & \(d 6\) \\
5 & \(f 3\) & \(0-0\)
\end{tabular}

It is possible to attack White's centre by playing c5 at once but after \(5 \ldots\) c5 \(6 \mathrm{dxc5} d x c 57\) 岩xd8+ 需xd8 8 Qe3 Black's position has no dynamism, and, as even the great Bobby Fischer once had to admit, Black can generate no winning chances from this barren wilderness. Indeed, it is Black who has to defend carefully because of the misplaced position of his king.


Interestingly, this thrust makes more sense as a pawn sacrifice since, in

Wine to accept it White has to activate Black＇s king＇s rook which plays an important part in procee－ dings．

Others are：
a） 6 ．．．a6 7 Qd 3 cS 8 dxcS dxc5 9 eS ©fd7 10 f4 Q）c6 11 Qf3 f6，Piasetski－Eslon， Alicante 1977， 12 exf6 \(\pm\) ． Compare this with Christ－ lansen－J Polgar，note to White＇s 8th below，and here White effectively en－ joys an extra tempo．How－ ever，less convincing is 9 Qxc5 Qco 10 Qge2（10 Qe3！ ©d7 \(11 \mathrm{f} 4 \infty\) ） \(10 \ldots\) ．．． d 711 Qf2 ©deSm Beliavsky－Kas－ parov，Candidates Quarter－ Flnal（8） 1983.
b）The convoluted 6 ．．． Qbd7 was tried in the well－ known game Beliavsky－ Nunn，Wijk aan Zee 1985. After 7 崽d2 cS 8 dS ©e5 9 h37！©h5！ 10 Qf2 fS！ 11 exfS FxfS 12 g 4 Exf 3 ！ 13 gxhS当f8 14 气e4 Qh6，the Eng－ lish grandmaster went on to win brilliantly and the game was subsequently voted the best of the year by the Informator panel． However，later in the tour－ nament Timman improved on White＇s play with 9 \(\operatorname{OgS}(!)\) and after \(9 \ldots\) ．．．a6 10 f4 亿ed7 11 乌f3 bS 12 cxbS axbS 13 QxbS，Black was a pawn down for not much compensation，but managed
to draw，Timman－Nunn， Wijk aan Zee 1985.

The English grandmaster and King＇s Indian guru， William Watson later att－ empted to revive the line for Black with 9 ．．．e6，but after 10 f 4 Eeg 411 dxe6 Qxe6 12 乌f3 bS 13 cxbS dS 14 e5 d4 15 exf6 气xf6 16
 ©f2，Plaskett－Watson， British Ch．1990，Black＇s piece sacrifice was looking rather speculative．
c） \(6 \ldots\) b6（283）is another attempt to sidestep the main Iines which is not much seen these days．A couple of recent examples：

c1） 7 哲d2 cS 8 与ge2 厶ac6 9 d 5 乌e5 10 乌g 3 e6（ \(10 \ldots \mathrm{hS}\) 11 Qe2 h4 12 \＆f1 a6 13 Qh6m Shirov） 11 ge2 exdS 12 cxdS a6 13 a4 £h5 14 Qxh5 gxhS 15 Oh6 治h4＋ 16 g 3 骀 6 Oxg7 学xg7 \(18 \mathrm{f} 4 . \hat{\mathrm{Cg}} 419 \mathrm{~h} 3\) Qf6 200－0－0 تle8 21 Qf3 b5 22 Hde1 §d7 23 eS dxeS 24 d6 7 b 8 C 25 axbS axbS 26 Oc6

f5 cxb2＋ 30 安b1 Ele8 31 g4 f6 32 Zhg1 由h8 33 gxh5 茪f7 34 h6 Qb7 35 Zg 7 戕c4 36第解 \(\mathrm{Eg}^{8} 37\) Qxb7 慈a4 38气c3 苞a1＋ 39 由c2 b4 40 QdS 1－0 Ivanchuk－Hellers，Biel 1989.
c2） 7 Qd3（284）（This move is the reason that the variation with 6 ．．．b6 has gone out of fashion）

c21） \(7 \ldots\) cS？？ 8 eS！\(\Delta\) Qe4＋－．
c22） 7 ．．．©fd7 8 Qge2 cS
 Eb8 12 a4 eS 13 dxeS dxeS 14 QdS §d4 15 b4士 Brennink－ meijer－Damljanovic，Wijk aan Zee 1990.
c23） \(7 \ldots\) Qb7 8 Qge2 c5 9 dS e6 10 ggSt Gheorghiu－ Stein，Moscow 1967．After this game Black＇s 7th was never seen again as the b7－ bishop is facing a granite wall．
c24） \(7 \ldots\) a6 8 Qge2 c5 9 e5 Qe8 10 Qe4 马a7 11 dxcS bxcS 12 QxcS 4 Ed 713 Qe3士 Biyiasis－Torre，Manila lzt． 1976.

\section*{7 dxcS}

7 £ge2 §c6 8 临d2 b6 9 dS \＆es 10 气gg 3 transposes into Ivanchuk－Hellers ab－ ove．

7 ．．．dxcS
8 炭xd8
White also has an inter－ esting way of declining the sacrifice which has been successful in practice： 8 eS Qfd7 9 f4 f6 10 exf6 exf6 （If 10 ．．．\＃xff6！？ 11 Oxc5 离aS 12 Qf2 Ed6 13 畄c1 EcS 14 ©f3 rov，Biel 1991．However， 11乌f3！\(\ddagger\) ）and now（285）：

a） \(11 Q \mathrm{e} 2\) 乌c6 12 Qf3 Ze 8 13 Qf2 乌b6（13 ．．．fS \(140-0 \pm\) Gelfand） 14 首 \(x d 8 Q x d 815\) QxcS \＆xe4 160－0－0 Qe6 17 Ed4 Qf7 18 \＆dbS Ela8 Por－ tisch－Gelfand，Linares 1990．A very complicated position but Black＇s re－ sources should be ade－ quate．
 13 ge2 Qa6 14 日di QfS 15 Bfa！（This is an improve－ ment over 15 O －0 萄e7 16

Qh4 ©c200 Christiansen－ Nunn，Vienna 1991，because there are now no tactics for Black） 15 ．．．岁f7 16 b3 Zfe8 17 Zhf1 Qf8 18 \＆h4t Christiansen－J Polgar， Vienna 1991．White plans an eventual fS when Black＇s position would become un－ comfortable．


A natural move，though 10 Qa3 has recently proved more popular if less effec－ tive：
a） \(10 \ldots\) aS and now：
a1） 11 乌d \(5 ~ \& x d 512 \mathrm{cxd} 5\) Qb4 13 0－0－0（13 ETd1？！e6 14 Qc4 exdS 15 exdS \＆c2＋ 16 कf2 Qxa3 17 bxa3 Qd7干 Timoshenko－Khalifman， USSR 1987） \(13 \ldots\) e6 14 Qc4 exdS 15 Qxb4 axb4 16 ExdS Qe6ळ Beliavsky－Nunn， Amsterdam OHRA 1990.
a2） 11 QcS is a strange idea，e．g． 11 ．．．乌d7 12 Qe3 a4 13 0－0－0 a3 Knaak－ Wojtkiewicz，Stara Zagora

Zt．1990．Black has compen－ sation and eventually won．
a3） 11 Ïd1 Qe6 12 乌dS QxdS 13 cxdS Qbb 14 QbS Sc2＋ 15 bf2 \＆xa3 16 bxa3 e6 was played in both Knaak －Piket，Novi Sad OI． 1990 and van der Sterren－Shirov， Kerteminde 1991．Again Black has perfectly ade－ quate compensation for the pawn．
b） \(10 \ldots\) e \(6!?\) is the latest nuance in this line．This was played in Ivanchuk－ Gelfand，Regglo Emilla 1991／92，when Black equal－ ised after 11 乌ge2 b6 12 乌a4 Qh6 13 EZd1 Qa6 14 Elec3 Ed4 150 d 3 Qh5．

10
Qd7（287）
If \(10 \ldots\) QxdS（In his ori－ ginal notes，Karpov claimed this move was forced which is clearly not the case） 11 cxdS Oxb2 12 Ebbi（If 12 日d Qc3＋followed by ．．．b6 causes trouble） 12 ．．．D8c3＋ 13 bf2 b6（Not good，but as Karpov points out 13 ．．． Qd4＋ 14 Oxd4 ©xd4 15 be3 eS 16 f4 f6 17 fxeS fxeS 18 Qf3 \(4 \times f 319 \mathrm{gxf3}\) is winn－ ing） 14 Qa3 QeS 15 Qxe7， Karpov－Barle，Ljubljana／ Portoroz 1975．Black has no compensation for the pawn． 11 Qxe7
If 11 Qxe7＋\(Q\) xe7 12 Qxe7 Qxb2 13 Qxd8（Or 13 Eb1 Qc3＋ 14 官f2 Qd4＋ 15 思g He8 16 QgS 乌f6 17 乌h3 \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{hS}\)

\(1 / 2-1 / 2\) Timman－Sax，Zagreb 1985） \(13 \ldots\) Qxal and White＇s development is too retar－ ded and his pawns too scattered to be able to speak of any advantage， e．g． 14 Qe7 QeS is f4 \＆）c6 16 Qd6 Qe6\％Rodriguez－ Chekhov，Algarve 1975.

110 a3，refusing the bait on e7，is an alternative，e．g． 11 ．．．e6 12 Qc7 E b8 13 0－0－0 13 ．．．b6（13 ．．．a6 14 f4 Qf8 15 Oxf8 需xf8 16 乌f3 कौ 17 ITe1 £a7 18 b4m Petursson －Mortensen，Espoo Zt． 1989） 14 QbS Qa6 15 Qe2 §deS 16 §ec3 Qh6 17 क゙c2是xd1 18 \＆xd1 Hassapis，British Ch． 1990.

The capture with the bi－ shop on move 11 exchanges a useful piece but has the virtue of maintaining the White structure intact and of retaining the useful knight on the dominating dS－square．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
11 & ت． & 乌xe7 \\
12 & Qxe7＋ & \＄f8 \\
13 & QdS & Qxb2
\end{tabular}

\section*{14 Eb1 Qa3}

This is a curious bishop retreat，especially consid－ ering that Black has done okay after the more natural \(14 \ldots \mathrm{Og} 7\)（288），e．g．

a） 15 Qe2 \(Q \mathrm{cS} 16\) Qcl Qe6 17 §d3 Bac8 18 Qe2 Qa4 19 Q3f4 gS 20 QhS Qc3＋ 21 由f1 Od4 \(\mathrm{EB}_{\text {Gheorghiu－Gelfand，}}\) Palma de Mallorca GMA 1989.
b） 15 g 4 b 616 gS Qb7 17 h4 日lac8 18 f4 台cS 19 eS QxdS 20 cxdS ExdS 21 Eth2 Ed4 \(\overline{\text { F }}\) Levitt－W Watson， London（Watson，Farley \＆ Williams） 1990.
c） 15 Qh3 QcS 16 Qf2 （Black has his usual com－ pensation but now chose incorrectly to play to get it back rather than maintain－ ing the pressure） 16 ．．．fS （16 ．．．b6） 17 ＠e2 Qd4 18 Д̈d1 Qxf2 19 需xf2 fxe4 20 Qc7士 Karpov－Gallati，Zurich Simultaneous 1988．Presu－ mably，Karpov would also have chosen 15 Qh3 against Judit if she had played 14 ．．．
－ g 7 instead of 14 ．．．＠a3．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
15 & \(\triangleq \mathrm{~h} 3\) & b 6 \\
16 & \(\frac{0 \mathrm{e} 2}{}\) & 乌eS \\
17 & \(\sum \mathrm{f} 2\) & ob7 \\
18 & \(\mathrm{f4}\) & 乌c6（289）
\end{tabular}


19 h 4
Partly to generate coun－ terplay by advancing the pawn and partly to develop his rook via h3．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
19 & \(\ldots\) & 乌d4 \\
20 & \＃h3 & \＃ac8 \\
21 & hS &
\end{tabular}

Black clearly has superb positional compensation for the sacrificed pawn in terms of superior coordi－ nation．White obviously cannot play 21 Exa3 on account of \(21 \ldots\) ．． 0 c \(2+\) ，Even after the text Black has adequate counterplay．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{}} \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

At this point the fifteen－ year－old Hungarian teenage girl prodigy overplays her hand．If 22 ．．． 0 xe2 23 Exa3
 24 hxg6 hxg6 25 g 3 is ann－

oying for Black．The best is 22 ．．．Qb4＋ 23 安f1（Not 23 ［ixb4 今c2＋） 23 ．．．今xe2 24
 Ïc2＋ 25 贯f1 gc5 seems fine． After the text her pieces get into something of a tangle and Karpov does what he is best at doing， namely consolidation．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
23 & Qd3 & Ëxa2 \\
24 & Qc4 & \＃̈c2 \\
25 & \＃xa3 & \＃xc4 \\
26 & \＃xa7 &
\end{tabular}

Suddenly White has the advantage again．Karpov is still a pawn up，he has a rook on the seventh rank， Black＇s b－pawn is weak， White＇s centre is secure （the knight on f 2 is a brill－ lant defender）and even White＇s h－pawn has some－ thing to say in the future．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 26 & \(\cdots\) & bs \\
\hline 27 & h6 & b4 \\
\hline 28 & Qg 4 & Sc2＋ \\
\hline 29 & 电d2 & ¢03 \\
\hline 30 & Ef1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Karpor has seen that he does not need to defend his


This is decisive since Black can no longer defend the pawn on h7，after which White＇s h6－pawn becomes a mighty force．


32 由e3 4c2＋
33 由f3 苋d3＋
34 血2 2 Z3xd5
Vainly hoping that White will be distracted by the meagre booty of 35 Q xd5 but first Karpov introduces an important intermezzo．

35 Exh77＋
The rook on dS cannot run away．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 35 & \(\cdots\) & d8 \\
\hline 36 & Qf6＋ & bh8 \\
\hline 37 & QxdS & ExdS \\
\hline 38 & Exf7 & b3 \\
\hline 39 & \(\mathrm{EF}^{6} 7\) & Qd4＋ \\
\hline 40 & कf2 & Qbs \\
\hline 41 & Eal & \％d2＋ \\
\hline 42 & 电g3 & \％22 \\
\hline 43 & Hd & 1－0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{15）Four Pawns and Averbakh}

In this chapter we look at two lines which have been common in former years but are no longer so popu－ lar．

The Four Pawns Attack， attempting to dominate the centre from an early stage， was considered the refuta－ tion of the King＇s Indian Defence in the 1920s，until the correct methods for Black to attack the pawn centre were unearthed． Nowadays，it is regarded as a speculative attacking line， perhaps most effective as a surprise weapon．

The Averbakh system， named in honour of the Russian grandmaster who invented it，Yuri Averbakh， is designed to give White a permanent strategic grip and stifle Black＇s tactical aspirations．In common with the Four Pawns Att－ ack，it is has remained part of the arsenal of a few grandmasters，but is now－ adays，from a theoretical standpoint at least，some－ thing of a sideline．

Game 34
Christiansen－Kasparov
Moscow Interzonal 1982


Others：
a） \(6 \ldots Q_{g} 47 Q^{2} 3\) Qfd7 8 h3 Qxf3 9 出xf3 eS 10 dxeS dxeS 11 fS §c6 120－0－0\＆ 44 13 覚f2 c6 14 g4 首aS 15 gS ฐfd8 16 h4 Qc5 17 电bl bS 18 cxbS cxbS 19 Qg2 Qa4 20 f6 Qf8 21 乌d5 b4 22乌e7＋Qxe7 23 fxe7 \({ }^{-1} \mathrm{db} 824\) Qxd4 exd4 25 Zhf1 fS 26 gxf6 कf7 27皆xd4 1－0 Glek－Damljano－
vic，Belgrade 1988．A very instructive game，where White was always better．
b） 6 ．．．Qa6！？，demonstra－ ting contempt for White＇s expansionist ideology，is the latest try（293）：


7 Qe2（7 e5 is obviously a critical test： \(7 \ldots\) Qe8 \(17 \ldots\)仓）d7！？\} 8 cS！？dxeS 9 fxeS 0 g 410 Qe3 c6 11 Qc4 Sec7 \(120-0\) bS 13 Qb3 Qbb4 14㟶d2 Qbd5 15 Qh6 等d7 16 gael a5 \(1 / 2-1 / 2\) ，although this position is \(\pm / \pm\) ，Dittmar－ Kochiev，Gausdal 1991） 7 ．．． eS 8 fxeS（Feeble is 8 dxeS dxe5 9 誓xd8 \({ }^{5} \mathrm{~F} x \mathrm{~d} 810\) 公xe5 Ecc 11 Qf3 乌fd7 12 §xd7 Qxc3 13 bxc3 Qxd7 14 O－0 Qc6 15 Qe3 \＆xe4 16 Qxe4 Qxe4－Vera－Bass，Barce－ lona 1990） 8 ．．．dxeS 9 dS and now：
b1） \(9 \ldots\) c6？！doesn＇t look right，e．g． 10 Qg5 皆b6 11然b3 第aS 12 Qd2 h6 13 Oh4 g5 14 Qf2 Qg4 15 斯d1 Qxe2 16 啠xe2土 Maksimenko－ Martynov，USSR Teams 1991.
b2） \(9 \ldots\) QcS 10 QgS h6 11
 aS 14 a3 axb4 15 axb4 皆xa1
皆b2 QxcS 19 bxcS Qh3 20
 \＃c1 23 乌d2 0 g 424 岩bS c6＝ Hausner－Khalifman，Bun－ desliga 1990／91．

7 dS
7 dxcS is another try for White which has the bene－ fit of obliging Black to waste time recovering the pawn： 7 ．．．等aS 8 Od3 Hxce5 9 然e2 Qc6 10 Qe3 新hS（ \(10 .\).
 is a safer route to equallty） \(11 \mathrm{~h} 3 \quad \lg 412 \quad 0-0\) Qxf3 13

 Edit Braga－Reyes，Toledo 1991.

\section*{7 ．．．e6}

When White has exten－ ded himself somewhat with f4，the Benko Gambit app－ roach is always going to be a possibility，e．g． \(7 \ldots\) bS 8 cxb5 a6 9 a4（9 bxa6 allows good counterplay，e．g． 9 ．．． HaS 10 Qd2 \(110 \ldots.)^{2} b d 711\)
 c4 14 Qxc4 \(Q g^{4 \bar{\infty}}\) Herzog－ Dzindzichashvili，St．Martin 1991\} 10 ．．．Qxa6 11 Qe2 \({ }^{4}\) b4 12 eS dxes 13 fxeS Qgg 44 Oxa6 \＆）xa6ळ Kozul－Koch－ iev，Palma 1989） 9 ．．．e6 10 dxe6 Qxe6 11 Qe 2 axbS 12 Qxbs \＆at 13 0－0 Qc7 14 Od3 当b8 15 eS dxe5 16 Exe5t S Ivanov－Kuprei－
chik，Leningrad 1989.
8 dxe6
8 Qe2 is considered in the next game．

8


9 Qd3
9 Qe2 may give White more chances to develop the initiative，e．g． \(9 \ldots\) Ech 10 0－0 a6 11 由hi 前c7 12 －9 bl
 15 b4士 Kouatly－Nijboer， Wijk aan Zee 1988.


This move turns out highly successfuily，but there has been a curious reluctance to repeat it． Others：
a） 10 ．．．a6 11 啚e1（11 thi led to a promising attack for White in Bykhovsky－ Ginsburg，New York 1990：
 cxd4 14 §e 4 dxe5 15 fxeS Qxes \(16 \quad 0 \mathrm{~g} 5\) 日xf1＋ 17 光xf1
 20 Qh6ळा） 11 ．．．bS 12 exbS axbS 13 QxbS \(£ \mathrm{~d} 414\) §xd4


17 Ef3 Qxd3 18 日xd3 \({ }^{\text {gfc8}}\) Danner－Kindermann，Pra－ gue 1988.
b） 10 ．．． \(\mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{hS}}\) led to a po－ werful performance by Black in Maximenko－Ve－ limirovic，Vrnjacka Banja 1991： 11 乞gs e5 12 fxeS QxeS 13 Qe2 Qf \(^{2} 14\) 气bS h6 15 气f3乡xf3＋ 16 Qxf3 Qe6 17 乡xd6 Eg4F 18 Qxg4 Qd4 49
 Qxe6＋由h7 22 eS 炎e7 23
亩g1 bs 26 Qd2 bxc4 27 Qc6

\({ }^{11}\) ©gs es

12 f5 h6（295）


13 Eh3
The piece sacrifice 13 fxg6 hxg5 14 Oxg5 was worth a look，although af－ ter 14 ．．．Qeb 15 §ds Oxd5 16 exds the pawn sacrifice 16 ．．．e4！ 17 Qe4 ke7 allows Black to activate his forces．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
13 & \(\cdots\) & gxfS \\
14 & exfS & bS！
\end{tabular}

This flanking blow is all the more powerul for hav－ ing been delayed．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 15 & Qe3 & bxc4 \\
\hline 16 & Oxc4＋ & कh8 \\
\hline 17 & \(0 \times 14\) & cxd4 \\
\hline 18 & AdS & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Black has a clear posi－ tional advantage，but the play remains complex．Ka－ sparov continues in deter－ mined fashion．

18 ．．．Qa6！
Giving up the bishop pair in the interests of libera－ ting his central pawns． Christiansen prefers an ex－ change sacrifice to gain tactical chances on the kingside．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 19 & Qxf6 & Qxc4 \\
\hline 20 & QhS & Qxf1 \\
\hline 21 & 党g 4 & 岩d7 \\
\hline 22 & ［ \(\times\) fi & d3 \\
\hline 23 & 产f3 & d2！（296） \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


This pawn proves to be a bone in White＇s throat for the remainder of the game， preventing him from deve－ loping the kingside initia－ tive．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 24 & g4 & Дac8 \\
\hline 25 & 湤d3 & 良a4 \\
\hline 26 & 2f2 & d4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

27 并xd4 amelt The black pawin livet
 ing White problemt yef



Black still has to be carefui，e．g． 34 ．．．Eei？ 35
Qf7＋bg8 36 gef2 Exxd1 37
Qxd6 and White escapes．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 35 & 272 & ze4 \\
\hline 36 & h3 & 2e3＋ \\
\hline 37 & \＄xd4 & 28e4 \\
\hline 38 & कdS & ［］e2 \\
\hline 39 & ［f3 & Eel \\
\hline 40 & f6 & Ef4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

0－1
Notes based on Kaspa－ rov＇s in The Test of Time．

Game 35
Szabo－Timman
Amsterdam 1975
\(1 \quad \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6\)


9 cxd5
Others：
a）The highly sharp 9 e5！？ is adequately countered by 9 ．．．dxes 10 fxe5 乌e4！ 11 cxd5＇\(仓 x\) xc3 12 bxc3 Qg4！－ or 9 ．．．乌e4 10 cxdS \＆xc3 11 bxc3 0 d 7 （ \(11 \ldots\) ．．．g4－） 12 e6 fxe6 13 dxe6 §b6 \(^{14}\) 0－0

 Hernandez，St．John 1988.
b） 9 exdS is a less dyna－ mic recapture．Black has various reasonable respon－ ses and can choose accord－ ing to taste，e．g． 9 ．．．b5！？ （The Benko Gambit idea． After 10 cxb5 ab Black will obtain good compensation against White＇s queenside by combining pressure in the open a－and b－files with the activity of his king＇s
bishop．The move f4 is not very helpful to White in such situations． 9 ．．． \(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{h} 5}\) is also perfectly playable for Black，e．g． 1000 Qxc3 11 bxc3 fS！ 12 §gS 今g7－Glig－ oric，as is 9 ．．．Ite8 \(100-0\) QfS 11 Qd3 牧d7！ 12 h 3 乌a6 13 a 3 \＆） c 714 g 4 （14 比c2？bS 15 cxbS \(\triangleq f x d S 16\) §xdS §xdS 17 OxfS gxfs 18 gbl Ge4 19 gdt 包b6 20 b4 \(4 x b 5\) 21 Exd6c4 22 宸f2 c3 23 学g 3 ye2 24 包 e c2 \(0-1\) Peng Zhao Qin－J Polgar，Novi Sad Ol．1990） 14 ．．．Qxg 4 （14 ．．．Qxd3 15 断xd3 bS！？ 16 cxbs gebs Geller 15 hxg 4皆xg4＋－Conquest－Mestel， Hastings 1986／87） 10 Oxbs ¢e4 \(110-0\) a6 12 §a3？！ （Feeble．White should re－ turn the pawn with 12 今c3！ Qxc3 13 bxc3 Qxc3 \(14 \mathrm{gb1}\) \(12 \ldots\) ．． Ba 713 Qd d Ie7 14 今c2 \＃fe8 15 gel ⿹勹巳 716 今e3乌df6 17 崖c2 Qh5 \(18 \mathrm{~g}^{3}\) Qd4！ （An original Idea！Black is prepared to exchange his king＇s bishop in order to increase his control of e3） 19 亿xd4 cxd4 20 亿g2 \(0 \mathrm{~g} 5!\) （Une petite combinaison） 21 Exe7 乡h3＋ 22 需f1 Exe7！ （Most players would have recaptured with the queen， but see Tal＇s 25 th） 23 Qd 2

 © xf4＋1 28 gxf4 Exel 29 ©xe1 炭h4 30 Qc1（He has to defend f2） 30 ．．．煍xe1 31 h 3

Qh6 32 fS Qxf5 33 Qf4气h4＋ 34 由h2 Qf3＋35 定g2 0xh3＋！ 36 由xf3 告g1 37
 ©gi hxg6 0－1 Thorbergsson －Tal，Reykjavik 1964.

Returning to the position after 9 cxdS（299）：


9
Black has alternative ways to play here：
a） 9 ．．．b5 10 eSt dxe5 11 fxeS \(Q g 4 \quad 12 \quad\) QgSt 4 ．This line was quite popular in the late 1970s，but is not seen at all these days．
b） \(9 \ldots Q_{g} 4\) is a very safe move for Black，e．g． \(100-0\) Qbd7 11 h 3 Qxf3 12 Qxf3
 Noguieras－Kasparov，Bar－ celona World Cup 1989.
\[
10 \text { e5 }
\]

A very sharp line．White tries to swamp Black with his centre pawns．
\(100-0!?\) is a speculative gambit，which was success－ ful in Kouatly－Arnason， Innsbruck 1977： \(10 \ldots\) ．．．\(x\) xe 4

fS 4 d 714 QgS 栟f6 15 fxg 6
皆xd3 18 首xd3 Qes 20 \＃xe1 Qxd3 21 Exe8＋ Qf8 22 乌e6 Qxe6 23 Ïxa8 QxdS 24 Qh6 1－0．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
10 & \(\cdots\) & dxeS \\
11 & fxes & \(乌 \mathrm{St}^{4}\) \\
12 & QgS & f 6
\end{tabular}

Or 12 ．．．桨b6 13 0－0 QfS 14 d6 㱠xb2 15 仓dS \(仑 x e 516\)乌e7＋Elxe7 17 dxe7 乌bc6 18由h1 \＆xf3 \(\triangle\) ．．．首xa1－ （Filip）．

13 exf6 0xf6
14．Wid2 QfS！（300）
The games Forintos－ Ghitescu and Forintos－ Enklaar，Wijk aan Zee 1974， had continued respectively with \(14 \ldots\) QxgS and \(14 \ldots\) QeS．In neither case did Black equalise．


In Peev－Janosevic，Nis 1972，Black lost a tempo by playing at once \(16 \ldots\) ．．．e3 which was met by 17 首h6！． Now White has to waste a move with his h－pawn to
force the desired attacking formation．


White prepares to give up material to get to grips with Black＇s king．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 18 & \(\cdots\) & － \\
\hline 19 & EgS & We7 \\
\hline 20 & d6 & 崖e3＋ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

After a wild opening Black decides to play for a win．he could instead have drawn with \(20 \ldots\) 岂g7 21 Qc4＋Wh8 22 ＠f7＋etc．That is the drawback of such lines as the Four Pawns Attack．They look hyper－ aggressive but can fizzle out to equality against accurate defence．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 21 & 雷xf1 & \(\triangle f 8\) \\
\hline 22 & \({ }_{5} \mathrm{~d} 1\) & ETeS \\
\hline 23 & d7 & 8 Cl 8 \\
\hline 24 & Qc4＋ & Qe6（301） \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


All seems well，but Szabo springs a horrid sur－ prise．

\section*{25 亿xe6！}

If now 25 Exe6 首xh6 26仓xd8＋©g7 27 乌e6＋乌xe6

28 Qxe6 and the d－pawn queen．Or 25 亿xé \(\Delta\) xe6 26敞xe3 【xe3 27 气d5 wins．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 25 & \(\cdots\) & Eafs \\
\hline 26 & Sf4＋ & あh8 \\
\hline 27 & 4 cdS & \％e4 \\
\hline 28 & Qe2 & Qe6 \\
\hline 29 & Qf3 & 桨c4＋ \\
\hline 30 & 或g1 & Qxf4 \\
\hline 31 & 4 E 3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The end of a remarkable combination．Black cannot protect all of his pieces． Meanwhile，White＇s d－pawn remains posed as a terrible threat．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 31 & －． & 畕e6 \\
\hline 32 & DxfS & 働xfS \\
\hline 33 & Ee1 & Qe6 \\
\hline 34 & Qg 4 & 1－0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Game 36 Bareev－Kasparov Unares 1992
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & d4 & Qf6 \\
\hline 2 & c4 & \\
\hline 3 & Qc3 & \(\mathrm{O}_{6} 7\) \\
\hline 4 & e4 & d6 \\
\hline 5 & Qe2 & 0 － \\
\hline 6 & OgS & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A novelty，lent respec－ tability by the strength of the white player was \(6 \mathrm{~g} 4!\) ？ a6 7 gS \＆hS 8 Qe3 bS 9 OxhS gxhs 10 桨xh5 \＆c6 11 Qge2 Qb4． 12 bd2 cS \(\infty\) Ba－ reev－Djuric，Bled 1991.
\[
6 \cdots \quad \text { 毋a6 }
\]

This development of the queen＇s knight，increasingly frequent in all variations of

the King＇s Indian，gives Black maximum flexibility． He retains the option of striking at White＇s centre either by ．．．cS or ．．．eS．
a） \(6 \ldots\) co led to the foll－ owing highly impressive game by Bareev，one of the very few world class cham－ pions of the Averbakh： 7峟d2 Qbd7 8 f3 a6 9 乌h3 b5 10 台f2 bxc4 11 Qxc4 dS 12 Qe2 dxe4 13 fxe4士 eS 14 dS cxdS 15 exdS \(\& b 616\) d6 Qb7 17 0－0 h6 18 Qxh6 Qxh6 19崩xh6 哲xd6 20 Qh3 气bd5 21 EgS Qf4 22 Qf3 Qxf3 23 －xf3 曻d2 24 \＃g \＃f1荌h1 \({ }^{[ } \mathrm{xc} 328\) Qe6！！气） 6 h 529
 reev－Kupreichik，Podolsk 1990.
b） \(6 \ldots \mathrm{cS} 7 \mathrm{dS} \mathrm{h} 6\)（7 ．．．
 \(0-0\) exdS 11 exdS Qg 412 h 3 Qxf3 13 Qxf3 Q bd7 14 奖c2

 Qd1 盖e7 20 尚e4 bef 21要xe7＋雷xe7 22 g4 气ef6 23
（bg2 Ag8 24 Dc2 \＆ Qe8 26 Dd3 今c7 27 Qe4 Qd4 28 Qg3 乌te8 29 a4 Qb2 30 h4 Petursson－Velimi－ rovic，Novi Sad O1． 1990. This is a perfect example of the kind of thing that Black should avoid．White is always slightly better， and Black＇s position is prospectless） 8 Qf4（8 Qe3 e6 9 h3 exdS 10 exdS ofs \(\{10\) ．．．\(\overline{\mathrm{Be}} 8\) is better） 11 g 4 Qc8 12 首d2 bS 13 Oxh6 b4 14 Qxg7 曹xg 7 is Edi \＆e4 16并f4 and Black has insuffi－ cient compensation，Hort－ J Polgar，Munich 1991）and now（303）：

b1） 8 ．．．е6 9 dxe6 0 xe6 10㱔d2 \＄h7（10 ．．．畨b6！ 11 Qxh6 Qxh6 12 桨xh6 盖xb2 13 気c1 Q \(0614 \mathrm{~h} 4 \infty\) ） 11 Qxd6 Ee8 12 eS（12 乌f3 乌）c6 13 0－0 Og 4 14 Zad1 \＆xe4 15 Qxe4 気xe4 16 QxcS Oxf3 17 Qxf3 烸xd2 18 Дxdz Exc 4.19 Qe3［b4 20 b3 a5 21 Ell a4 22 OdS Gelfand－Akopian，USSR 1990） 12 ．．．Qfd7 13 f4 f6 14 h4 fxeS is hS \＆act 16 0－0－0

Qd4 17 Qd3 安g8 18 乌f3 Og4 19 hxg 6 \＃̈e6 20 fxeS Qxf3 21 gxf3 \(0 \times f 322\) 知g2 Bareev－ Akopian，Moscow 1990. White always looked better here and he went on to win in 42 moves．
b2） \(8 \ldots\) 鳥e8 9 首d2 费h7 10 0－0－0？！（This works out badly after Black＇s re－ sponse） 10 ．．．bS！ 11 f 3 宸aS 12 cxbS a6 13 b6 Qbd7 14 b7 Qxb7 15 g 4 Eleb8 \(16 \mathrm{h4}\) Oc8干


 23 \＃xc2 fS 24 gxf5 gxfS 25 Qd3 c4 26 仓gS + hxgS 27 Qxf5 + 由g 828 Qd2 宸xa2 29䆝c1 \＆c5 0－1 Petursson－ Wojtkiewicz，Vienna 1990. This was a fine game by Black．
c） \(6 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 67\) Qe3（304）：

c1） \(7 \ldots\) eS 8 dS c6（8 ．．． Qbd7 \(9 \mathrm{h4}\{9 \mathrm{~g} 4\) 仓） CS 10 f 3 c6 11 乌ीh3 cxdS 12 cxdS aS 13 ©f2 Qd7 14 a400 Keene－ Gligoric，Hastings 1971／72\} 9 ．．．hS 10 OgS as 11 g4？ hxg 412 Qxg 4 QcS 13 Qf3 c6
 b3 a4 17 Qge2 axb3 18 axb3 cxdS 19 cxdS Qg4－＋Griff－ iths－Keene，Birmingham 1971．White should have tried is 0－0－0） 9 갑d2（ \(9 \mathrm{h4}\) cxdS 10 cxdS 乌bd7 \(\{10 \ldots\) bSV7co） 11 hS gS 12 f3 a6 13 g4 bS 14 a4 with a distinct advantage to White，Petro－ sian－Schweber，Stockholm Izt．1962） 9 ．．．hS：
c11） 10 f3 cxdS（10 ．．．a6 11 h4 cxdS 12 exdS b5 13 Qh3 Qxh3 14 Exh3 Qbd7 15 \(a 4 \pm / \pm\) b4 16 Sdi 妍aS 17 \＆f2 \＆c5 18 Qdi Qfd7 19 g 4 fS 20 gxfS gxfS 21 exfS
 24 Eg 3 e4 25 Eag 1 exf3 +26由f1 Eaa7 27 Qd4 欮d8 28莫h6 炭e7 29 Exf3＠cd7 30 Qxa7 Ee5 31 Ёxg7＋Exg7 32 Exf6 1－0 Bareev－Uhlmann， Dortmund 1990） 11 cxd5 Qd7 12 Od3 Qa6 13 Qge2 QcS 14 Qc2 aS 15 a4 今e8 16 0－0 E）c7．17 Ea3士 Petursson－ Gallagher，Brocco Open 1990．This game is like a Saemisch Variation where Black has failed to organise effective counterplay．
c12） 10 h 3 cxdS 11 cxdS Qa6 12 Qf3 亿c5 13 乌g5 Qd7 14 b4 乌a4 15 仑xa4 Qxa4 16 bS a6 17 b6 ObS 18 習c1 Hort－Uhlmann，Novi Sad Ol． 1990.
c2） 7 ．．．cS 8 dxcS 迸aS 9毞d2（9 Qd2－） \(9 \ldots \mathrm{dxcS} 10\) Qxh6 名d8 11 艮3 \(0 \times h 612\)

宸xh6 乌）xe4 13 \＃cl 气cc 14 ©f3 气d4 is h4 气xe2 16 气gS

由g3 \(\mathrm{Exg}^{2+!!}\)（305）

 24 苗xh5 gxh5 25 \＆d5 \(\boldsymbol{m}^{6} 8\) 26 \＃̈cd1 e6 27 \＆f4 कe7 28
 ©xf7 \(\mathrm{Eg} 8+31\)＠g5 \(\overline{\mathrm{Exg} 5}+32\) hxg5 h4＋ 33 宙f2 曻xf4 34 Ed7

 Seirawan－Timman，Tilburg 1990） 8 ．．．dxe5 9 dxe5首xd1 10 IXdi \(\triangleq \mathrm{g} 4{ }^{11}\) Qxc5 Qxe5 12 Qd5 Qbc6 13 \＆f3 Qe6 14 b3 Ifd8 15 0－0 \(\overline{\mathrm{Ed} 7}\) 16 Qf4 QfS 17 Qxe5 QxeS＝ Petursson－Piket，Wijk aan Zee 1990.

 a6 12 乌f2 bS 13 cxbS 4 b6 14 \(0-0\) 亿fd7 15 乌fd1 \＆िes 16 b3 axbS 17 Qxbs fS 18 \＃̈cl g5 Yakovich－Bologan，Gaus－ dal 1991.
\(\begin{array}{ll}7 & h 4\end{array}\)
An extraordinary looking
move but it soon trans－ poses to more familiar sit－ uations．Others are：
a） 7 \＆f3 h6 8 Qf4 e5 9 dxeS \＆h5 10 Qe3 dxeS 11败c1 कb7 12 0－0（12 c5 f5 13 Qxa6 and now in Seirawan－ Spraggett，Manila Izt．1990， the players decided to take the day off） \(12 \ldots\) c6 \(13 \mathrm{c5}\)削7 14 乌d2 \＆f4 15 Oxa6 bxa6 16 Qc4 崖e6 17 Qxf4 exf4 18 \＆d 6 ge5 19 I7d1 f3 \(\infty\) Uhlmann－Nunn，Dortmund 1991.
b） 7 병d2 eS 8 dS （306）and now：

b） 8 ．．．c6 9 Qd3（ 9 Q d1 cxdS 10 cxdS bS 11 a3 \＆cs 12 f3 a5 13 © xbS Qa6 14 a4 g b8 15 § 2 2 \(0 \times b 516\) axb5 Jxb5 17 Дa2 \＃ै Qe3士 Petursson－Wojt－ kiewicz，Bad Wörishofen
马b1 的b4 12 Øge2 aS 13 a3当xc4 14 Qxf6 Qxf6 is b4 axb4 16 axb4 cxd5 17 bxcS d4 18 亿dS Od8 19 Qb3＋－ Petursson－Nunn，Reykjavik 1990．Black does not have
enough compensation for the sacrificed piece．
b2） 8 ．．．将e8 9 Qd1 \＆）c5 10 Qc2 aS 11 Øge2 QhS 12 QbS曾d7 \(130-0-0\) b6 \(14 \mathrm{f3}\) a4 15 g4 \(仓 f 4 \quad 16 \quad\) ©xf4 exf4 17 Qxf4 Qa6顶 Seirawan－Piket， Wijk aan Zee 1991.
c） \(7 \mathrm{f4}(307)\) and：

c1） \(7 \ldots\) c6 led to very in－ teresting play by both sides in Seirawan－Gelfand，Til－ burg 1990； 8 Qf3 Qc7 9 dS QhS 10 fS gxfS 11 exfS Qf6 12 dxc6 bxc6 13 g 4 Eb8 14皆d2 今a6m．
c2） \(7 \ldots \mathrm{cS} 8 \mathrm{dS}\) 宸 CS 9 数d2 e6 10 dxe6 Qxe6 11 §f3 Qg 4 12 0－0 \＆．c7 13 fS士 Tukma－ kov－Barbero，Wijk aan Zee 1991.
c3） 7 ．．．管e8 8 桨d2（8 亿f3 eS 9 fxes dxeS 10 dS h6 11 Qxf6 Qxf6 12 a3 lye7 \(130-0\) EXd8ce Mohr－Miles，Bad Wörishofen 1990 ．Both sides have chances here） 8 ．．．eS！？ （This is a promising try for Black to deal with White＇s aggressive seventh move） 9 fxe5 dxeS 10 dS 分cS 11 毒e3

Qa4 12 乌bS 㒸e7 13 0－0－0 a6 14 d 6 cxd6 15 Qxd6家b1 \＆）cS 17 Qxf6 Qxf6 18 Qxc8 区fxxe87 Tukmakov－ Mortensen，Reykjavik 1990.
\begin{tabular}{lll}
7 & \(\ldots\) & h6 \\
8 & Qe3 & eS
\end{tabular}

Also possible is \(8 \ldots\) cs 9 dS hS followed by ．．．e6 to undermine the white cen－ tre．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 9 & dS & 4 cs \\
\hline 10 & Wc2 & c6 \\
\hline 11 & hS & g5 \\
\hline 12 & f3 & as \\
\hline 13 & \(\mathrm{g}^{4}\) & Qd7 \\
\hline 14 & \＆h3 & a4（308） \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


White has established exactly the kind of grip with a massive \(V\) of pawns stretching from the \(h\)－file to the d－file，which brought Petrosian victory in his similar game against Schweber．The main differ－ ence here is that Kasparov has considerably advanced his play on the queenside．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
15 & ydd & cxdS \\
16 & cxdS \\
17 & \(\sum \mathrm{bl}(309)\)
\end{tabular}


A typical strategic de－ vice．White offers the ex－ change of queens to reduce Black＇s attacking chances and if Black declines with some such move as 17 ．．．岁c7 then 18 Qa3 will sty－ mie Black＇s operations on the queen＇s wing．Instead of falling for this Kasparov sacrifices a plece to con－ fuse the issue．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 17 & & Sfxe4 \\
\hline 18 & fxe4 & Qxe4 \\
\hline 19 &  & Exas \\
\hline 20 & \(8{ }^{0} 3\) & ©g3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

It is more important to eliminate White＇s light－ squared bishop，the chief guardian of the remnants of White＇s kingside pawn chain，than to snatch at an extra pawn with ．．．\＆xc3 and ．．． 8 xecS ．
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
21 & \text { Eg1 } \\
22 & \text { 乌xe2 } \\
\text { 宛xe2 } & \text { e4 }
\end{array}
\]

The remarkable thing about this game is that Black＇s initiative persists even after the trade of queens．If now 23 \＆xe4
then 23 ．．．a3 is extremely annoying for White．

23 Eact f5
24 gxf5 핌xf
25 Qf2（310）


At long last removing this plece from the con－ cealed radius of action of Black＇s queen＇s bishop lur－ king on d7．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 25 & ．．． & Qe8 \\
\hline 26 & \＃h1 & QbS＋ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

It may seem odd for Black to surrender one of his bishops but after the virtually obligatory ex－ change the black rooks be－ come ideally poised to mop up White＇s remaining pawns．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 27 & © xbS & ［ \({ }^{\text {abb }}\) \\
\hline 28 & Ec8＋ & 象h7 \\
\hline 29 & \％di & Exb2＋ \\
\hline 30 & \％d2 & 43 \\
\hline 31 & Ec7 & ExdS \\
\hline 32 & ¢xe4 & 安g8 \\
\hline 33 & Ecc2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Here White overplays his hand somewhat．Of course White must never capture on b2 which would give


Black a most dangerous passed pawn，e．g． 33
 but at this moment White could steer for a draw， which would indeed have been the logical outcome of the game with 33 \＃c8＋ \＄8f7 34 ［8c7＋when Black＇s king cannot escape the checks．In striving for more than this Bareev permits Black＇s initiative to flare up anew．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 33 & \(\cdots\) & bS \\
\hline 34 & Exd5 & ［102＋ \\
\hline 35 & ［42 & \(\mathrm{yb}^{\mathrm{L}} 2\) \\
\hline 36 & 象d3 & dS \\
\hline 37 & Qcs & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Black swiftly turns his attention to White＇s weak pawn on the h－file．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 37 & & ［b4 \\
\hline 38 & Q 6 & \\
\hline 39 & Qxg7 & \％xg \\
\hline 0 & Qd4＋ &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 41 & QeS & Wf7 \\
\hline 42 & Ee2 & \％xhS \\
\hline 43 & 㯖d4 & Ge6 \\
\hline 44 & 2b8＋ & bfS \\
\hline 45 & E］ & \＃hi \\
\hline 46 & ［f3＋ & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


It turns out in any case that the balance of the po－ sition has not been serious－ ly disturbed．True，if he took time of to play 46 Exa3 then Black＇s kingside pawns could become dan－ gerous but by giving this timely check，White forces a draw．

46 ．．．由g4
47 ［ig3＋曾fS
Black could play for a win with 47 ．．． bh4 but it would not be wise since the king would biock the march of his own passed pawns on that square．

48 造f3 \(+1 / 2-1 / 2\)

\section*{16）g3 system－Classical}

The Classical Variation with Black playing ．．．Qbd7 and ．．．eS，represents the most straightforward way of organising counterplay against White＇s fianchetto development．This was，in fact，the method elaborated by the Soviet pioneers of the King＇s Indian Defence， Boleslavsky and Bronstein， in their games from the late 1940s and early 1950s．

Black＇s strategic plan is to exert pressure against the d4－point in the hope that White will be forced to advance \(d S\) ，thus expo－ sing the centre to the flanking blow ．．．f5．Failing this，Black will exchange in the centre with ．．．exd4， basing future operations on a concerted attack ag－ ainst White＇s e－pawn（in－ volving such moves as ．．． \(4 c 5, \ldots\) ． 8 e 8 and possibly ．．． c6 followed by ．．．dS，liquid－ ating White＇s central bind formation）．Black will com－ bine this with a queenside advance（．．．aS－a4 and ．．．道 aS or ．．．哲b6）intending to
weaken White along the al －h8 diagonal．

An entirely new idea for Black after exchanging on d4 has been to play the un－ likely looking ．．．Qd7 foll－ owed by ．．．峟c8．Instead of augmenting Black＇s press－ ure on the dark squares， which was formerly the strategic norm，Black lines up his attack against the white h－pawn which invari－ ably has gone to h3． Whether White responds with 象h2 or g 4 to this man－ oeuvre，Black invariably gains excellent counterplay by means of the thrust ．．． h5．

Game 37
Timman－Kasparov Tilburg 1991
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & d4 & Qf6 \\
\hline 2 & c4 & g6 \\
\hline 3 & Qf3 & 0 C 7 \\
\hline 4 & \(\mathrm{g}^{3}\) & 0－0 \\
\hline 5 & \(\mathrm{Og}^{2}\) & d6 \\
\hline 6 & 0－0 & Qbd \\
\hline 7 & 4 c 3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

e5 8 Igdi：
a） 8 ．．．घe8！？and now：
a1） 9 乞c3 c6 10 e4＊＂～7！ 11 b37！b5 12 cxbS cxbS 13 ga3 Qb7 14 \＃acl \({ }^{-6 b 6} 15\) dxe5 dxe5 16 vad2 aS and Black has the initiative，Piket－C Hansen，Hamburg 1991．This is a clever idea－it is diffi－ cult for White to avold the pln on the c－file after ．．． wc7 and ．．．b5，without was－ ting a tempo．
a2） 9 h3 c6 10 dxe5 dxeS 11 e4 宸c7 12 cs b6 13 b 4 bxc5 14 bxc5 Qf8！（Diverges from above and should be equal） 15 Qe3 党aS 16 Ecc Qa6 17 Qbd2［ab8 18 a3 ©h5 19 Stc4 Oxc4 20 世xc4 气g7 21乡g5 乌et 22 थxe6 h4 Elee8 24 Qh3 gb7 25 h 5 ©f6 26 hxg 6 hxg 627 yc 2 \(1 / 2-1 / 2\) Greenfeld－Smirin， Tel Aviv 1991.
a3） 9 dxe5 dxe5 10 e4 cb 11 h 3 酋c7 \(12 \mathrm{c5}\) b6 \(13 \mathrm{b4}\) bxc5 14 bxc5 Qh5 15 Qe3 Qf8 16 亿bd2 Qab 17 Qc4士 Salov－Lautier，Wijk aan Zee 1991.
b） 8 ．．．卷e7 9 9 c3 c6 10 e4 exd4 11 थxd4 E e8 12 b 3 气c5 13 f3（13 Qb2 a5 14 gd2 h5 15
气bb3 Qxb2 18 㥞xb2 包b6 Salov－Hjartarson，Am－ sterdam 1991） 13 ．．．乌fd7 14 Zb1 亿发 15 人）ce2 a5 16 a3 h5 17 h4 Qd7 18 Qe3 a4 19 b4气e6－Salov－Kasparov，Li－ nares 1991.
c） \(8 \ldots\) exd4 9 亿xd4 ge8 \(^{2}\)
 © e e5 13 Id 2 h 514 If1（May－ be 14 h 4 ．As played，Black is fine） 14 ．．．h4 15 Qd5 ©fd7 16 e4 hxg 317 hxg 3气g 418 Iel of 19 §e3 气de5 20 Qxg 4 Qxg 42144 Qd 22 ©f3 \(\sum f 623\) e5 \(Q f 524\) 妴d1仓） 425 \＃d4 \(0 \times \mathrm{xg}^{3} 26\) \＃xd6兴66＋27 慈d4＊as 28 由f2仓h5 29 Qh4 0 g 430 cs Piket －van Wely，Dutch Ch． 1991.


8 Mc2
We do not like this move and consider the follow－up to be passive．Occupation of the centre by 8 e 4 or 8 h3 is more natural，trans－
posing to the lines consid－ ered in the next game．

Alternatively：
a） 8 dxeS dxeS 9 岃c2 c6 10 h3 药e7 11 ge3 乌hS 12 Ladi fS干 Hamdouchi－C Hansen，Novi Sad Ol． 1990.
b） 8 b 3 Дe8 9 e4 exd4 10仓xd4 a6 11 h 3 Zb 812 ge1 cS
光d1 b4 16 乌ीa4 玉be6ä Csom －Bellon Lopez，Ter Apel 1991.

8
8 ．．．Se8 led to one of the most remarkable games of recent years，Ivanchuk－ Yusupov，Candidates＇Quar－ ter－Final Play－off 1991： 9比d1 c6 10 b 3 夏e7 \(11 \mathrm{ga3}\) e4 \(12 \quad\) ©gs e3 13 f4（ 13 f3！ Korchnoi） 13 ．．．\＆f8 14 b4 QfS 15 峢b h6 16 乌f3 Qg4 17 bS gS 18 bxct bxot 19 QeS gxf4 20 仓xc6 箩gS 21 Qxd6 Qg6 22 QdS WhS 23 h4（315）

（The scene has been set． lvanchuk has marched through the centre and vir－ tually annihilated Black＇s
queen＇s wing．Meanwhile， Yusupov has massed his forces for an onslaught against the white king．He now makes his first piece sacrifice to blast his way into greater proximity to the white monarch） 23 ．．． Qxh4 24 gxh4 学xh4 25 Qde7＋（It is hard to see that 25 \＆ce \(7+\) is a superior way of accepting Black＇s sacrifice of a second plece． The point is that it is im－ portant for White to keep a knight on dS where it has at least some defensive contact with the white king） 25 ．．．娄h8 26 Qxf5童h2＋ 27 bf1 或e6 28 学b7 Zg6（Oblivious to material sacrifice the black rook hurls itself lnto the attack） 29 幽xa8＋कh77（If White now plays 30 §xg7 Black has the diabolical sacrlfice 30 ．．．岃h1 \(+31 \Delta x h 1\) 乌h2＋ 32 Bet Eg1 checkmate（316）．


This fantastic variation， ending in checkmate with a huge disparity of material，
deserves an analysis dia－ gram．This is what quallfies this game as the most brii－ liant of 1991） 30 光g8＋（The only way to delay check－ mate） 30 ．．．由 \(\times \mathrm{g} 831\) \＆ce7＋曺h7 32 亿xg6 fxg6 33 Qxg7 ©f2（With the deadly threat of \(34 \ldots Q^{\text {h3 }} 350 \times \mathrm{xh} 3\) Wh1 mate） 34 Qxf4＊xf4 35 Ee6 峟h2 36 区idbi \＆h3 37
 Qxh3 皆g \({ }^{3} 0-1\).
\begin{tabular}{lll}
9 & ëd1 & 有e7 \\
10 & \(\mathrm{b3}\) & exd4 \\
11 & 仓xd4 & De8
\end{tabular}

Black stores up energy in the all important e－file．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
12 & 0 b 2 & §cS \\
13 & \(\mathrm{e3}\) & aS \\
14 & aj & \(\mathrm{hS}(317)\)
\end{tabular}


With his centre secure， Black can launch into an adventure on the wing，one which has the added benefit of drawing White＇s king into the firing line．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
15 & \(b 4\) & Q）ce4 \\
16 & b5 & Qd7 \\
17 & Zact & h4 \\
18 & a4 &
\end{tabular}

White is obsessed with wing manoeuvres which can lead nowhere．It was high time to eliminate Black＇s threatening central knight by means of 18 亿xe4．


Apparently a bolt from a blue sky but the sacrifice is not that surprising to any student of centralisation．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 20 & 皆xf2 & Qs 4 \\
\hline 21 & 4f3 & Qxe3 \\
\hline 22 & Mel & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Timman has in mind an ingenious counter－attack． In any case his hand is for－ ced since both 22 馬d2 and 22 Ed3 fail to \(22 \ldots\) ．． xc 4 ．

22
Qxd4
23 ©d5
This looks alarming．If Black were forced to play 23 ．．．cxdS then 24 Qxd4 would actually win for White．Nevertheless，the World Champion had fore－ seen this eventuality and decides the game with a sacrifice of his queen．

Watch now as the terrify－ ing power of the black rooks is unleashed against White＇s king in the open avenue of the central e－file．


Timman is granted a brief respite to harvest a few innocuous pawns but in the long run his king is helpless against the com－ bined onslaught of Black＇s forces．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 29 & ．．． & Ec 1 \\
\hline 30 & 苞c6 & 建 8 \\
\hline 31 & 哭e4 & 88 Exc 4 \\
\hline 32 & 苟a8＋ & 雷h7 \\
\hline 33 & b6 & \(3 \mathrm{C4}\) \\
\hline 34 & 皆xa5 & ¢bb1 \\
\hline 35 & कg2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

White＇s passed pawns cannot advance and Black has a pleasant choice of methods of execution，eith－ er by \(35 .\). 区xf1 or the move
played．


Game 38
Yusupov－Kasparov
Lnares 1990
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1 & \(\sum f 3\) & \(\sum f 6\) \\
2 & \(c 4\) & \(g 6\) \\
3 & \(g 3\) & \(0 g 7\) \\
4 & \(0 g 2\) & \(0-0\) \\
5 & d 4 & d 6 \\
6 & \(0-0\) & 0 bd 7 \\
7 & Qc3 & eS \\
8 & \(\mathrm{~h} 3(320)\)
\end{tabular}

8 e4 usually amounts only to a transposition of moves．


Black has a couple of promising alternatives here：
a） 8 ．．． Qe3 荘 711 乌d2 5 d 812 酋b3
 15 b4 \＆）d47 Kurajica－Daml－ janovic，Yugoslav Ch 1991. As is usually the case when White has supinely played dxeS，and Black has been able to sink a knight on d4，

Black stands better．
b） 8 ．．．exd4 9 \＆xd4 Ee 8 10 e4（10b3 0 c 511 Ob 2 Qd7 12 宸c2 崖c8 13 h 4 Oh3 14 mad1 Qxg2 15 由xg2 of 16 Qf3 奖c7 17 b4－／\(\pm\) P Nikolic －Bischoff，Munich 1990） 10 ．．．©cS 11 Ele1 Qd7！ 12 Ebl前c8 13 g 4 hS 14 f3 c6 15 Of4 hxg 416 hxg 4 号e 617 ge3（17 \＆xe6 Qxe6 18 山e2 8 d 719 Ebdi QeS \(1 / 2-1 / 2\) Yusupor－ Spasov，Novi Sad Ol．1990）
留d2 Eed8 20 \＃bd1 Qe8 21 Qf4 5 d 722 乌xe6 首xe6 23 b3 ©e5 24 乌e2 宸e7 25 Qf4岩f6 26 夏f2 aS 27 Qh3 岩e7 28 崩g a4 29 f4 axb3 30 axb3－P Nikolic－Gelfand， Moscow GMA 1990．This ．．． Qd7 plus ．．．学c8 idea is a truly superb resource．It looks like the correct treatment for Black and it maintains the balance．As soon as White has had to play h3／f3 and g4 his chan－ ces of being better are pretty small．

In general，White＇s centre is too unwieldy．The bishop on \(\mathrm{g}^{2}\) doesn＇t give it enough support，while the pawn on h3 is a target．
\[
9 \text { e4 道b6 }
\]
a） \(9 \ldots\) 登e8 10 te1 aS 11等c2 exd4 12 Qxd4 乌c5 13 Qf4！仓fd7 14 Qb3 \(\pm\) Keene－ Ciocaltea，European Team Ch．Bath 1973.
b） 9 ．．．蒋aS 10 登e1 exd4 11
 Qe6 14 b4 拼b 15 Qe3 㮍d8 16 仑xe6 \({ }^{2}\) xe6 17 f4 仑ed7 18标c2 学c7 19 Qf2 Zae8，Yrjola －Mestel，Reykjavik 1990. Black has an active position in exchange for White＇s bi－ shop pair．
\[
10 \mathrm{cs}
\]

A fascinating attempt to try to break up Black＇s pawn centre，the purpose of which is to forestall Black＇s normal pressure against White＇s pawn cen－ tre．

Alternatives are：
a） 10 d 5 used to be al－ most a main line of the King＇s Indian，but is not seen these days．After 10 ．． ScS，two possibilities are：
a1） 11 Qel cxdS 12 cxd5 Qd7 13 \＆d3 \(0 x d 314\) 崖xd3豆fc8 with adequate play， Botvinnik－Tal，World Ch． （6），Moscow 1960.
a2） 11 Hie1 0 d 712 Zb 1 aS ！ 13 Qf1 治c7 14 a 4 ？（Better is 14 b3 \(\triangle\) a3，b4m） \(14 \ldots\) ．．． Qa6 \(^{2}\) 15 Qe3 c5 16 安h2 \＃ae8 17 Inc1 Sbb4－＋O＇Kelly－Kava－ lek，Caracas 1970.
b） 10 Ze exd4 11 乌xd4 （321）：
b1） 11 ．．．乌e8 12 乌f3 \＆e5 13 Q）xeS dxe5 14 崮a4（14 Qe3当xb2 15 峛b3 当xb3 16 axb3 Sc7 17 Qxa7 乌a6 18 Qb6 Qe6 19 Eed1 चfe8 20 §a4 Qf8 21 h4 Qe7 22 Wh2 Qd8 23 Qe3 Qc7 24 Qh3 fS 25

\section*{}

Black also has a good alternative：

12 ．．．峟bS 13 新c2 \＆c7 14 Qe3 Qe6 iS 所fic4 16 ofi QxeS 17 \＆xeS出xeS 18 柆xc4 QgS 19 QxgS 桨xgS 20 㘳c5桨xcS 21 今）xcS b6 22 气d3 cS－Yusupov－Dolmatov， Wijk aan Zee C 1991.

From now on we follow Yusupov＇s notes from New In Chess．

After 12 ．．．蒋c7 White can hardly hope for an advan－ tage in the case of 13 Qf4 Qe5 14 QcS \(0 \times f 3+15\) 曾xf3出c7 16 乌d3 气dd．However， he has the interesting pos－ sibility 13 e6！？

13 Qf4（322）


I could not clearly de－ termine the best move in this position－the text move or 130 g 5 ！？（ 13 造c2 is an interesting alternative）． The critical position arises
 1S Qe7 Ee8 16 Qd6．During the game I did not want to waste time by bringing the
bishop to d6，as the es－ pawn could also be de－ fended immediately．Still， the bishop is more active on d6 and Black＇s queenside is slightly weakened by the move bS．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 13 & & Sc7 \\
\hline 14 & ＊\({ }^{-1}\) & Se6 \\
\hline 15 & \＃fd1 & ［ebl \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

15 ．．．bs（This looks pre－ mature） 16 Qc3 c4 17 Eld 6 Wb7 18 Ead1 Ddcs 19 Qf1峟c7 \(20 \mathrm{b3}\) b4 21 乌a4 Qxa4 22 bxa4 c3 23 Qc4，Adianto －Wojtkiewicz，New York Open 1991．White＇s pieces are too active．
\[
16 \quad \text { Ed } 6
\]

This arrangement seemed perfectly natural to me．But here the difference between the World Champion and a mediocre top grandmaster （Yusupov＇s own phrase！） becomes evident．One of Kasparov＇s greatest abili－ ties is accurate and subtle play during the transition from the opening into the middlegame．His next two moves demonstrate that he has got to the heart of the position，solving all his opening problems．Perhaps White has to confine him－ self to the modest 16 Q \(\mathrm{C} 3!?\) intending 17 ©e2．
\[
16 \text { Z̈ad 首aS }
\]

Now 17 乌c3 can be met by \(17 \ldots \mathrm{c} 4\) and the chronic
weakness of eS becomes evident．


If 18 仑ीc3，then Black obtains the initiative on the queenside by playing 18 ．．． Ec4 19 E 6 d 3 bS ．


20 学e2
I wanted to play 20 逪d2？！ but I could not find com－ pensation after the simple 20 ．．．宸xe4．True，White can win the black queen with 21 Qd4，but after 21 ．．．溇xd4 22 Ixxd4 cxd4（Or perhaps \(22 \ldots 4 \times d 4!\) ？Black is much better．
\begin{tabular}{llll}
20 & \(\ldots\) & bs \\
21 & 前e3？
\end{tabular}

While Black carries out his strategic plan，prepared by his 16th and 17th moves， with implacable consisten－ cy－a queenside pawn attack－White is wasting his time． 21 h 4 was consi－ derably stronger，trying to complicate the game on the
kingside．White＇s mistake leaves the initiative entirely to Kasparov．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 21 & ．．． & b4 \\
\hline 22 & axb4 & 宸xb4 \\
\hline 23 & 56d2 & \(\mathrm{Ea}_{2}\) \\
\hline 24 & Ebl & c4 \\
\hline 25 & \＃c2 & bS \\
\hline 26 & Qh6 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The only positional try White has been able to prepare for during the last eight moves．This was not possible on the previous move because of the blow ．．．c4－c3．

26 ．．．


\section*{27 兜cl？}

Of course，the endgame after 27 盂xc5 会xc5 28 Qxg7由Xg7 29 ©d4 is in Black＇s favour，but this was ob－ viously the lesser evil． Black should not exchange pawns because after \(29 \ldots\) ExeS 30 包xc6 近 31 气d 4 Zb6 White has the terrible blow 32 b4！Superior is 29 ．．．Qd7 30 f 4 Qd3 31 Qf1 cS 32 §e2 with a slightly
better position for Black．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 27 & \(\ldots\) & Qd4 \\
\hline 28 & Qxg7 & 雷xg7 \\
\hline 29 & Exd4 & \＃xd4 \\
\hline 30 & b3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

White has a strategically lost position and his only chance is to confuse the game in his opponent＇s time trouble．Probably Black has more than one way to convert his advan－ tage into a win．Kasparov conducts the game quite logically．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 30 & & Exc2 \\
\hline 31 & 尘xc2 & c3 \\
\hline 32 & Eld & 崔c5 \\
\hline 33 & b4 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The only possibility to put up resistance．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 33 & \(\ldots\) & 并xb4 \\
\hline 34 & Ed3 & cS \\
\hline 35 & Exc3 & c4 \\
\hline 36 & f 4 &  \\
\hline 37 & ¢h2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This is just searching for practical chances．The game can hardly be saved by 37
 Fel
\begin{tabular}{lll}
37 & ．．． & 㟶d4 \\
38 & If3 & b4（32S）
\end{tabular}

At this moment I could not believe my luck；did Kasparov，who still had se－ veral minutes on his clock commit such a simple blunder？Had he just played 38 ．．． 8 d 8 then，pro－ bably，he would have won easily with technical

means．
39 童能
Here the World Cham－ pion thought for a while， and gradually it became clear to me that today I would not even be lucky．
\[
39 \ldots \quad c 3!!
\]

An amazingly beautiful way to win．Considerably worse was 39 ．．．b3？？，with the same idea，in view of the primitive 40 Zxb 3 ．
\[
408 \mathrm{xac}
\]

The thing is that after 40皆xe8 岩d7！！ 41 首xd7 Qxd7 the pawns are unstoppable．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
40 & \(\ldots\) & Qd7 \\
41 & Ec4 & Qxa4
\end{tabular}

Kasparov had assessed this accurately，though it is not obligatory．Of course， Black also wins after 41 ．．．


42 Exd4 Zb 8 （326）
\[
43 \text { Qf1?! }
\]

White continues the same line of defence－ looking for practical chan－ ces．It was better to change course by 43 Qf3！and if 43

．．．b3（43 ．．．Qc27！44 Qd1 b3 45 g 4 ），then 44 Qe2 b2 45 Od3 b1第 46 Qxb1 \(\mathrm{gb} 2+47\) क由1 \(4 \mathrm{xb} 1+48\) 雷f2 and Black still has to overcome some technical difficulties．

\section*{43 ．．．Qc2} 44 Dc4
44 Qd3 b3 45 Qxc2 bxc2 46 Itc4 loses because of 46 ．．． H 62 ．
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
44 & \ldots & b 3
\end{array}
\]

If 45 登d7 b2 \(46 Q x f 7\) then 46 ．．．琞d8，indicated by Ka－ sparov，is the simplest so－ lution．
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
45 & \ldots & \text { \#xb3 } \\
46 & \mathrm{~g}^{4} & \text { Пe3 } \\
47 & \mathrm{fS} & \mathrm{gxf5}
\end{array}
\]

Avoiding another trap： 47
… Ixe4？ \(48 \mathrm{f6}+\) 真h6 49
IIxe4 Qxe4 50 é 0 d 5 （ \(50 \ldots\)
fxe6？？S1 gS）S1 e7 Qct 52
h 4 gS 53 hS with a draw．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 48 & exf＇ & ＂xeS \\
\hline 49 & \＃̈d2 & Qa4 \\
\hline 50 & 婁g3 & \(8 \mathrm{l} 3+\) \\
\hline 51 & 它h4 & Qb \\
\hline 52 & EdS & 7） \\
\hline 52 & ．．． & Qd3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


My last chance was 52 ... Qf1? S3 f6+! with drawing chances.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 53 & ETCS & h6 \\
\hline 54 & 8 Cl & \%f3 \\
\hline 55 & [b3 & Qe2 \\
\hline 56 & \% 62 & Qf1 \\
\hline 57 & \%h2 & 由f6 \\
\hline 58 & \#h1 & कeS \\
\hline 59 & \#h2 & f6 \\
\hline 60 & thi & क-4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Notes based on those by Yusupov in New In Cheas.

This game show, I (RK) think, why the g3 llnew are so out of fashion. When I used to play them as White I always did well, but I had a feeling that White, after either dS (or ... exd4 by Black at some moment), simply had too much exposed territory to defend. I am very impressed by the ... Qd7 and ... 峟c8 manoeuvre, for example, in Yu supov - Spasor and Nikollc - Gelfand, which seems to underscore this motif.

\section*{17) g3 system - Yugoslav/Panno}

The strategic basis of the Yugoslav is the substitution of ... cS for ... eS, intending to increase the scope of Black's fianchettoed king's bishop along the a1 - h8 diagonal, combining this with an advance in the b-flle against White's queen's wing. This system was worked out by Yugoslav analysts and numbers Gligoric among its most enthusiastic practitioners.

The chief drawback of the Yugoslav as a winning attempt for Black is the Exchange variation, which leaves Black with a shade the worse of the draw.

The Panno Variation gives rise to a wealth of complex strategic problems. Manoeuvres unfold over the whole board - on the queenside, kingside and in the centre.

It is clear that the old main lines of the Panno, in which White seals up the centre with dS, according to the latest practice give Black enormous scope for
tactical counterplay. Therefore attention is focusing, from White's point of view, on less well charted 8thmoves such as 8 Og 5 which we see in Kasparov - Nunn. Another system which is worth watching out for is 8 b3 Eb8 9 Qb2 b5 10 cxbS axbS 11 算c1 which contains considerably more venom than might at first sight appear.

Game 39
Timman - Kasparov
Tilburg 1981
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1 & d 4 & \(Q \mathrm{f} 6\) \\
2 & \(\mathrm{c4}\) & g 6 \\
3 & g 3 & 0 g 7 \\
4 & gg 2 & \(0-0\) \\
S & \(\mathrm{\sum f} \mathrm{f} 3\) & d 6
\end{tabular}

5 ... c5 6 Øc3 cxd4 7 Qxd4 Qc6 \(80-0\) transposes to the Engllsh Opening, which is not dealt with in this volume.
\(6 \quad 0-0 \quad c 5\)
Kasparov used to favour the dynamic King's Indian Defence in his early youth, subsequently found it too
risky，and switched to the Grunfeid．But now he has come back to the King＇s Indian again，as is amply testified by games in this book．
\[
7 \text { §c3 乌c6 }
\]
\(B\) dS
White maintains a mod－ est edge with 8 dxcS but Timman is out for bigger game．


9 ．．．e5 is a good move here，probably better than \(9 \ldots\) a6．The point is that this position is normally reached via the move order 1 d 4 Qf6 \(2 \mathrm{c4} \mathrm{~g} 63 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Og} 7\) 4 Og2 0－0 5 Qf3 d6 6 0－0 Qtc6 7 亿． A 3 a6 8 dS 乌aS 9 4dd2 cS when a later ．．．eS is usually met by dxe6．Some material after 9 ．．．e5（328）：

a） 10 a3 b6 \(11 \mathrm{~b} 4 \& \mathrm{~b} 712\) Qb2 ©g 413 h 3 乌h6 14 e 3 fS 15 f4 仓f7 16 象h2－Vaganian －Gligoric，Baden 1980.
b） \(10 \mathrm{e} 4 仑 \mathrm{Q} 411 \mathrm{~h} 3\) Qh6 12 b3 a6（12 ．．．fS 13 exfS gxfS

14 Qb2 Qd7 is 崮c2 b6 16
 Qb7 19．Ac1 Oc8 20 Qc3 exf4 21 gxf4 \(\pm\) Vaganian－Spas－ sky，Tilburg 1983） 13 Qb2 Hb8 14 Q e 2 bS iS Qc3 f6 16 ©h2？！fS！and Black has an ideal position due to the blocked centre，Jukic－Vel－ imirovic，Yugoslavia 1988.
\[
10 \text { 削 } \mathrm{E} 2 \mathrm{~b} 8
\]
\(10 \ldots\) eS 11 e4 4 g 412 b3 fS 13 exf5 gxf5 14 h3 气h6 15 Qb2 O b 816 f 4 bSoc Birnboim －Pein，Tel Aviv 1987．This is similar to Jukic－Velim－ irovic but here 11 a3 \(\Delta \mathrm{Zb}\) b1 and b 4 is worth considering since Black has already played ．．．a6 and thus the b6－square is weaker than in Vaganian－Gligoric．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
11 & b3 bS \\
12 & Qb2（329）
\end{tabular}

An interesting alterna－ tive is 12 Ebb Qd7 13 Qb 2 bxc4 14 bxc4 5 b 4 iS 气ce4 ©xe4 16 Qxe4 Qa4 17 Vbc1 Qxb2 18 Exb2 宸b6 19 \＃\＃b1号xbl 20 皆xb1 Eb8 21 类d3当d8 22 桨c3士 Kasparov－ 1 Gurevich，New York Simul－ taneous 1988.

12
A premature exchange． Kasparov was later to promulgate the improved move order： \(12 \ldots\) Qh6！ 13 f 4 （13 Q）cb1 is silly，since Qc3 can always be met by ．．． b4！） 13 ．．．bxc4 14 bxc4 eS which transposes to a line

considered later under the move order \(12 \ldots\) bxc4 13 bxc4 Qh6 14 f4 eS．

Others：
a） \(12 \ldots\) eS 13 Eael！（13 Qdi？Qg 414 e4 fS 15 exfS Qxfs 16 Qe4 bxc4 17 bxc4 Qh6 18 島e2 Eb4 19 Dc3［a4 20 Qb2 Ea3 21 状e1 Og7 22前d2 乌f6 23 台xd6 Hixd6 24 OxaS Qe4 25 wel Qg5 26 Oc3 Qh3 27 f3 莺d7 0－1 Cvi－ tan－Purtov，Budapest Op － en 1990） \(13 \ldots\) ．．．hS 14 \＆di fS 1S f4士 Wojtkiewicz－J Pol－ gar，Haifa 1989.
b） \(12 \ldots\) d 713 cxbS？（This never works for White． 13 \＃ael is one rational alterna－ tive，with the standard plan of Qdi） \(13 \ldots\) axbS 14 §d1 e6 15 dxe6 fxe6 16 e4 \＆）c6 17 a3 e5 18 Qe3 \＆d47 Ristic－ Ivanovic，Yugosiav Ch． 1991.
\[
13 \text { bxc4 (330) }
\]
\[
13 \quad \ldots \quad \text { oh } 6
\]

13 ．．．eS 14 Eab1 Qh6 1S f4 exf4 16 Qce4（ 16 gxf4：The remainder of this game serves as a good cautionary tale） \(16 \ldots\) ．．． \(\mathrm{Exb} 2 \mp 17\) \＃xb2


Qg4 18 gxf 4 Og 719 Zbb 1 Qd4＋ 20 它h1 0 xh 221 气f3勾xf3 22 exf3 0－1 Stankovac －Milanovic，Belgrade 1989.
\[
14 \text { Qcbl! }
\]

A very solid move，de－ fending his knight on d2 and preparing to harass the Black knight on as with Dc3．It makes good sense now that Black no longer has ．．．b4 at his disposal． The alternative is 14 ft eS and now：
a） 15 dxe6 \(0 x e 6\)（ 15 ．．． fxe6！？） 16 QdS Exb2（ \(16 \ldots\) QxdS 17 exdS Qg4 \(\{17 \ldots\) ［1x \(x 21 ? 18\) 所xb2 \(Q g 7 \infty) 18\) Qb3 f5 19 h 3 乌f6 20 Qd2 QhS 21 由h2 Ie8 22 e4 Exb 2

首xa5 光xa1 28 觜d8＋安77 29 Qc4 新f6 30 ©xd6＋1－0 Stoh 1 －Kindermann，Dortmund 1991） 17 酋xb2 \(\operatorname{Dgg}^{7}\)（331）：
al） 18 知cl！？（This looks terrible for White，but works out okay here） 18 ．．． Qg4 19 \＃b1 Qxd5 20 Qxd5 \＆e3 21 ge1 ge8 22 敌 3 \＆f6


23 由h1 कff 24 씹a3 Ac2 25
 0 c 2 Eb 828 包 3 日xb1＋ 29敞xbl Qd4 30 ＠f1士 C Han－ sen－Ernst，Lugano Open 1987.
a2） 18 模 3 亿 xc 419 仓xc4 0xd5 20 घaci 0bb4 21 कh1 dSE Hübner－Nunn，Wijk aan Zee 1982.
b） 15 马ael exf4 \(16 \mathrm{gxf4}\) QhS 17 e 3 Og 718 \＆di 0 f5 19 Qe4 Qxb2 20 Qxb2 Exb2！ 21 酋xb2 分xc4 22 全xc4 Qxe4（＂unclear／better for Black＂according to Kaspa－ rov＇s analysis in \(B C O\) ）．
c） 15 Iabl is possibly an improvement here，e．g． 15 ．．．exf4 16 gxf4 0 hs 17 e3 De8 18 Ece4 Qf5 19 Qc3 \＃xb1 20 Exb1 Qxe4 21 Qxe4
由g 824 Qf3 \(\sum \mathrm{f6} 25\) e 4 Qd7 \(26 \frac{\mathrm{gg} 2 \mathrm{~g}}{27} \mathrm{Oh} 34 \mathrm{fs} 28\)湈 3 h6 29 QfS 奖f6 \(30 \mathrm{fxg} 5 \pm\) Horvath－Kindermann， Hungarian Team Ch． 1991.
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
14 & \ldots & \text { eS }
\end{array}
\]

Seeking central counter－ play，but Black＇s coming
idea could perhaps be implemented in improved form by \(14 \ldots\) ．．． d 7 7 15 ＠c3省c7 16 乌） 3 3 g 4 ！？
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Qc3}} \\
\hline & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Looks surprising，but offering his queen＇s rook for White＇s queen＇s bishop is the only way to generate counterplay，viz． 16 ．．．Dg 7 17 Elab1 愛c7 18 e4 hS 19 f4 ■b4 20 尚d3 Qb7 21 气c2 \＃xb1 22 \＃xbl h4 23 fxe5 dxe5 24 亿f3 hxg 325 Qxe5 \(\mathrm{gxh} 2+26\) Oxh2＊c8 27 气e3 EB4 28 Qxg \(4 \times x 429\) EuS Qas 30 Ef1士 Zaid－Kaspa－ rov，USSR 1977.
```

17 0xb4 exb4
18 今abl (332)

```


All this is，in fact，well－ known opening theory． This may seem astonishing， but modern＇opening＇ investigation extends deep into what might be legit－ imately termed the middle－ game．Black＇s next move improves on Petrosian －Toran，Bamberg 1968； 18
… 丢b6？ 19 \＆b3 \＆b7 20 Qid2 \(7 \mathrm{Zc} 821 \mathrm{a} 3!+\) ．

18 ．．．苃c7！
Putting pressure on White＇s c4－pawn．
\[
19 \text { e3 }
\]

Blocking the path of Black＇s king＇s bishop． Alternatively， 19 cS 査xcS 20前b2 Qg4 21 乌e4 㒸b6 22 Qf3 Qg7 23 Qbd2 Qh6 24 Eabl fS 25 垍xb4 栄xb4 26 区xb4 fxe4 27 §xe4 QfS 28 Eld
 Kurajica－Fillpovic，Banja Luka 1983 is very unclear． 20 ．．． Dg 4 is possibly not the best in any case； 20 ．．． ［4］ 8 ！？
\[
19 \quad . . \quad \text { ofs }
\]

During the game Timman feared the cavalier attack 19 ．．．©g \(4!\) ？threatening 20 Qxe3 21 fxe3 Qxe3＋and \(22 \ldots\) Qd4．The only reply is the cautious 20 gel！which was overlooked in the understandably tumultuous post mortem，but which appears to consolidate White＇s position．A practi－ cal example is \(19 \ldots\) Qg 420 Hel fS 21 h 3 \＆f6 22 Qb3首xc4 23 酋xc4 Qxc4 \(24, ~ a 3\) bxa3 25 Qxa3 \＆b6 26 \＆c2 Qb5 27 \＆b4 e4 28 Exa6 QfxdS 29 Qf1 Qxf1 30 Exf1 Tischer－Carstens，Bunde－ sliga 1984，which was al－ ways better for White，but Black held the draw．
\[
20 \text { Qe4 Qxe4 }
\]

21 Qxe4（333）


21 ．．．包b7！
An amazing decision， renouncing material in order to create a blockade． Nevertheless，the simple 21 ．．．©xe4 22 所xe4 wxc4 is preferable，in as much as 23湈xc4 \(0 \times 124\) Excl permits \(24 \ldots\) ．．．\(x\) xe3 25 fxe3 ©xe3＋．

A variation on this theme is 21 ．．．Qxe 422 崮xe4 fS 23
 Qd2 f4 26 ©f1 fxe3 27 Qxe3
 －Lotti，Correspondence 1985.
\begin{tabular}{lll}
22 & Qd2 & QcS \\
23 & 0 g 2 & \(\mathrm{\# bs}\) \\
24 & \(\mathrm{Zfb1}\) & aS
\end{tabular}

Kasparov shows no interest in defensive grov－ elling after 24 ．．．峟b6 25
 Q xb 1 Zb 4 ，as suggested by Ulf Andersson．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
25 & a3 & e4 \\
26 & axb4 & axb4 \\
27 & Qh3 &
\end{tabular}

To prevent ．．．乌fd7 supporting the knight on
cS．White is gradually gaining the upper hand．


White threatens just 31 Efxd3，wiping out Black＇s counterplay．
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{30} \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Black＇s blockade is broken and he is definitely losing．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 31 & & Sd3 \\
\hline 32 & cxd6 & \％xd6 \\
\hline 33 & Qf1 & Qe5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In serious time pressure， Kasparov＇s final moves give a disjointed impression．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 34 & \％a6 & 知 \\
\hline 35 & Exf6！ & Qxf6 \\
\hline 36 & 宸x \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & ［188 \\
\hline 37 & 曾xb4 & h4 \\
\hline 38 & 靣f4 & 車g7 \\
\hline 39 & gxh4 & 桨d6 \\
\hline 40 & Qd2 & 1－0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Black lost on time． 40 ．．．嵌xdS 41 气e4 湈xd1 42 当xf6 wins easily．

Game 40
Salov－Speelman
Reykjavik World Cup 1991
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & d4 \\
\hline 2 & \(2 \mathrm{f3}\) \\
\hline 3 & g3 \\
\hline 4 & 0 O 2 \\
\hline 5 & 0－0 \\
\hline 6 & c4 \\
\hline 7 & 4 Ca \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

7 dS can be met in vari－ ous ways：
a） \(7 \ldots\) bS transposing to a Benko Gambit is a possib－ ility that White must reck－ on with．
b） 7 ．．．乌a6 8 乞c3 \(\Delta c 79\) a4 Zb 8 and now：
b） 10 Of4 a6 11 as b5 12 axb6 \({ }^{2} \times \mathrm{xb} 613\) b3 e6 14 dxe6 Q）xe6 Qa4 Exf4！ 16 Qxb6新xb6（16 ．．．Qxe2＋ 17 慈xe6炭xb6 18 乌d2 Be8 19 㞒d1 Og 420 of3 hS \(\pm\) Marovic－ Janosevic，Skopje 1970） 17 gxf4 仓hS 18 Ba \＆xf4－／ Donner－Matanovic，Ut－ recht 1962.
b2） 10 e4 a6 11 a5 b5 12 axb6 \(2 \times x b 613 \mathrm{Efa3}\) ！and now instead of 13 ．．．e6？ 14 dxe6 Qxe6 15 然d3 \＆g 416 Qa4 Eb4 17 b3 We7 18 Qf4士 Korchnol－Visier，Palma 1968，Korchnoi recommends \(13 \ldots \mathrm{Qg}_{7} 4\).
c） \(7 \ldots\) e6 giving White the option of transposing to the Modern Benoni with 8 Efc3 or accepting the challenge with 8 dxe6！？，

\section*{258 g3 system－Yugoslav／Panno}
which seems to be very good for White，e．g． 8 ．．． Qxe6 9 QgS Qxc4 10 Oxb7 Qbd7 11 Qa3！（An important move．If White has reached this position having played Qc3 instead of \(0-0\) ，then Black＇s sacrificial play is quite promising，whereas here it is simply dubious） 11
 Qxd6 Elb4 14 道c2 \(\pm\) Korch－ nol－Velimirovic，USSR v Yugoslavia 1966.

The variations after 7 dS used to be very popular， but these days are hardly ever seen．

7 ．．．cxd4 8 气xd4 again transposes to the English Opening．


After 7 ．．．Qaa6 White＇s best is 8 dS ！transposing to note＇\(b\)＇at White＇s last move．Alternatively， 8 b3？！ dS！ 9 cxdS QxdS 10 Qb2 Qxc3 11 Qxc3 \＆c7 12 岁d3 QfS 13 e 4 cxd4 14 Oxd4 \(0 \mathrm{~g} 4=\) Mortensen－Wang Zili，Thessaloniki Ol． 1988
is a very interesting way to equalise．

8 dxcS
8 e3 is insipid，e．g． 8 ．．． Qf5 9 dS QaS 10 峟e2 Qe4 11 Qd1 Qd7 12 \＆）d2 \＆xd2， Hausner－Landenbergue， Prague 1989．Black has no problems． 8 ．．．dxe5（336）


9 Qf4
Or 9 Qe3！？and now：
a） 9 ．．．Qe6：
a1） 10 Oxc5！？恲aS 11 Qa3 Qxc4（11．．． \(\mathrm{Eff} 8=E C O) 12\)
辰f4 Q）h5（14 ．．．gS？1S 当e3土 Spassky－Tal USSR Ch． 1961）15 畨e3 0xc3 16 迤xc3光xc3 17 bxc3 Qxe2（17 ．．．
 Qxe7 Efe8 20 Ob4 ©f6 21 a 4 Q）d7 \(22 \mathrm{f4} \pm / \pm\) Yrjola－Maki， Helsinki 1991．This is a very interesting reference．Bo－ leslavsky gives the position after \(19 \ldots\) ．．．fe8 as equal but，as the game continua－ tion shows，White main－ tains a nagging plus．
a2） 10 学a4 峟aS（If 10 ．．．

 gS 16 岐eS 晳c8 17 \＆dS §）xdS 18 光xdS Qe6 19 首b7 峟c7 20置xc7 登xc7 21 b3 \({ }^{1 / 2}-1 / 2\) Grig－ orian－Kasparov，USSR 1981） 11 管xaS Qxas 12 QxcS \＆xc4 13 b3 乌d7 14 Qd4 乌d6 15 \＃ac1 मac8 16 Oxg7 由ixg7 17 Qd4 as 18 f4 \＆cS 19 h3 Ifd8 20 g 4 －Mikhalchishin －Tringov，Banja Vrucica 1990.
b） \(9 \ldots\) 皆aS 10 罗b3！led to an impressive demon－ stration by White in Szil－ agyi－Piket，European Cup 1987： 10 ．．．Qg4 11 Qf4 Qxc3 12 bxc 3 Qf6 13 明fd1 0 d 714 ［7xd7 Qxd7 15 首xb7 EacB 16并xd7土．
\[
9 \quad \cdots \quad \text { Qd71? }
\]

9 ．．．Qe6 10 QeS DaS is given by \(E C O\) as leading to equality，the main reference being 11 Qd3 QhS 12 QxcS Qxf4 13 gxf4 Qxc4 14 Ec1
 17 QxaS 省xaS \(180 \times a 8\) Qxf1

 23 \＃f8＋－Tal－Kasparov， Moscow TV 1987.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 10 & Stes & SxeS \\
\hline 11 & QxeS & 攸c8 \\
\hline 12 & 竦b3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A critical alternative is 12 QdS \(\triangleq \mathrm{xdS} 13\) Qxg7（337）：
a） 13 ．．．Qe3 with comp－ lications favourable to－ White，e．g． 14 析3！\({ }^{6} \times g 7\) （14 ．．．Q）xf1！？1S Qxf8 \＆）d2 16


遂c3 宸xf8 17 崖xd2 弟c8土； 14 … \(\mathrm{Qxg}^{27!} 15\) Oxf8 安xf8 16 yfdi Qh3 \(\ddagger\) ） 15 Oxb7 岁c7 16 fxe3 \({ }^{2} \mathrm{Fab} 817\) 宏c3＋土．
b） \(13 \ldots\) ．．． xg 714 cxd 5 Qh 3 15



13 Oxc6 所xc6 14 QdS造ae8＝


14 cxd5 Qxe5 15 dxc6 bxc6 leads to dead equality．

This position was agreed drawn in Gorelov－Muratov， Moscow 1988！
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 15 & & Qxe2＋ \\
\hline 16 & ©h1 & 8 C 4 \\
\hline 17 & 每3 & Oxg2＋ \\
\hline 18 & 田xg2 & 撆6＋ \\
\hline 19 & f3 & \＃xf8 \\
\hline 20 & Ef2 & Qf5（338） \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Black has good compen－ sation for the exchange with a superbly centralised knight and safe king．Other moves which Black can con－

sider are：
a） 20 ．．．e6 when White can try 21 b4！？
b） \(20 \ldots\) b6 21 b4！？（21岩xe7 \＃e8 22 类gS Q）xf3 23娄dS 新xdS 24 cxdS Qd4） 21 … ©f5 22 宸e4 岩f6！


Not 23 g4？Qd 424 宸xa7？ Q \(x f 3\) ！

\section*{23 \\ 24 \\ b6
}

White can take two rooks for the queen with \(24 \mathrm{~g} 4!?\)
 gxfS 27 b3 but after 27 ．．．峟d8 Black should be okay．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 24 & ．．． & ga \\
\hline 25 & 㗊c3 & ［ \(\mathbf{x a}^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline 26 & ＊96 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

26 b3！was best，when Black should play \(26 \ldots \mathrm{Ha}\) 27 Ĕa1 \＃̈xal 28 熒xa1 Qd4． 26 ．．．首d6？
26 ．．．类d7！was stronger． If then 27 b3 ga7 28 घa1？ Qe3＋ 29 由g1（29 中h3？？eSt） \(29 \ldots\) ．．．\({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{d} 3\) is good for Black， while 28 g 4 岁 d 4 transposes
back to the game．
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
27 & \mathrm{b3} & \text { Дa7 } \\
28 & \mathrm{~g} 4 &
\end{array}
\]

White misses a chance here： 28 gal！包 \(3+29\) कh3 （29 Hg1？？eS！） 29 ．．．\＃xal 30 ＊yal 离f87！（30 ．．．炎d3！？ 31 ya8＋由g7 32 酋e4 and White has good chances since the Black king is not very safe） 31 首f6（Not 31 g4？省h6 32 ©g3 gS）and White stands well．

Notes based on those by Jon Speelman in Maxwell Macmillan Chess．

> Game 41 Kasparov -Nunn

TV Exhlbition Blitz Game， London 1987
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & d4 & Qf6 \\
\hline 2 & c4 & \(\mathrm{g}^{6}\) \\
\hline 3 & g3 & 0 O 7 \\
\hline 4 & \(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{g} 2}\) & 0－0 \\
\hline 5 & Qf3 & d6 \\
\hline 6 & 0－0 & Qc6 \\
\hline 7 & Qc3 & a6（339） \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The Panno Variation，in－ vented by the Argentine grandmaster Oscar Panno in the mid 19S0s to exploit the absence of White＇s king＇s bishop from the f1－ a6 diagonal．

8 Og 5
White has numerous al－ ternatives here：
a） 8 Q d 2 and now：
a1） \(8 \ldots \mathrm{Qd} 79\) b3 Eb 810 Eacl bS 11 d 5 QaS 12 \＆ \(2 \times b 5\) Qxc4 13 bxc4 axbS 14 Qdd QxdS 15 cxdS Qxd4 16 Qh6
 f6 19 宸a7 登a8 20 湈b7 安f7 21

 Nunn，Dubai Ol． 1986. Although quite sharp and interesting，this game was equal all the time．The bi－ shop on d2 is directed against the knight on as．
 b3 \({ }^{\text {²Pe8 }} 11 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{Qd} 712\) QgS h6 13 Qe3 bS 14 dS §e7 15 dxe6 Qxe6 16 Qd4 Qd7 17 乌dS士 P Nikolic－C Hansen，Wijk aan Zee 1988.
b） 8 b3
b1） 9 a4 is dubious be－ cause it weakens the b4－ square，e．g． 9 ．．．eS 10 dS Q）b4 11 aS cS 12 e4 \＆e8 13乌） a 2 乌xa2 14 㫫xa2 fS，Lob－ ron－Kindermann，Hamburg 1991．This is an easy position for Black to play．
b2） 9 e3 bS 10 妾e2 bxc4 11



Qa6 14 ugd2 c5 15 Qa3 \＆d7 16 Bac1（Black has fulfilled the basic strategy of the Panno，but here makes a mess of it with his next move． \(16 \ldots\) ．．\({ }^{2} c 8\) is \(=/ \mp\) ） 16 ．．．cxd4？17 乌xd4士／士 Cvitan －Loncar，Pula 1990.
b3） 9 Q d 5 is not theore－ tically dangerous．The fol－ lowing material tends to equality： 9 ．．．气e4（9 ．．．Dg 4 10 Qb2 e6 11 \＆e3 Qxf3 12 Qxf3 dS 13 券d3 气e7 14 b4 c6 15 a4 乞fS 16 亿xfS gxfS 17 cxdS cxdS＝Kantsler－Kuz－ min，Podolsk 1989） 10 ob2

 15 dxe6 Qxe6 16 乌d5 炤77 17 （2）d4 Qxb2 20 光xb2 Qf6 21 分xf6光xf6 22 光xf6 \(\times \times 6^{1 / 2 / 2-1 / 2} \mathrm{Da}^{-}\) vies－Byrne，London \｛Wat－ son，Farley \＆Williams 1991. This game was always equ－ al） \(11 \ldots\) Od7 12 Zd1 e6 13乌f4 乌e7 14 气d2 气f6 15 cs gS 16 气d3 Qct 17 Qc4 Qxg2
仓d7 21 b4 气xe5 22 dxe5 f4

23 bS \(1 / 2-1 / 2\) Ribli－Zapata， Novi Sad O1． 1990.
b4） 9 Qb 2 bS 10 cxbS axbS 11 Ict b4（11 ．．．Qb4！？ 12 e4 \(\{12\) a3 Q 0 bdS 13 Q \(x d S\) Q \(2 x d S\) 14 e4 is the critical varia－ tion） \(12 \ldots\) \＆ d 713 Ze1？cS 14 SdS？（A mistake，after which White＇s position is riddled with weaknesses） 14 ．．．乌xd5 15 exd5 c4 16岩e2 Qb6 17 当xe7 尚xe7 18 Exe7 台xdS 19 Ele2 Qh6干 Crouch－Hebden，Ramsgate 1983） 12 气a4 \＆）a7 13 岁c2 c6 14．e4 Qa6 15 Efe1 QbS 16 eS QdS 17 h4 梅aS 18 h5士 Razu－ vaev－Zsu Polgar，Dort－ mund 1985.
c） 8 h 3 Zb 8 （ \(8 \ldots \mathrm{D}\) ．．． 9 OgS h6 10 Qe3 Eb8 11 乌dS bS 12 \＆xf6＋exf6 \(\pm\) Lautier－ Shirov，Manila 1990； 8 ．．．eS 9 dS Ee7 10 e4 Ee8 11 Qel b5 12 cxbS axbS 13 乌d3 \＃bb 14 a3 fS 15 exfS gxfS 16 f4 e4 17 Qb4 \＆f6 18 Qe3 奖e8
 ger－Zsu Polgar，New York Open 1987）and now（341）：

c1） \(9 \mathbf{0 g 5}\) bS 10 cxbS axb5：
c11） 11 Qxf6 Qxf6 12 Elc1 Od7 13 e3 Og7 14 Qe2 气aS 15 b3 c6 16 㟶c2 b4 17 Efd1
 \(20 \mathrm{~h} 5 \pm\) Fedorowicz－Guna－ wan，Lugano 1988.
c12） 11 dS b4（11 ．．．乌aS 12 b4 E）c4 13 Ed4 0 d 714 e 3当c8 15 崖e2 h6 16 Oxf6 Qxf6 17 a4 Oxh3 18 乌cxb5 Oxg2 19 6g2 \(\pm\) Agdestein－C Hansen，Wijk aan Zee 1988） 12 Qxf6 exf6 13 dxc6 bxc3 14 bxc3 f5 15 等d2 Qa6 16 Hfb1士 Stohl－Sznapik，Stara Zagora Zt． 1990.
c2） 9 ＠e3 bS（9 ．．．Qd7 10 b3 bS 11 cxbS axbS 12 dS QaS
畄b716 今gSm Gutman－Za－ pata，Wijk aan Zee 1987） 10 ©d2（342）：

c21） \(10 \ldots\) Ob7 11 cxbS axbS 12 Qxb5 \＆）aS 13 学a4 Oxg2 14 苗 xg 2 出d7 15 气c3西xa4 16 \＆xa4 \＆）dSぁ Green－ feld－Nunn，Biel 1986.
c22） 10 ．．．Qd7 11 \＃c1＠a5 12 cxbS axbS 13 b4 E）c4 14 Exc4 bxc 415 bS d5 16 a 4 o6 17 ＠f4 घ゙b7 18 弓bl 山ys－

Lagunov－Yusupov，USSR 1989.
c23） \(10 \ldots\) QaS 11 cxbS axbS 12 b4 \＆c4 13 Qxcc4 bxc4 14 bS dS 15 a4 QfS 16 aS 榢d7 \(17 \mathrm{h4}\) 仑tg4 18 Qf4 eS 19 dxeS d4 \({ }^{2}\) Hjartarson－ Ernst，Gausdal Zt． 1987.
c3） 9 e4 bS（9 ．．．乌dd7 10 0 gS h 611 Qe3 \＆aS 12 b 3 bS 13 cxbS axbS 14 眢d2 莫h7 15 \＃fdi e6 \(\pm / \pm\) Piket－Nijboer， Dutch Ch．1991） 10 eS（10 cxbS axbS 11 tiel b4（ 11 ．．． （）d7 \(12 \quad 0 \mathrm{gS}\) b4 13 E）e2士 Keene－Kestler，Dortmund 1973，but 11 ．．．e6！is strong and okay for Black） 12 乌a4苗e8 13 b3 eS 14 dxeS QxeS 15 乌xeS 登xeS 16 Qb2 Ze 17 eS dxeS 18 QxeS Qd7 19

 Me3 OfS 24 f4 ©d3 25 g 4

 1－0 Damljanovic－Peelen， Wijk aan Zee B 1990）and now（343）；

c31） \(10 \ldots\) ．．． \(\mathrm{D}^{2} 711\) e6 fxe6 12 dS bxc4，（12 ．．．exdS 13
cxdS QaS 14 乌d4 气e5 15 b4 （Better is 15 Qce2 Qd7 16乌）f4士 15 ．．．Qact 16 f4．©f7 \(\{16 \ldots c 51717\) dxc6 乌）xc6 18 Exc6岁b6＋is also possible\} 17 Qc6 等e8 18 乌xb8 QfS 19
 ner－Nunn，South Africa 1981．Black has compensa－ tion for the exchange but it is not clear that it is ade－ quate） 13 dxc6 Qc5 14 气gS h6 15 Qge4．仓d 316 出g 4 苗e8 17 乌d2 dS 18 \＆xc4 \＃b4 19 QxdS exdS 20 QxdS＋e6 21 0 g 2 与eS 22 乌d 6 （ 22 Qxe5！？ ［xg 423 § xg 4 hS 24 Qe3Ш） \(22 \ldots\) ．．． xg \(^{2} 23\) ゆxe8 \(\mathbf{~ E x e 8 ~}\) 24 hxg 4 eS 25 a3 \＃b6 26 ge4 Oxg4 27 Oxg6 \(\overline{0} 8828\) Qe4 Qf3 29 Ele1 Oxe4 30 Exe4 ／／3－1／2 Manor－Hebden，Lon－ don 1987.
c32） 10 ．．．dxeS 11 dxeS炭xd1 12 \＃̈xd1 ©d7 13 e6 fxe6 14 cxbS axbS 15 Of4（15 气gS Qd4） 15 ．．．b4（This line is unpleasant for Black．An－ other continuation is 15 ．．． QdeS 16 Qel 乌b4 17 a3 乌a6 18 \＃act \＆c4 19 \＆d3 Qb7 20 Qxb7 Exb7 21 Ec2曷f1 Oxc3 23 日xc3 e5 240 Oc
 27 仑xdi Qd3 28 QgS b4 29 axb4 Qaxb4 30 民ef 4 －Vaga－ nian－Sax，Lucerne 1985） 16 Qa4 \＆b6 17 Qxb6 \(\mathbf{E x b} 618\) Qxc7 \＃b7 19 Qf4 Qxb2 20 Eab1 Qc3 21 QgS Eb6 22 \＆e4 Qd4 23 登bcit Goldin－ Gruenberg，Moscow GMA
1989.
d） 8 dS ＠aS 9 L d 2 cS transposes to the earlier game，Timman－Kasparov， Tilburg 1981.


A very unexplored situa－ tion．It is not clear what Black＇s best reply is．

8 ．．．Qd7
Alternatively， 8 ．．．\＃b8 9 Plel and：
a） \(9 \ldots\) bS 10 dS EDeS 11 QxeS dxeS 12 cxbS axbS 13
 Oxf6 exf6 16 \＆e4 Qa8 17
乌a6 Eb7 20 \＃c6 苟d8 21 d 6 ［a7 1－0 Nogueiras－Medi－ na，Mexico City 1991.
b） \(9 \ldots 0 \mathrm{gg} 410 \mathrm{dS} 0 \mathrm{Of} 3 \mathrm{I} 11\)

 16 Ocl थ）d7 \(17 \mathrm{f} 4 \pm / \pm\) Kaspa－ rov－van der Wiel，Brussels Blitz 1987.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 9 & ［ Cl & bS \\
\hline 10 & dS & QaS \\
\hline 11 & b3 & cS \\
\hline 12 & dxe6 & Qxab \\
\hline 13 & QdS & bxce \\
\hline 14 & Qxf6 & exf6（345） \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


The damage inflicted on the black pawn structure ensures that White will exert complete domination over the key square dS．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 15 & Exc \\
\hline 16 & \＃42 \\
\hline 7 & Iffol \\
\hline 8 & e3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

After White＇s next move Nunn should have seized the opportunity to alleviate the pressure against his position by interpolating the exchange of knights on f3，with check．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 19 & 8xc8 & Qxc8 \\
\hline 20 & Qd4 & Qb7 \\
\hline 21 & Se2 & 酎8 \\
\hline 22 & Qef4 & 4）d7 \\
\hline 23 & Ec7 & 9 CS \\
\hline 24 & b4 & Qe4（346） \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Now Kasparov obtains decisive strategic pressure by securing absolute con－ trol of the open c－file．This will be utilised as the springboard to complete the invasion of Black＇s for－ tress．

25 篤2 Oxd5


26 仓）xdS 刿bS
Kasparov＇s coming move sets up a concealed battery against Black＇s most vul－ nerable point，the f7－pawn．

27 兹b3 第e2
28 Qxe4 fxe4（347）
As so often，Kasparov， having established an over－ whelming strategic advan－ tage，finishes off the game

with a crisp display of tactics．It should be noted that White could not win without the exchange of black＇s knight on e4，since at some stage he has to deal with the black threat to play ．．．萿f2＋．

29 Ef6＋1－0
After 29 ．．． \(\begin{aligned} & \text { Oxf6 } 30\end{aligned}\)㡙xf7＋checkmate is forced．

\section*{18）g3 system－Others}

The move 7 ．．．QfS，which forms the subject of our main game In this chapter， is known as the Lesser Si － magin Variation．Black＇s strategic plan is similar to that of Panno＇s Variation， but he hopes to profit from his omission of ．．．a6 and the insertion of the deve－ loping move ．．．QfS．In some lines，Black can generate excellent counterplay by blending queenside activity with some such man－ eoeuvre as ．．． by ．．．Qh3．The defect of Black＇s idea is that the bishop is often exposed to attack and time must be consumed to redeploy it．

This chapter also covers lines where Black remains flexible with ．．．c6，com－ bined with moves such as ．．．QfS，．．．Qg 4 or ．．．鼻aS．As Black has not been comm－ itted to any fixed pawn structure，there are oppor－ tunities to follow－up with \(\ldots \mathrm{cS}, \ldots \mathrm{eS}, \ldots \mathrm{dS}\) or even to ignore the central pawns and play ．．．aS．Hence the
variation appeals to players with a liking for strategic complexity and adaptability and has been employed sporadically by Larsen and Smyslov．

Game 42
Yusupov－Gulko ReykJavik 1990
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & d4 & Qf6 \\
\hline 2 & c4 & g6 \\
\hline 3 & Qf3 & \(\underline{0} 7\) \\
\hline 4 & \(\mathrm{g}^{3}\) & 0－0 \\
\hline 5 & \(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{g}} 2\) & d6 \\
\hline 6 & 0－0 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


6 4c6
a） \(6 \ldots\) c6 7 乌c3（7 b3 led to equality in the following two examples： 7 ．．．妍aS \｛ 7 ．．．a6 8 ＠b2 b5 9 乌bd2 乌bd7

10 皆c2 \(\overline{B e 8} 11\) Zffdt Qb7 12 Elac1所c7 13 a4 bxa4 14 bxa4 cS 15 dS eS 16 e4 \(\bar{H} c b s 17\) Qc3 aS 18 Etb1 Qa6 19 Of1 Gb4 20 Qxb4 axb4 21 Qb 3 Ee8 22 aS Qh6 23 Ea1 ©hS 24 Qbbd2 Дf8 25 Qh3 \(\triangle b 826\) ©ff \＆ig7 27 ©e3 Oxe3 28 fxe3 皆e7 29 Iff ©e8 30 gf2教－1／2 Ribli－Gulko，Munich 1990） 8 Qb2 岁hS 9 Qbd2 dS 10 冨e1 Qh3 11 e4 Qxg2 12由xg2 dxe4 13 Qxe4 乌bd7 14 Qxf6＋Qxf6 15 QeS 首xd1 16 Eaxd1 Ead8 17 Og 4 Efe8 18 Qxf6 \({ }^{2}\) Qxf6 19 d5 कf8 20 dxc6 bxc6 21 Qxf6 exf6 22最xe8＋皆xe8 23 日d7 a5 24
 g5 27 h 3 由e7 28 由g3 f5 29 gxfS hS 30 或a7＋\(+\frac{14}{}\)－ \(1 / 2\) Ador－ jan－Barlov，Novl Sad Ol． 1990）and now（349）：

a1） \(7 \ldots\) QfS and now：
al1） 8 Del 第d7 9 e 4 Qh3 10 \＆）d3（10 f3 Qxg2 11 母xg2 Qa6 12 Qe3 \＃ff8 13 Qd3 e8 14 学e2 cS 15 dS c7 16 Qf2
 19 Qh6 Qxh6 20 乌xh6＋由g7 21 齿d2 e6 22 dxe6 稵xe6 23

Qg4 f6 24 h4 26 exf5 岁xf5 27 hxg 6 迹xg6 28 E）e4 e6 29 \＃th1 Elxf3 30所h6＋1－0 Yusupov－Speel－ man，Linares 1991．An un－ clear game until the end） 10 ．．．Qxg2 11 由xg2 bS 12 cxbS cxbS 13 f3 曾b7 14 每b3 a6 15 dS Ebbd7 16 a4 7 ffbs 17 axbS axbS 18 Qe3 Qe8 19 Qe2乌eS 20 \＆xue5 dxeS 21 Exa8


 Qxc4 28 Qcl b4 29 Qa4 e6 30 dxe6 \(\pm\) King－Speelman， British Ch．1990．Black looked okay here but seemed to overpress．
a12） 8 \＆hh4 Qe6 9 dS cxd5 （9 ．．．Qd7 10 Qe3 乌a6 11 乌f3
 Qd4 e5 15 dxe6 fxe6 16 OgS h6 17 Qe3 eS 18 Qf3 首f 19 cS e4 20＠h4 gS 21 Dg6 应7 22 cxd6 苞xg6 23 岁b3＋Qd5
 26 尚xb7 \＆c5 27 OxcS
 \(1 / 2-1 / 2\) Frias－Speelman，New York（Watson，Farley \＆ Williams）1990） 10 cxd5 Qd7 11 e4 Qab 12 h 3 QcS 13 Qe3 HaS 14 Qd4 ©a4 15 Qxa4 Qxa4 16 b 3 QbS 17 Ele

 Wb6 23 a4 Qd7 24 eS dxeS 25 ExeS 㒸xb3 26 \＆xd7 \＆）xd7 27 Qxg7 安xg7 28产d4＋\＆f6 29 区b1 \％a3 30 Zxb7 0－1 Wojtklewicz－

Mortensen，Reykjavik Open 1990．These lines look okay for Black－the knight on h 4 is misplaced．
a2） \(7 \ldots\) a6 8 e4 乌fd7 9 Qe3 b5 10 \＆d2 Qb7（ \(10 \ldots\) es 11 dS b4 12 \＆ \(\mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{cS} 13 \mathrm{f4}\) exf4 14 gxf4 Qf6 is h3 Sbd7 16 eS dxe5 17 fxes Qxe5 18 Qxc5 Qh5 19 Qxf8真xf8 20 Qf3 Qd7 21 \＆xeS Qxe5 22 d6 \％h4 23 ＊idS Qd4＋ 24 由h1 कg7 25 昌xf7＋偭h6 26 Eff Ef8 27 Eaf1最xf3 28 苟xf3 \(0 \times x 429\) d7 1－0 Damljanovic－Kr Geor giev，Novi Sad O1．1990） 11 ＊it2 e5 12 d 5 b4 13 Qa4 aS 14 cS exdS 15 exdS Qxc5 16 Qxc5 dxc5 17 Oxc5 He 818 Qc4 Qd7 19 Qe3 Ec8 20号fd1．e4 21 苞b3 0 c5 \(220 \times c 5\) 4／2－1／2．Stohl－Kr Georgiev， Stara Zagora Zt． 1990
a3） 7 ．．．烠a5 8 e4（350）：

a31） 8 ．．．Qg4． 9 h 3 Qxf3 10 Qxf3 \＆fd7 11 Qe3 a6 12 Ec1 c5 \(13 \mathrm{dxc5} \mathrm{dxc5} 14\) 鱀d5 曾c7 15 \＃fd Ea 716 g 2 g 617 f 4 eS 18 曾d2 ©c6 19 fS 气d \(4 \infty 0\) Kindermann－Maus，Ham－
burg 1991.
a32） 8 ．．．e5 9 d5 cxd5 10 Qxd5 今xd5 11 cxd5 乌ीd7 12 Qd2 齿b6 13 b4 气f6 14 学e2 Qd7 is a4 Agdestein－Damljanovic， Manila 1990.
b） \(6 \ldots \mathrm{cS} 7 \mathrm{dxcS} \mathrm{dxcs} 8\) QeS 乌a6 9 \＆c3 Eib8 10 乌d3 Qh5 11 分b5 Qe6 12 wb3 wh6 13 Qe3 f5 14 gadi Qf7 15 啲c2奖aS 16 a3 eS 17 \＆xc5 Qxc5 18 OxcS 盟fc8 19 b4 当a6 20 A）d6 b6 21 bS 1－0 Ehlvest－ Byrne，New York Open 1991. Compare with the Yugoslav variation．
\[
7 \text { Ec3 }
\]

7 d5 气aS 8 Qbd2 c5 9 Qe1 a6（ \(9 \ldots\) eS 10 e 4 \＆e8 11 b3 f5 12 exf5 \(0 x\) x5 13 Qe4 h6 14 h4 a6 15 曻e2 tib8 Kochiev －Gudmundsson，Gausdal 1991） 10 \＃\＃bl ofS 11 e 4 Og 412 f3 od7 13 Qd3 b5 14 b3 tubs 15 Qb2 e5 16 Qc3 Qh5 17 f 4 exf4 18 Oxg7 㻤xg7 19 gxf 4
峟f6 \(\pm\) Piket－Nijboer，Am－ sterdam OHRA 1990.

7 ．．．QfS（351）


A provocative sortie which is not often played， although it is something of a speciality of the young Dutch GM，Jeroen Piket． Black positively invites White to gain space and time by attacking the bish－ op but hopes that in the process White will over－ reach himself，More tradit－ lonal choices are 7 ．．．eS 8 dS §e7 9 e4 or \(7 \ldots\) a6，the famous Panno variation， considered earlier．
a） \(7 \ldots 0_{g} 48 \mathrm{~h} 3(8 \mathrm{dS}\) QaS 9 \＆d2 cS 10 临c2 a6 11 h3 Qd7 12 b3 bS 13 Qb2 Eb 8 14 Qdi eS 15 bh2 \＆h5 16 e3 fS 17 f4 e4－Wojtkiewicz－ Cabrilo，New York Open 1990．The white pawn on h3 may be an extra tempo，but it doesn＇t necessarily rep－ resent a bonus for White） \(8 \ldots\) Qxf3 9 Qxf3 \(Q \mathrm{~d} 7\) 10 e3 eS 11 dS Qe7 12 e4 f5 13 h 4 Qf6 14 hS 増f7 15 QgS解7 \(\pm / \pm\) Blagojevic－Cabri－ lo，Pula 1990.
b） \(7 \ldots\) eS 8 dS（ 8 dxeS is well documented as equal． The example here does not upset this judgement： 8 ．．． QxeS 9 QxeS dxeS 10 峟xd8 IIxd8 11 Og5 登d4 12 b3 c6 13气a4 Qg4 14 登fei h6 15 Qe3 Efdd8 16 h 3 Qe6 17 Qfe5 Qc8
 Eied1 Qf6 21 乌e4 Qe7 22 E6d3 Exd6 \(2 x h 3+25\) Qxh3 Qxh3

26 Qxh6 Qe6 27 Qg5 由g7 28
 and Black stands well and went on to win，Ribli－J Polgar，Vienna 1991） 8 ．．． Qe7（352）


9 乌e1（9 e4 乌e8 10 b4 fS 11 Qig5 h6 12 乌е6 Qxe6 13 dxe6
 cxd6 Exd6 17 bSE Ribli－ Hook，Novl Sad Ol．1990； 9 c5 Se8 10 exd6 cxd6 11㛧b3 h6 12 e4 fS 13 exfS gxfS 14 Qd2 Eg6 is Qc4 \(\begin{aligned} & \text { Ef7 } 16\end{aligned}\) a4 Of8 17 Od2 Qg7 18 Qxh6 f4，Vaganian－Stein，USSR Ch．1970．Black has excell－ ent counterplay for the pawn） 9 ．．．aS 10 Qd3 Qe8 11 e4 cS 12 桨e2 f5 13 f4 exf4 14 Q \(x\) xf Qc7 15 Qd2 fxe4 16 Qxe4t Werner－Spiriev， Budapest 1991.

8 dS
White accepts the chall－ enge and starts to chase the black minor pieces．Al－ ternatively：
 Qc2 Oxg2 11 由bg2 eS 12 dS Qe7 13 Qel，Korchnoi－Por－
tisch，Brussels 1986，when White＇s grip on the ligbt squares gives him some advantage． 13 第 2 is less convincing．The knight is not good on c2，e．g． 13 ．．． Qd7 14 f 3 aS 15 OgS Ie8 16 Qe3 b6 17 b3 f5 18 a3 \({ }^{\text {bith8 }} 19\) b4 Qg 820 运ab1 axb4 21 axb4 Qgf6 22 湈d3 号f8 23 Qg1 ©hSmo Salov－Piket， Wijk aan Zee 1991.
b） \(8 \mathrm{~h} 3 @ \mathrm{e} 49\) 9 \(\mathrm{d} 5 \otimes \mathrm{~d} 710\) Qe3 e6 11 Df4士 f5（Too ear－ ly） 12 dS eS 13 dxct exf4 14 cxb7 fxe3 15 湈d5＋，Tukma－ kov－Piket，Amsterdam OHRA 1990.
c） 8 b3 乌e4 \(9 \mathrm{Qb} 2 \triangleq \mathrm{xc} 3\) 10 Qxc3 Qe4 il 絭d2 d5 12遇fdit Schroll－Kinder－ mann，Vienna 1991，is a safe and solid way to play．


A more aggressive alter－ native is 94 d 40 d 710 b 3 cS 11 dxc6 bxc6 12 Zbl IIc8 13 Qb2 哲b6 14 e 3 Qg 415 皆d2 eS 16 \＆）de2 \＆bb \(17 \mathrm{~h} 3 \pm\) Ud－ ovcic－Vladimirov，Len－
ingrad 1967；or（9 4 d 4 （9d7） 10 将d3？！c5 \(11 \mathrm{dxc6} \& \mathrm{xc6} 12\)
产c8 15 h2－Miralles－Pi－ ket，Lyon Zt． 1990.

\section*{9}
c6
The most natural move． More convoluted is 9 ．．． Qe8 10 e4 9 d 711 Eb 1 c6 12 dxct Sxert Stohl－Hel－ lers，Amsterdam OHRA 1990.

\section*{\(10 \quad\) e4}

The superficially attrac－ tive \(10 \mathrm{b4}\) ，trapping black＇s knight on the edge，fails to the tactical riposte 10 ．．． द）xd5 11 exd5 Qxe3 or 11 Qxd5 cxd5 with an attack against both al and c4．A perfectly good line for White is 10 dxc 6 bxc6 11 e4 Og 412 莓c2 \＃c8 13 b 4 Qb7 14 h 3 ge6 15 gb 2 dS 16 Iffdit Marovic－Westerinen，Be－ verwijk 1966.
\begin{tabular}{lll}
10 & I． \\
11 & Q 4
\end{tabular}

Another possibility il f3 Qd7 12 安h1 5 c 813 的e2 with a balanced position．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
11 & \(\cdots\) & cxdS \\
12 & cxdS & Ec8 \\
13 & Ee1 & bS（354）
\end{tabular}

It would seem that the opening phase has been successful for Black，who has now seized the initia－ tive on the queen＇s wing． Nevertheless，the black po－ sition still exhibits the de－ fect tbat his minor pieces

are somewhat scattered and out on a limb．Black would like to play ．．．©d7 but，as yet，this is imposs－ ible since 14 h 3 wins a piece．


Avoiding Black＇s trap， namely 15 h 3 exdS 16 hxg 4 d4，regaining the piece．

15
exdS
Otherwise his bishop on g4 would he in grave danger in view of the threat of h3．

16 公xbS Ele8
If 16 ．．．dxe 417 Qxe4 QfS 18 OgS ©c4 19 Qxa7 \＆xb2 20 年xd6 Qxe4 21 Qxc8＋－ 17 h3

Qf5
18 g4（35S）


Boldly forcing Black to surrender his light squared hishop．If Black refuses then White anticipates 18 ．．．dxe4 19 笑xd6 Qe6 20証xd8 \＃exd8 21 气xa7 Zh8 22 Qxe4 Qxe4 23 Oxe4 Qxh2 24 Qxh2 Exh2 25 a4 and White maintains his extra pawn．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
18 & \(\cdots\) & Qxe4 \\
19 & Qxe4 & Qxe4 \\
20 & Qxa7 & Eb8 \\
21 & Qe3 & Qxb2 \\
22 & Eab1 & Eb3
\end{tabular}

And not \(22 \ldots\) ©c3 23 Exb2 登xb2 24 如3 with a win on material．

\section*{23 等xdS Ec3 \\ 24 OgS}

The beginning of fantas－ tic complications leading to one of the most original positions ever seen in a game between two grand－ masters．Gulko now opts to trade his queen for White＇s rooks，but in doing so misses his chance． 24 ．．．并d7（Not 24 ．．． 0 ）xdS 25 Qxd8 \＃xid8 26 QxdS \＃b6 27 Ee7［f8 28 a 4 由g7 29 gS when Black is more or less paralysed hy White＇s dom－ inant hishop on dS）would have left Black with good chance to emerge on top．
\begin{tabular}{lll}
24 & \(\ldots\) & Qxb1 \\
25 & Qxd8 & \＃xel＋ \\
26 & Qf1 &
\end{tabular}

Entering a nasty pin but 26 需h2 QeS＋is fatal．


27 삉d2
If White wants to win he must avoid 27 家xaS 0 e2＋ 28 由g2 2 f4 4 ．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{}} \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Not the best．Stronger is 29 ．．． g bb 1. 30 Qc6
With the brutal threat of Qe7＋and 謈8 mate．


A misguided attempt to win．Black should play 31 ．．． Wh8 32 wg h6 when Black wins．The best line for
 Qf6 Eb 734 Qxe2 Exe2 35 Qxg7＋ \(\mathbf{E x g} 736\) 酋f4 with the likely result being a draw by perpetual check．
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 32 \text { 首xh7 気 } 4+ \\
& 33 \text { कh2 be8 } \\
& 34 \text { 酋g8 } 8 \text { Q } 8
\end{aligned}
\]

White is now threatened with ．．．Exf1 and 35 Qxc4 would evidently fail to 35 ．．．Ïxh3 mate．



The brilliant key to the position．After 35 ．．． \(0 \times \mathrm{xg} 6\) White can play 36 Qxc4 8 E 3 37 QgS \(\triangle\) QbS


White should not play 37


Gulko defends by giving back the two rooks for White＇s queen，but the end－ game is a win for White， who can more easily create mobile passed pawns．The game concluded 44 奠xh1
 क由f6 47 f 4 dS 48 Qf2 Qd6 49
 Qb4 52 h4 Qel＋ 53 审h3 \({ }^{2} \mathrm{~d} 2\) 54 由b3 Qel＋SS Qf2 \(Q \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{S6}\)它f3 0 g 757 Qel \(\mathrm{g}^{5} 8 \mathrm{S8}\) Qc3 Qh6 59 fS \(+\mathrm{gxf5} 60 \mathrm{~g} 51-0\).
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